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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background

This bulletin discusses the characteristics of pupils defined as 'high attaining': their prior attainment in terms of Key Stage tests, their subject choices, their current attainment, and the schools they attend. There is no standard classification of high attainment and, for the purposes of this bulletin, a variety of measures are explored, including pupils identified as gifted and talented (G\&T) by schools, early GCSE and AS entrants, and those entered for higher tier papers. However, the high attainment measures focused on are the top $10 \%$ of pupils in terms of attainment at Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3 using finely graded average point scores, and the top $10 \%$ of pupils at Key Stage 4 using capped GCSE point score. Coverage is maintained mainstream schools in England, unless otherwise stated.

In common with other one-off statistical bulletins, the intention here is to provide a range of useful statistics around a broad theme. High attainers are the focus this year as recent bulletins have addressed low attaining pupils (DfES, 2005b) and trends in attainment gaps (DfES, 2006b). It is recognised that disadvantaged pupils are currently under-represented in the high attaining groups, indicating they are achieving beneath their potential. Through its focus on narrowing attainment gaps, the Department is working to ensure deprived pupils are more fairly represented in the high attaining group in the future.

The bulletin is not intended to answer one specific question or provide figures that will be updated on a regular basis. The majority of the analysis is based on the National Pupil Database and most of the figures are already in the public domain and the data are accessible to researchers.

### 1.2 Measures of High Attainment

The majority of the bulletin concentrates on Key Stage 2 (age 11) and Key Stage 4 (age 16) attainment in order to provide analyses for both primary and secondary school pupils. Additional results are presented for Key Stage 3 (age 14) to address the extent to which high attainment is sustained through secondary education and to consider which pupils, in which schools, enter higher tier papers. The 2006 Year 8 cohort is used for analysis of the G\&T population, and data from the Longitudinal Survey of Young People in England are incorporated when the characteristics and attitudes of high attaining pupils are addressed.

For Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3, the main measures of high attainment are the top $10 \%$ of pupils in (i) finely graded ${ }^{1}$ English point score (ii) finely graded mathematics point score and (iii) an average of mathematics and English finely graded point scores. For Key Stage 4, pupils who achieve within the top $10 \%$ of capped average point scores are defined as high attainers. However, it was not possible to identify exactly $10 \%$ of pupils for each of these high attaining groups since, even with finely graded point scores, the same number of points is obtained by a high number of pupils; this is particularly true for the earlier Key Stages where the range of potential marks is lower. Therefore, the cut-off for each high attaining group was defined as the closest percentage above 10 percent of pupils ${ }^{2}$.

For Key Stage 4, only the high attaining group in 2006 is analysed. However, for Key Stage 2, the high attaining groups in 2001 and 2003, alongside 2006, are of interest for the purpose of tracking the same cohort over time. Similarly, for Key Stage 3, the high attaining group in 2004 as well as in 2006 is useful. All analyses in the bulletin specify the year of data used for any Key Stage 2 or Key Stage 3 tables and charts.

Identification as Gifted and Talented ${ }^{3}$ is another indicator of high attainment yet it is important to recognise that high attainment and being identified as gifted and talented is not the same thing. The national gifted and talented population includes pupils who are under-achieving and may not be demonstrating their ability through attainment measures; it also includes pupils who demonstrate talent in areas requiring visio-spatial skills or practical abilities, such as in drama or art.

[^0]
### 1.3 Bulletin Content

Chapter 2 explores the characteristics of high attaining pupils at Key Stage 4 and Key Stage 2 and uses data from the Longitudinal Study of Young People in England to analyse pupil and parent responses to opinion and attitude based questions.

Chapter 3 begins by examining the extent to which high attaining pupils at Key Stage 4 in 2006 were likely to have been high attainers in Key Stage 3 in 2004 and in Key Stage 2 in 2001. The second part of the chapter examines the factors which affect pupils' chances of being a high attainer at Key Stage 4 using information on the relationship between high attainment and pupil characteristics and prior attainment in Key Stage 2.

Chapter 4 addresses a series of subject-based questions including whether high attaining pupils in mathematics or English are more likely to be high attaining overall. The percentage of high attainers at Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3 remaining in the top $10 \%$ of attainers at Key Stage 4 is also addressed.

Chapter 5 looks at the subjects and qualifications chosen by high attainers in their Key Stage 4 programme of study. The effect of subject selection on a pupil's probability of becoming a high attainer or being classified as gifted and talented at the end of Key Stage 4 is also addressed, alongside the number of qualifications attempted and the pattern of grades observed. Later sections of the chapter consider similar questions for high attaining pupils at the end of Key Stage 4, including which subjects they go on to study at A-level.

Chapter 6 focuses on high attainers at the end of Key Stage 2 and describes the characteristics of the schools they join for their secondary education.

Chapter 7 draws together analysis on the prior attainment and characteristics of the pupils, and schools, who enter the highest tier of mathematics paper in Key Stage 3.

Chapter 8 considers which factors influence pupils to complete Key Stage 4 early or to take an AS-level qualification during Key Stage 4.

Chapter 9 analyses those pupils who are recognised as high attainers within their schools by being identified as gifted and talented. This chapter initially focuses on the degree of overlap between pupils selected as G\&T and pupils who achieve within the national top $10 \%$ at the relevant Key Stage. Since it is not necessary that these two populations equate, the chapter turns to consider pupil and school characteristics and the prior attainment of those pupils flagged as gifted and talented.

### 1.4 Key Results

- At Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 4, girls, pupils who are not eligible for free school meals (non-FSM), pupils living in more affluent areas, and pupils from the following ethnic groups: Chinese, White and Asian, Indian, any other White background, any other Mixed background, any other Asian background and Irish, are highly represented in the 10 percent of highest attainers for both Key Stages.
- Data from the Longitudinal Survey of Young People in England (LSYPE) revealed that Key Stage 4 high attaining pupils' most-liked subjects in Year 9 were physical education and art; least favoured subjects were modern languages and mathematics.
- $3 \%$ of pupils stay in the high attaining group throughout Key Stages 2, 3 and $4 ; 83 \%$ of pupils never enter the high attaining group and pupils with prior attainment scores above 32 at Key Stage 2 are more likely than not to be in the high attaining group at Key Stage 4.
- Pupils eligible for FSM, pupils living in deprived areas, or pupils with an identified special educational need (SEN), were considerably less likely to be high attainers at Key Stage 4, compared with other pupils with similar prior attainment. Conversely, pupils with English as an additional language (hereafter EAL) were more likely to be high attainers at Key Stage 4, and Chinese pupils, pupils from other Asian backgrounds, Bangladeshi, Indian, Pakistani, Black African and other White pupils were between 2 and 4 times more likely to be high attainers than White British pupils.
- The majority of high attainers in English at Key Stages 2 and 3 are female, at approximately $65 \%$, and the majority of high attainers in mathematics at Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3 are male, at nearly $60 \%$. A similar percentage of females and males are high attainers on the overall measure.
- Between $47 \%$ and $66 \%$ of the groups of high attaining pupils at Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3 remain high attainers at Key Stage 4.
- At Key Stage 4, $91 \%$ of mathematics A* grades and $89 \%$ of English A* grades are achieved by high attainers. Among Key Stage 4 high attainers, $77 \%$ achieved grade A or A* in mathematics and 78\% achieved these grades in English, whereas the equivalent rates for the rest of the cohort are $5 \%$ and $6 \%$.
- After English, English literature, mathematics and science, modern foreign languages are the next most popular subject choice for high attaining pupils in Key Stage 4. For the rest of the cohort, the next most popular choice is design and technology.
- $25 \%$ of high attainers take a combination of subjects that include a modern foreign language and two of: creative arts, humanities and technology; this compares with $16 \%$ of the rest of the cohort.
- High attainers take, on average, 2 more full GCSEs than the rest of the cohort, and 1 more A-level.
- The rate of high attainers varies by school type, from around 7\% of the intake to Academies, to $51 \%$ of the intake to Grammar schools.
- The majority of schools (97\%) had at least one high attainer in their 2006 GCSE cohort although, for just under two thirds of schools, less than $10 \%$ of the cohort were classified as high attaining.
- Schools with relatively large shares of high attainers have, on average, relatively high attainment at Key Stage 4 and relatively high Key Stage 2-4 contextual value added (CVA) scores; CVA is a measure of progress over a period of time.
- Schools with fewer than $9 \%$ of pupils eligible for free school meals account for $45 \%$ of high attainers.
- FSM pupils joining City Technology Colleges (CTCs) and Voluntary Aided schools are more likely to be high attainers than FSM pupils entering other types of schools.
- $92.8 \%$ of Key Stage 2 maintained mainstream high attainers remained in the same sector for their secondary education, whilst 7.2\% transferred to an independent school. For other pupils who took their Key Stage 2 tests in maintained mainstream schools only $1.9 \%$ moved to independent schools.
- The majority of the $18.6 \%$ of pupils with point scores of $32-36$ in Key Stage 2 mathematics enter the highest tier in mathematics (tier for Levels 6-8) at Key Stage 3.
- Fewer FSM pupils with the same high attainment at Key Stage 2 as non-FSM pupils take the highest tier paper. The largest difference in percentage is 17.5 percentage points for a prior attainment score of 33 . Fewer girls than boys with a point score of 35 or 36 in mathematics at Key Stage 2 take the highest tier paper.
- Once differences in prior attainment were taken into account, girls, pupils who had EAL and pupils from most ethnic backgrounds other than White British had high odds of being entered for the 6-8 tier.
- When prior attainment was not controlled for, EAL pupils, other Black pupils, Black Caribbean and summer-born pupils were now less likely to be entered for the 6-8 tier. Chinese, Indian and Mixed White and Asian pupils had even higher odds and pupils with special educational needs, living in moderately or highly deprived areas or eligible for FSM were even less likely to be entered.
- Roughly 18\% of pupils were entered for the 6-8 tier in Key Stage 3 mathematics; $43 \%$ of the pupils sitting this paper achieved the top level.
- High attainers in Academies (schools which have above average FSM eligibility rates) have relatively high entry rates to the highest tier Key Stage 3 mathematics paper, bucking the trend for high FSM schools.
- Early takers in Key Stage 4 and pupils who take the AS qualification in Key Stage 4 perform considerably better than the rest of the cohort in terms of point score and threshold indicators. These pupils are also characterised by high prior attainment
- High attainers at Key Stage 3 who take specific subjects early in Key Stage 4 do not generally perform better than high attainers who take the subject at the end of the Key Stage. Mathematics and Statistics are the two subjects most commonly taken early.
- Modern foreign languages other than French, Spanish and German are the subjects most commonly taken as AS levels in Key Stage 4.
- 23,300 pupils were identified by teachers as G\&T and were in the top $10 \%$ of high attaining pupils; a further 40,700 were simply flagged as G\&T and 20,300 were high attainers only.
- In January 2006, the average percentage of pupils identified as gifted and talented for all schools was $10.5 \%$ and $22 \%$ of schools did not return any G\&T information; the average percentage of pupils identified as G\&T for all schools identifying G\&T pupils was $13.3 \%$. Relatively fewer pupils were identified in Years 7 and 11. Since January 2006, the number of secondary schools identifying G\&T pupils has increased 12 percentage points to $90 \%$ of schools in January 2007.
- Just over half of G\&T pupils in Year 8 had Key Stage 2 points of 33, equivalent to straight Level 5s; high Key Stage 2 attainment was the single greatest predictor of gifted and talented identification.
- After controlling for other factors, pupils were much more likely to be identified as G\&T if they were: not eligible for FSM, living in more affluent areas or from Bangladeshi, Black Caribbean, any other White background, mixed White and Asian backgrounds or mixed White and Black African backgrounds.


# 2. What Are the Characteristics of High Attaining Pupils? 

## Summary

- At Key Stages 2 and 4, girls, pupils who are not eligible for free school meals (non-FSM), pupils living in areas of low deprivation and pupils from the following ethnic groups: Chinese, White and Asian, Indian, any other White background, any other Mixed background, any other Asian and Irish, are highly represented in the top 10 percent of attainers in both Key Stages.
- Key Stage 4 pupils who fell into one or more of the deprived categories: eligible for FSM, attending a high-FSM school, or living in a highly-deprived area, were most likely to achieve within the high attaining group if they only attended the most deprived schools.
- Data from the Longitudinal Survey of Young People in England (LSYPE) showed that Key Stage 4 high attaining pupils' most-liked subjects in Year 9 were physical education and art; least favoured subjects were modern languages and mathematics.

The characteristics of high attaining pupils at Key Stage 4 and Key Stage 2 are explored in this chapter. For Key Stage 4, these pupils are defined as those who achieve in the top 10\% of capped GCSE point score; for Key Stage 2 , these are pupils who achieve in the top $10 \%$ of an average of mathematics and English finely-graded point scores.

### 2.1 Key Stage 4

### 2.1.1 Characteristics of High Attaining Pupils at Key Stage 4

Table 2.1 shows the composition of the high attaining group by basic pupil characteristics. Groups that are shown to be under-represented in the population of high attaining pupils are: boys, pupils eligible for free school meals (FSM), pupils with an identified special educational need (SEN) ${ }^{4}$, pupils born in the summer ${ }^{5}$, and pupils with an Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) score which is greater than the median ${ }^{6}$ score.

[^1]Table 2.1: Composition of High Attaining Group at Key Stage 4 in 2006 by Pupil Characteristics and Rates of High Attaining Pupils

|  | High Attaining Pupils (nearest 100) | Composition of High Attaining Group (\%) | Rate of High Attainers (\% of Cohort) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All High Attaining Pupils | 58,900 |  | 10.0 |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| Boys | 23,600 | 40.1 | 7.9 |
| Girls | 35,300 | 59.9 | 12.2 |
| Free School Meals |  |  |  |
| Non-FSM | 56,900 | 96.7 | 11.2 |
| FSM | 1,800 | 3.1 | 2.4 |
| Special Educational Needs |  |  |  |
| No identified SEN | 57,700 | 97.9 | 11.9 |
| Statement/ Action Plus | 400 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| School Action | 700 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  |  |  |  |
| First Language |  |  |  |
| English | 53,000 | 89.9 | 10.0 |
| Other than English | 5,800 | 9.8 | 10.8 |
| Information not obtained | 40 | 0.1 | 4.3 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Month of birth |  |  |  |
| Autumn | 21,500 | 36.5 | 11.3 |
| Spring | 19,300 | 32.8 | 10.1 |
| Summer | 18,100 | 30.1 | 8.7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Deprivation (IDACI quartiles) |  |  |  |
| Least deprived | 25,700 | 43.9 | 17.7 |
| Q2 | 17,100 | 29.2 | 11.8 |
| Q3 | 10,300 | 17.5 | 7.1 |
| Most deprived | 5,500 | 9.4 | 3.8 |

Within this table, the 'composition of high attaining group' column provides the percentage of high attainers with each characteristic out of the total number of high attainers so, for example, $90 \%$ of all high attaining pupils speak English as their first language. 'Rate of high attainers (\% of cohort)' takes into account the size of the group so, continuing the example, it compares the number of high attaining pupils whose first language is English to the number of non-high attaining pupils whose first language is English. In this case the total population used is all pupils whose first language is English and so 10\% of all English pupils are high attainers.

Deprivation, as measured by FSM eligibility and IDACI score, is shown to significantly influence a pupil's likelihood of achieving within the top $10 \%$ of Key Stage 4 results nationally. Only $2.4 \%$ of FSM-eligible pupils are high attainers, compared with $11.2 \%$ of non-FSM pupils; similarly, using the IDACI measure, $17.7 \%$ of pupils living in the least deprived areas are high attainers, whereas only $3.8 \%$ of pupils living in the most deprived areas are in this group. The relationship between these two measures of deprivation is considered further in a later part of this chapter.

Pupils whose first language is English and pupils whose first language is other than English appear, relatively equally, well-represented in the high achieving subset. However, from Table 2.2 it is evident that not all ethnicities ${ }^{7}$ are equally represented in the high attaining group.

Table 2.2: Composition of High Attaining Group at Key Stage 4 in 2006 by Pupil Ethnicity

|  | High Attaining Pupils | Composition of High Attaining Group (\%) | Rate of High Attainers (\% of Cohort) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  |  |
| White British | 47,228 | 80.2 | 9.9 |
| Irish | 319 | 0.5 | 15.0 |
| Traveller Of Irish Heritage | 3 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Gypsy / Roma | 2 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Any Other White | 1,729 | 2.9 | 14.7 |
| Mixed |  |  |  |
| White \& Black Caribbean | 230 | 0.4 | 4.7 |
| White \& Black African | 126 | 0.2 | 10.3 |
| White \& Asian | 471 | 0.8 | 19.6 |
| Any Other Mixed | 592 | 1.0 | 13.2 |
| Asian |  |  |  |
| Indian | 2,378 | 4.0 | 17.8 |
| Pakistani | 949 | 1.6 | 7.0 |
| Bangladeshi | 462 | 0.8 | 8.1 |
| Any Other Asian | 719 | 1.2 | 17.5 |
| Black |  |  |  |
| Black Caribbean | 252 | 0.4 | 3.0 |
| Black African | 639 | 1.1 | 6.2 |
| Any Other Black | 109 | 0.2 | 4.2 |
| Chinese | 681 | 1.2 | 31.0 |
| Any Other Group | 576 | 1.0 | 11.8 |
| Not Obtained | 1,441 | 2.4 | 8.8 |

[^2]Chinese, White and Asian, Indian, any other Asian, Irish, any other White and any other Mixed pupils are highly represented in the high attaining group; Black Caribbean, any other Black, Black African, Mixed White and Black Caribbean, Pakistani and Bangladeshi are under-represented ${ }^{8}$. Further analysis on Key Stage 4 high attaining pupils from different ethnic backgrounds is reported in section 2.3.2, where there is a comparison with the performance of each ethnic group at Key Stage 2.

### 2.2 Key Stage 2

### 2.2.1 Characteristics of High Attaining Pupils at Key Stage 2

Table 2.3 shows the composition of the high attaining group at Key Stage 2, alongside the rate of this group as a percentage of the cohort, by basic pupil characteristics. As with the Key Stage 4 results, boys, pupils eligible for free school meals, pupils with an identified special educational need, pupils born in the summer, and pupils with an IDACI score which is greater than the median score are shown to be under-represented in the population of high attaining pupils. However, at Key Stage 2, first language and month of birth have more of a pronounced effect on the rate of high attaining pupils than at Key Stage 4, with pupils whose first language is other than English now being underrepresented in the group.

Table 2.4 breaks down the first language variable to look at the composition of the high attaining group by ethnicity. Once again, Chinese, White and Asian, Indian, any other Asian, Irish, any other White and any other Mixed pupils are well represented in the high attaining group; Black Caribbean, any other Black, Black African, Mixed White and Black Caribbean, Pakistani and Bangladeshi are under-represented. However, at Key Stage 2, the extent to which certain groups are over/under-represented differs to the extent they are at Key Stage 4; this is considered further in section 2.3.2.

[^3]Table 2.3: Composition of High Attaining Group at Key Stage 2 in 2006 by Pupil Characteristics and Rates of High Attaining Pupils

|  | High Attaining Pupils (nearest 100) | Composition of High Attaining Group (\%) | Rate of High Attainers (\% of Cohort) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All High Attaining Pupils | 58,000 |  | 10.2 |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| Boys | 27,700 | 47.7 | 9.5 |
| Girls | 30,300 | 52.3 | 10.8 |
| Free School Meals |  |  |  |
| Non-FSM | 55,300 | 95.4 | 11.6 |
| FSM | 2,600 | 4.4 | 2.7 |
| Special Educational Needs |  |  |  |
| No identified SEN | 56,900 | 98.2 | 13.0 |
| Statement/ Action Plus | 400 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| School Action | 500 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| First Language |  |  |  |
| English | 53,000 | 91.4 | 10.5 |
| Other than English | 4,900 | 8.4 | 7.5 |
| Information not obtained | 25 | 0.0 | 7.8 |
| Month of birth |  |  |  |
| Autumn | 24,800 | 42.8 | 13.0 |
| Spring | 18,300 | 31.6 | 9.9 |
| Summer | 14,900 | 25.6 | 7.5 |
| Deprivation (IDACI quartiles) |  |  |  |
| Least deprived | 23,600 | 41.0 | 16.7 |
| Q2 | 16,600 | 28.8 | 11.7 |
| Q3 | 10,900 | 18.9 | 7.7 |
| Most deprived | 6,500 | 11.3 | 4.6 |

### 2.3 Key Stage 2 and 4

### 2.3.1 Comparison of the Characteristics of High Attaining Pupils at Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 4

Chart 2.1 compares the rate of high attaining pupils at Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 4, as a percentage of the relevant cohort. For both Key Stages, the rate of high attaining pupils is shown to be greatest for those who live in the least deprived IDACI quartile. However, the lowest rates of high attaining pupils are not seen in the most deprived IDACI quartile but in those with special educational needs.

Table 2.4: Composition of High Attaining Group at Key Stage 2 in 2006 by Pupil Ethnicity

|  | High Attaining Pupils | Composition of High Attaining Group (\%) | Rate of High Attainers (\% of Cohort) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  |  |
| White British | 47,590 | 82.1 | 10.5 |
| Irish | 319 | 0.6 | 15.9 |
| Traveller Of Irish Heritage | 6 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Gypsy / Roma | 8 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Any Other White | 1,544 | 2.7 | 11.7 |
| Mixed |  |  |  |
| White \& Black Caribbean | 459 | 0.8 | 7.2 |
| White \& Black African | 176 | 0.3 | 10.1 |
| White \& Asian | 625 | 1.1 | 17.6 |
| Any Other Mixed | 782 | 1.3 | 12.6 |
| Asian |  |  |  |
| Indian | 1,707 | 2.9 | 13.4 |
| Pakistani | 739 | 1.3 | 4.4 |
| Bangladeshi | 389 | 0.7 | 5.4 |
| Any Other Asian | 599 | 1.0 | 13.0 |
| Black |  |  |  |
| Black Caribbean | 336 | 0.6 | 3.9 |
| Black African | 627 | 1.1 | 4.8 |
| Any Other Black | 117 | 0.2 | 4.7 |
| Chinese | 509 | 0.9 | 25.4 |
| Any Other Group | 476 | 0.8 | 8.6 |
| Not Obtained | 975 | 1.7 | 9.0 |

Chart 2.1: Rates of High Attaining Pupils at Key Stage 2 and 4 in 2006 by Pupil Characteristics


[^4]Further to Tables 2.1 and 2.2, chart 2.1 shows a higher rate of high attaining girls at Key Stage 4 than Key Stage 2 and the gender gap widens in the secondary school Key Stage. However, for the majority of these characteristics, the gap between the levels of the characteristics appears to decrease slightly, rather than widen, between Key Stages 2 and 4. This is the case for first language, month of birth and special educational needs.

### 2.3.2 Comparison of High Attaining Pupils at Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 4 by Ethnicity

Earlier in the chapter it was observed that pupils with English as a first language and pupils whose first language is other than English, hereafter EAL, appear relatively equally represented in the high achieving subset at Key Stage 4. However, first language has a greater impact at Key Stage 2, where a lower rate of EAL pupils are in the high achieving group at this point in their education. The analysis of first language is taken a step further and Chart 2.2 compares the representation of the 18 ethnic groups in the high attaining groups at Key Stages 2 and 4.

Chart 2.2: Rates of High Attaining Pupils at Key Stage 2 and 4 in 2006 by Pupil Ethnicity


The chart reveals Chinese pupils to be most highly represented in the high attaining groups by a significant margin. There is more than a 10 percentage point difference between the rate of Chinese pupils and the next most highly represented group, Mixed White and Asian, at Key Stage 4; the equivalent difference at Key Stage 2 is still very high, at 8 percentage points. Other groups that are well-represented at both Key Stage 2 and 4 are Irish, any other White, any other Mixed, Indian and any other Asian. At Key Stage 2, $13 \%$ of Indian pupils and pupils from any other Asian background were in the top $10 \%$ of attainment and this increased to $18 \%$ for both groups by Key Stage 4.

Ethnic groups shown to be under-represented in the high attaining group at both Key Stage 2 and 4 include Mixed White and Black Caribbean, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and all Black ethnic groups ${ }^{9}$.

Of the eight ethnic groups where rates of high attaining pupils for Key Stage 2 and 4 are not within 2 percentage points of each other, only Mixed White and Black Caribbean show a decrease in the rate of high attainment at Key Stage 4 compared with the rate at Key Stage 2.

### 2.4 Interaction of deprivation at pupil, school and local level

Deprivation has been shown to have a significant influence on the probability of a pupil being in the high attaining groups. Therefore, analysis has been conducted on the high attaining pupils at Key Stage 4 to see which measure of deprivation (pupil, school or local level) may have the greatest effect on the pupil's performance.

### 2.4.1 Using Venn Diagrams to Demonstrate Interactions for Key Stage 4

Of the 587,000 pupils in maintained mainstream schools in 2006, around 75,000 were FSM pupils. A similar number of pupils were selected using the IDACI code linked to pupils' postcodes; these pupils can be labelled as those living in the most deprived areas. Furthermore, a similar sized group of pupils who attend the most deprived schools can be identified in terms of the percentage of FSM pupils within the school. Within a given year, a pupil can fall into none, one, two or all three of these groups.

The Venn diagram in Chart 2.3 shows the overlap for these three groups, both in terms of pupil numbers for 2006 and percentage of pupils within the high attaining group at Key Stage 4. As an example, the three-way interaction shows that 16,385 pupils in 2006 were entitled to FSM, attended a deprived school and lived in a deprived area; $2.5 \%$ of these pupils were in the high attaining group, compared with a rate of $12.4 \%$ of high attainers pupils who were in none of the three groups.

[^5]Chart 2.3: Interaction between Deprivation Factors for High Attaining Pupils at Key Stage 4 in 2006


The pupils least likely to be high attainers were those who were both deprived and living in the most deprived areas; within this group, pupils in the most deprived schools had a slightly better chance than those in the less deprived schools: $2.5 \%$ compared with $2.1 \%$. Previous analysis has shown that pupils in schools with high FSM rates ${ }^{10}$ can outperform pupils in other schools (Chart 4.6, DfES, 2006b) and that there is an overlap between ethnicity and deprivation (ibid).

The likelihood of being a high attainer was higher for non-FSM pupils than for any group of FSM pupils. For the non-FSM pupils, the chance of being a high attainer for those living in the most deprived areas and attending the most deprived schools was $3.3 \%$. Non-FSM pupils had a slightly higher chance of reaching the high attaining group if they only lived in the most deprived areas: $4.2 \%$. However, non-FSM pupils were most likely to achieve within the high attaining group if they only attended the most deprived schools: the rate in this case was $5.2 \%$. Nevertheless, this percentage is still considerably lower than the $12.4 \%$ of high attainers who were not deprived, did not attend deprived schools or live in deprived areas

[^6]
### 2.5 Data from the Longitudinal Study of Young People in England

The Longitudinal Study of Young People in England (hereafter LSYPE) aims to identify the major factors affecting young people's transitions from compulsory education into further education, higher education and the labour market. Wave $1^{11}$ of LSYPE was drawn from a population of all pupils ${ }^{12}$ in Year 9 in England in 2003/4 but the survey sample was designed to overrepresent both pupils in the main ethnic minority groups ${ }^{13}$ and pupils at schools with higher levels of deprivation. For approximately 15,000 households, interviews with the pupil, main parent, second parent and history interviews were conducted. Wave 1 provides data on pupils' experiences of school and out of school activities, educational aspirations and relations with parents; data from parents includes family composition, behaviour of the young person and parental expectations. Since this is a longitudinal survey, interviews are conducted on an annual basis and the proposal is that the selected pupils will be interviewed until they are 25 years of age.

Data from the National Pupil Database was merged with the LSYPE to provide information on individual pupils' attainment. For the purposes of this chapter, responses from the first wave of data collection are used; these pupils are the current Year 11s and, as such, they must have achieved at least 410 GCSE points two years after interview to be in the high attaining group.

### 2.5.1 Interview Responses from Pupils in the Sample

Of the 14,050 pupils who were interviewed, 1,470 went on to achieve results in the high attaining group in 2006. This section provides some of the responses made by high attainers and non-high attainers to opinion and attitude based questions.

Chart 2.4 reveals the responses that were made by both sets of pupils when they were asked to select a favourite subject; chart 2.5 provides the answers made when they were asked to select a least liked subject ${ }^{14}$. Chart 2.6 and table 2.5 show reactions to extended attitudes towards school and the future.

[^7]Chart 2.4: Favourite Subject of Pupils as Provided in LSYPE in 2004


For both sets of Year 9 pupils, physical education was most favoured, by 27\% of non-high attaining pupils and $17 \%$ of high attaining pupils. Art is a similarly favoured choice by high attaining pupils, at roughly $17 \%$; this is also the second most popular choice for non-high attaining pupils, at $16 \%$.

Subjects that were noticeably more popular in Year 9 for high attainers at Key Stage 4 than for non-high attainers were science, history, mathematics, English, music and modern languages. Conversely, drama/media, ICT and design and technology were more favoured by non-high attainers.

Chart 2.5: Least Liked Subject of Pupils as Provided in LSYPE in 2004


For both sets of pupils, modern languages and mathematics were the least liked subjects in Year 9: 17\% and 15\% of high attainers responded to this question with these subjects; the equivalent percentages for non-high attainers were $18 \%$ and $19 \%$. English stands out as being generally more disliked by non-high attainers than high attainers.

Chart 2.6: Attitudes to School and Future As Provided in LSYPE in 2004


Over 60\% of high attaining pupils strongly agree that raising a family in the future is important to them, which is 9 percentage points higher than the response provided by non-high attaining pupils. Furthermore, having a job or career in the future is very important to both sets of pupils: nearly $100 \%$ of both sets of pupils strongly agree or agree with this statement.

More high attaining pupils strongly agree that they are happy when they are at school than their peers, but only $40 \%$ strongly agree that they work as hard as they can at school. However, LSYPE research also showed that the most common number of school nights that a high attaining pupil works is five, whereas it is only three for a non-high attaining pupil.

Further differences in attitudes to school were explored through the creation of a summary measure for overall school satisfaction. This measure was produced separately for pupils defined to be high attainers and those who were not from the responses given to the following 12 statements:

1. I am happy when I am at school.
2. School is a waste of time for me.
3. Work is worth doing.
4. Most of the time I don't want to go to school.
5. People think my school is a good school.
6. On the whole I like being at school.
7. I work as hard as I can in school.
8. In a lesson, I often count the minutes till it ends.
9. I am bored in lessons.
10. The work I do in lessons is a waste of time.
11. The work I do in lessons is interesting to me.
12. I get good marks for my work.

The statistic produced is a summated score of the responses ${ }^{15}$, where responses were recoded on a scale of 0 to 4 , with 0 being the most negative, 4 being the most positive and "don't knows" being given a neutral score of 2. Therefore, the maximum possible score is 48 . Results of this analysis are presented in table 2.5 and the table shows that the average (mean) school score is over 4 points higher for high attaining pupils, at 37.4 points.

Table 2.5: School Satisfaction Score for Pupils in Year 9 in 2004

|  | High Attainers | Non-High Attainers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Average School Satisfaction Score | 37.4 | 33.2 |

### 2.5.2 Interview Responses from Main Parents in the Sample

This section provides some of the responses made by the main parents ${ }^{16}$ of high attainers and non-high attainers to opinion and attitude based questions.

Charts 2.7 and 2.8 reveal information about the socio-economic backgrounds of the relevant families: Chart 2.7 compares the National Statistics SocioEconomic Classification, hereafter NS-SEC, of the household for both sets of parents and Chart 2.8 presents their current accommodation type.

[^8]Chart 2.7: NS-SEC Class of Household in 2004 Split by High Attaining/ Non-High Attaining Groups

$70 \%$ of high attaining pupils come from households either classified as 'higher managerial and professional occupations' or 'lower managerial and professional occupations'. This is twice the percentage of households who are in these categories from the non-high attaining group. Non-high attaining pupil households are between 2 and 9 percentage points more likely than high attaining pupil households to be in all other NS-SEC classifications.

The fact that high attaining pupils generally come from households with more disposable income may partly explain why $17 \%$ of these families paid for private tuition in the last 12 months in subjects also taught at school. However, $11 \%$ of pupils from the non-high attaining group also received private classes.

Chart 2.8: Accommodation in 2004 Split by High Attaining/ Non-High Attaining Groups


Current Accommodation

The majority of both sets of families have bought their current accommodation on a mortgage/ bank loan: $73 \%$ of high attainers' families and $59 \%$ of nonhigh attainers' families. Of the former group, $8 \%$ more also bought their property outright, at $18 \%$. Of the latter group, 5 times more families rent their properties from a council or new town.

Current accommodation type can also be dependent on family situation and $25 \%$ of the non-high attaining pupils interviewed for LSYPE live in single parent households; the equivalent percentage for high attaining pupils is less than half of this, at $11 \%$.

Family expectation has repeatedly been shown to influence children's performance at school. LSYPE collects data on what main parents would like their children to do at 16 and what they expect them to do; table 2.6 shows the responses made by both groups of parents to these questions.

Table 2.6: Aspirations of Main Parent in 2004 for Young Person when Age 16

|  | Non-HA: <br> Parent <br> Aspiration | Non-HA: <br> Perceived <br> Pupil <br> Aspiration | HA: <br> Parent <br> Aspiration | HA: <br> Perceived <br> Pupil <br> Aspiration |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Continue in full time <br> education | 76.5 | 65.3 | 96.8 | 96.2 |
| Start learning a trade/ Get <br> place on a training course | 11.0 | 13.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Start an apprenticeship/ <br> Get a full-time paid job | 8.8 | 12.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Something else | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Don't know | 2.3 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 |

Of the main parents of high attaining pupils, $97 \%$ would like their child to continue in full time education; they also perceive that their child will agree with this. Only $77 \%$ of the parents of non-high attaining pupils would like their child to continue in full time education and only $65 \%$ believe this is what their child intends to do. The majority of the others would like their child to start learning a trade/ get a place on a training course. Five times as many parents of non-high attaining pupils do not know what their child is considering doing after Year 11, at $7 \% .{ }^{17}$

A relationship between poverty, neighbourhood factors and low parental expectations on pupils' attainment at Key Stage 3 has also been found (Jenkins and Levacic, 2006).

[^9]
## 3. To What Extent is High Attainment Sustained through Secondary Education?

## Summary

- $4 \%$ of pupils stay in the high attaining group throughout Key Stages 2, 3 and $4 ; 83 \%$ of pupils never enter the high attaining group.
- Pupils with prior attainment scores above 32 at Key Stage 2 are more likely than not to be in the high attaining group at Key Stage 4.
- Compared to other pupils with similar prior attainment, pupils eligible for FSM, pupils living in deprived areas, or pupils with an identified special educational need, were considerably less likely to be high attainers at Key Stage 4. Conversely, pupils with EAL were more likely to be high attainers at Key Stage 4; Chinese pupils, pupils from other Asian backgrounds, Bangladeshi, Indian, Pakistani, Black African and other White pupils were between 2 and 4 times more likely to be high attainers than White British pupils.

The extent to which high attaining pupils at Key Stage 4 in 2006 were likely to have been high attainers in Key Stage 3 in 2004 and Key Stage 2 in 2001 is considered in the first part of this chapter. The second part of the chapter examines the chance of being a high attainer at Key Stage 4 using information on the relationship between high attainment and pupil characteristics and prior attainment in Key Stages 2 and 3.

### 3.1 Movement In and Out of High Performance Across the Key Stages

Chart 3.1 provides data for pupils taking Key Stage 4 in 2006, where these pupils' results have been matched back to their Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3 finely graded point scores. The chart shows the movement in and out of the high attaining group (top 10\%) at each stage. 4\% of pupils stayed in the high attaining group throughout, whilst $83 \%$ of pupils never entered this group.

As Key Stage 3 is longer than Key Stage 4, we would expect movement in and out of high attainment to vary between them: perhaps assuming that the longer the Key Stage, the less likely pupils are to stay in a given group. Chart 3.1 shows $63 \%$ of high attaining pupils at Key Stage 2 remained high attainers three years later, whereas 72\% of Key Stage 3 high attainers continued to be high attainers at Key Stage 4. After taking account of the length of the Key Stage, the chances of remaining a high attainer between Key Stage 3 and 4 is lower than between Key Stage 2 and 3; this may reflect the fact that there is more test data at Key Stage 4, which covers more than the core subjects; therefore, there is wider scope for a pupil to move out of the high attaining group.

Of the high attaining pupils at Key Stage 2, half are in the high attaining group at Key Stage 4 as well. Of the half who are no longer in the top $10 \%$ at Key Stage 4, they are roughly equally split between those moving out between Key Stages 2 and 3, and those moving out between Key Stages 3 and 4.

Conversely, looking at the high attaining pupils at Key Stage 4, half were high attainers at Key Stage 2 and the remainder are roughly equally split between those entering the high attaining group between Key Stages 2 and 3 and those entering during Key Stages 3 and 4. Where pupils were high attainers at Key Stages 2 and 4, there were also very likely to be high attainers at Key Stage 3.

### 3.2 Modelling the Chances of Being a High Attaining Pupil

Logistic regression ${ }^{18}$ allows one to calculate the effect a characteristic has when all other entered characteristics are taken account of. Therefore, this was used to consider the relationship between prior attainment in Key Stage $2^{19}$, pupil characteristics, and the chances of being a high attaining pupil at Key Stage 4.
$10 \%$ of all Key Stage 4 pupils were classified as high attainers, which equates to odds of $1: 9$, which is written as an odds ratio of $1 / 9=0.11$. The odds of being a high attainer vary for different levels of prior attainment since, as the previous section showed, high attainment in Key Stage 2 is more likely to suggest high attainment in Key Stage 4.

Table 3.1: Odds Ratios of Being In the High Attaining Group in Key Stage 4 in 2006 Given Prior Attainment in Key Stage 2

| Key Stage 2 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| APS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.82 | 1.91 | 4.42 | 10.24 | 23.73 |
| Odds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.1 shows that pupils achieving a Key Stage 2 average point score above 33 have high odds of being in the high attaining group in Key Stage 4, whilst pupils with a Key Stage 2 point score below 29 have low odds of being in this group. Pupils with a finely graded point score a little above 29 actually have above average odds of being a high attainer: above 0.11 . For prior attainment scores above 32, the odds ratios surpass 1 , at which point it becomes more likely than not that a pupil will be in the high attaining group. For pupils with a point score of 36 , their odds are approximately $24: 1$ so the logistic model predicts that there is a $24 / 25$ chance of a pupil with this prior attainment being in the high attaining group at Key Stage 4.

[^10]Chart 3.1: Chance of Remaining or Becoming a High Attaining Pupil between Key Stage 2 (2000) and Key Stage 3 (2003) Using Finely-Graded APS and Key Stage 4 (2006).
$\square$


It is possible to fit a model with Key Stage 2 finely-graded average point score alongside the Key Stage 2 English and Mathematics point score deviations and a range of pupil characteristics. Chart 3.2 shows the effect of each characteristic, where the effect sizes relate to pupil characteristics after prior attainment has been taken into account. Although the following variables are illustrated on the chart, they were found not to have a significant effect at the 95\% significance level: Traveller of Irish Heritage, Gypsy/ Roma and other Black Background.

Chart 3.2: The Effect of Pupil Characteristics on the Odds of Being a High Attainer at Key Stage 4 in 2006


Where a characteristic has a factor of more than one, a pupil is more likely to be a high attaining pupil at Key Stage 4, all other things being equal. For example, being female has a factor of 1.70 , which means that the odds of being a high attainer are 70\% higher for girls than boys with similar prior attainment and characteristics.

Where a characteristic is shown as having a factor of less than one, this characteristic is associated with a pupil being less likely to be a high attainer at Key Stage 4. For example, pupils who are eligible for FSM have a factor of 0.55 , which means that the odds of being a high attainer are $45 \%$ lower among FSM pupils than among non-FSM pupils with similar prior attainment and characteristics.

In summary, the chart shows that deprived pupils, that is those eligible for FSM or living in deprived areas, were considerably less likely to be high attainers at Key Stage 4, compared with other pupils with similar prior attainment. Pupils with special educational needs were also less likely to be high attainers. Conversely, pupils with EAL were more likely to be high attainers at Key Stage 4; this may reflect some under-performance at Key Stage 2 by able pupils whose English is still developing. Chinese pupils were 4 times more likely to be high attainers than White British pupils; pupils from other Asian backgrounds were 3.5 times more likely; Bangladeshi and Indian pupils were 2.5 times more likely; Pakistani, Black African and other White pupils were twice as likely, and pupils from other Mixed backgrounds, White and Asian, mixed White and Black African and Irish pupils were about 1.5 times more likely. ${ }^{20}$

For a pupil with a combination of characteristics, the individual factors are multiplied together to find the overall effect. For example, the combined effect of being a Chinese girl is to increase the odds by $570 \%$, since $3.94 * 1.70=$ 6.70, while the combined effect of being a Mixed White and Black Caribbean pupil with a SEN statement is to reduce the odds of being a high attainer at Key Stage 4 in 2006 by $65 \%$, since $0.71^{*} 0.49=0.35$.
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# 4. Do High Attainers In One Subject Do Well In All Subjects? 

## Summary

- At Key Stage 2, if a pupil is in the high attaining group for mathematics, but not English, then they are quite likely to be in the high attaining group for the overall measure: a 48\% chance. If a pupil is in the high attaining group for English, but not mathematics, then they are less likely to be in the high attaining group for the overall measure: a 36\% chance. For Key Stage 3 these chances become $51 \%$ and $41 \%$.
- The majority of high attainers in English at Key Stages 2 and 3 are female, at approximately $65 \%$, and the majority of high attainers in mathematics at Key Stages 2 and 3 are male, at nearly $60 \%$. A similar percentage of females and males are high attainers overall.
- Between $47 \%$ and $66 \%$ of the groups of high attaining pupils at Key Stages 2 and 3 remain high attainers at Key Stage 4.
- At Key Stage 4, $91 \%$ of mathematics A* grades and 89\% of English A* grades are achieved by high attainers. Among Key Stage 4 high attainers, $77 \%$ achieved grade A or A* in mathematics and 78\% achieved these grades in English, whereas the equivalent rates for the rest of the cohort were $5 \%$ and $6 \%$.

Are high attaining pupils in Key Stage 2 mathematics or English more likely to be high attaining overall ${ }^{21}$ ? Is this result the same for Key Stage 3? What percentage of high attainers in English, mathematics and on the overall measure are in the top $10 \%$ of attainers at Key Stage 4? Is high attainment at Key Stage 2 or 3 more likely to predict high attainment at Key Stage 4? These are all questions that are addressed within this chapter, which proceeds chronologically, beginning with assessment of high attainment at Key Stage 2.

### 4.1 Key Stage 2

Approximately 10 percent of pupils are in the defined high attaining groups for English and mathematics; of these, 4 percent were in both groups. The 10 percent of pupils in the 'overall' group were high attainers based on adding together marks for English and mathematics and averaging: this group represents the high attainers on a combined measure.

Pupils with a finely graded point score above 31.9 in English, 33.4 in mathematics and 32.3 on the overall measure were defined as high attaining. The maximum point score reached in each of the specified measures was 35.8. Chart 4.1 shows the distribution of high attaining pupils' point scores for mathematics and English.

[^12]Chart 4.1: Distribution of Marks of High Attaining Pupils in Key Stage 2 Mathematics and English in 2006


The cut-off to achieve within the top $10 \%$ of finely graded point scores in mathematics is roughly 1.5 points higher than for the equivalent measure in English. Furthermore, the spread of high attaining pupils' results in mathematics cover a smaller range of point scores than high attaining pupils in English: 2.3 points versus 3.9 points, respectively. For both mathematics and English high attainers, a greater proportion of pupils achieve scores at the lower end of the range of finely graded point scores.

### 4.1.1 Interactions between High Attainment in Key Stage 2 English, Mathematics and Overall in 2006

In this section, the degree of overlap between high attaining pupils in mathematics, English and on the overall measure is considered. Furthermore, the question of whether one subset of high attaining pupils has a greater likelihood of also being in the high attaining overall group is addressed.

Of the 573,000 pupils in maintained mainstream Primary schools in 2006, three groups of around $60,000^{22}$ were classified as high attaining in English, mathematics and overall. The Venn diagram in Chart 4.2 shows the overlap of these three groups of pupils, both in terms of pupil numbers and percentages of the total number of pupils in any of the Venn groups, for 2006.

[^13]Chart 4.2: Venn Diagram Illustrating Interactions Between Subjects for High Attaining Pupils in Key Stage 2 in 2006


If a pupil is in the high attaining group for English and the high attaining group for mathematics, they are certain to be in the high attaining group for the overall measure. $27 \%$ of high attaining pupils fall into this category.

If a pupil is in the high attaining group for mathematics, but not English, then they are quite likely to be in the high attaining group for the overall measure: a $48 \%$ chance. However, if a pupil is in the high attaining group for English, but not mathematics, then they are less likely to be in the high attaining group for the overall measure: a $36 \%$ chance.

A small number of pupils are classified as being high attaining overall (1.3\%), although they are not in the high attaining group for either mathematics or English. These are pupils whose attainment is consistently high, but are just outside the top $10 \%$ for each subject individually.

### 4.1.2 Interactions between High Attainment in English, Mathematics and Overall Split by Gender

This section continues from the last by considering how the interactions between high attainment in English, mathematics and overall vary by gender. Chart 4.3 shows the percentage of male and female high attainers in English, mathematics, in both subjects and on the overall measure.

Chart 4.3: High Attainment Split by Subject and Gender in 2006
$\square$ Female $\square$ Male


The stacked bar chart shows that the majority of high attainers in English at Key Stage 2 were female, at over $65 \%$, and the majority of high attainers in mathematics at Key Stage 2 were male, at nearly 60\%. The gender spilt for being a high attainer in both mathematics and English and on the overall measure (an average of Key Stage 2 mathematics and English scores) was much more equal, and approaches a $50: 50$ split.

The high attaining groups are represented on the Venn diagrams in Charts 4.4 (a) and (b). These charts are similar to Chart 4.2, only showing the overlap of the three high attaining groups for females and males separately. The percentages correspond to the number in each section as a proportion of the total number of pupils within the Venn diagram. The Venn diagrams demonstrate that female pupils are most likely to be high attaining at only English, and male pupils are most likely to be high attaining at only mathematics.

Chart 4.4(a): Venn Diagram Illustrating Interactions Between Subjects for High Attaining Females in Key Stage 2 in 2006


Chart 4.4(b): Venn Diagram Illustrating Interactions Between Subjects for High Attaining Males in Key Stage 2 in 2006


A similar percentage of female and male pupils are high attaining in all three measures: $28.3 \%$ and $25.8 \%$ respectively. However, females are over three times more likely to be high attaining at only English than only mathematics, and males are nearly three times more likely to be good at only mathematics than only English.

If a female pupil is in the high attaining group for mathematics, but not English, then they are more likely than not to be in the high attaining group for the overall measure: a 56\% chance. However, if a female pupil is in the high attaining group for English, but not mathematics, then they are much less likely to be in the high attaining group for the overall measure: a $34 \%$ chance. The equivalent percentages for male pupils are much more similar at 44\% and $42 \%$ : a male is only slightly more likely to be in the high attaining group on the overall measure if they are high attaining in mathematics but not in English.

### 4.2 Key Stage 3

Pupils with a finely graded point score above 42.0 in English, 46.9 in mathematics and 43.5 on the overall measure were defined as high attaining. However, it is important to note that the maximum possible point score at Key Stage 3 is 54 for mathematics and 48 for English ${ }^{23}$; Chart 4.5 shows the distribution of high attaining pupils' marks for mathematics and English.

[^14]Chart 4.5: Distribution of Marks of High Attaining Pupils in Key Stage 3 Mathematics and English in 2006


A greater proportion of high attaining pupils' mathematics and, particularly, English scores were at the lower end of the range of scores achieved than towards the upper end.

### 4.2.1 Interactions between High Attainment in English, Mathematics and Overall at Key Stage 3 in 2006

The degree of overlap between high attaining pupils in mathematics, English and on the overall measure at Key Stage 3 is considered here, alongside the question of whether one subset of high attaining pupils has a greater chance of being in the high attaining overall group.

Of the 600,000 pupils at Key Stage 3 in maintained mainstream schools in 2006, three groups of between 57,000 and 59,000 were classified as high attaining in English, mathematics and overall. Chart 4.6 reveals the overlap between these three groups of pupils, both in terms of pupil numbers and percentages of the total number of pupils in any of the Venn groups for 2006.

Chart 4.6: Venn Diagram Illustrating Interactions Between Subjects for High Attaining Pupils in Key Stage 3 in 2006


Similarly to the Key Stage 2 results, if a pupil is in the high attaining group for English and the high attaining group for mathematics, they are certain to be in the high attaining group overall: 29\% of high attaining pupils fall into this category.

If a pupil is in the high attaining group for mathematics, but not English, then they are slightly more likely than not to be in the high attaining group for the overall measure: a $51 \%$ chance. However, if a pupil is in the high attaining group for English, but not mathematics, then they are less likely to be in the high attaining group for the overall measure: a $41 \%$ chance. Both of these percentages are greater than the equivalent percentages obtained for Key Stage 2 ( $48 \%$ and $36 \%$, respectively), which suggests that high achievement in one subject at Key Stage 3 is slightly more likely to result in a mark within the overall top $10 \%$ of marks than high attainment in one subject at Key Stage 2.

### 4.2.2 High Attainment in English, Mathematics and Overall Split by Gender

Chart 4.7 shows the percentage of male and female high attainers in English, mathematics, in both subjects and on the overall measure.

Chart 4.7: Key Stage 3 High Attainment Split by Subject and Gender in 2006


Similarly to Key Stage 2 results, the stacked bar chart shows that the majority of high attainers in English at Key Stage 2 are female, at nearly 65\%, and the majority of high attainers in mathematics at Key Stage 2 are male, at nearly $60 \%$. The gender spilt for being a high attainer in both mathematics and English and on the overall measure is much more equal, although females are 4 percentage points more likely than males to be high attaining in both subjects at Key Stage 3 than at Key Stage 2.

Due to the similar gender splits obtained for high attainers at Key Stages 2 and 3 , the gender difference is not pursued further for Key Stage 3.

### 4.3 Subject-Specific Progression of High Attaining Pupils

It is important to consider what percentage of those pupils who are identified as high attainers in mathematics, English and overall maintain their high performance in later education. This section first considers the percentage of high attaining pupils in Key Stages 2 and 3 who maintain their high performance in Key Stage 4. Analysis is then turned to the Key Stage 2 subject-specific cohorts in order to gauge what percentage of the high attaining groups achieve in the top 10\% of marks at Key Stage 3.

### 4.3.1 Progression from Key Stages 2 and 3 to Key Stage 4 in 2006

The percentages of those pupils who are defined as high attainers in mathematics, English and overall, at Key Stage 2 in 2001 and Key Stage 3 in 2004, and who maintain their high performance in Key Stage 4 are provided in Table 4.1. This table shows that between $47 \%$ and $66 \%$ of prior high attaining pupils are still high attaining at Key Stage 4.

Table 4.1: Percentage of High Attaining Pupils at Key Stages 2 and 3 who Progress to be High Attainers at Key Stage 4 in 2006

| Top 10\% | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Key Stage 2 English | 47.2 |
| Key Stage 2 Mathematics | 47.3 |
| Key Stage 2 Overall | 53.0 |
| Key Stage 3 English | 55.5 |
| Key Stage 3 Mathematics | 60.3 |
| Key Stage 3 Overall | 66.2 |

A high attaining pupil at Key Stage 3 on the overall measure is most likely to be in the high attaining group at Key Stage 4, at 66\%. High attainment in mathematics at Key Stage 3 is a better predictor of being high attaining at Key Stage 4 than high attainment in English at Key Stage 3. However, whether a pupil is high attaining in mathematics or English at Key Stage 2 does not contribute differentially to whether they are a high attaining pupil at Key Stage 4, although pupils in the overall measure at Key Stage 2 are $5 \%$ more likely to progress to the high attaining Key Stage 4 group than those in either of the subject measures.

### 4.3.2 Subject Progression from Key Stage 2 in 2003 to Key Stage 3 in 2006

The question of particular interest here is whether subject-specific high attaining groups are more likely to remain fixed between Key Stages 2 and 3 than the overall high attaining group, or not. Chart 4.8 provides the percentage of high attainers in mathematics, English and overall at Key Stage 2 who remain in the high attaining groups at Key Stage 3.

Chart 4.8: High Attainers Progression Between Key Stage 2 in 2003 and Key Stage 3 in 2006


The chart shows that high attainment in Key Stage 2 English is most likely to predict high attainment at Key Stage 3 English. Similarly, high attainment in Key Stage 2 mathematics is the best predictor of high attainment at Key Stage 3 mathematics and high attainment in Key Stage 2 overall is the best predictor of high attainment at Key Stage 3 overall.

High attainers in Key Stage 2 mathematics were the group that were most likely to progress to a high attaining group, in this case mathematics, at Key Stage 3, at 64\%. These Key Stage 2 mathematics high attainers are one percentage point more likely to remain fixed as high attainers (in mathematics) at Key Stage 3 than high attainers in the overall measure at Key Stage 2 are to remain fixed as high attainers (in the overall measure) at Key Stage 3. However, high attainers in Key Stage 2 English are 12 percentage points less likely to remain fixed as high attainers in English at Key Stage 3 than high attainers in the overall measure at Key Stage 2 are to remain fixed as high attainers overall at Key Stage 3.

### 4.4 Key Stage 4

There is a compulsory set of full GCSE subjects that pupils must undertake National Curriculum programmes of study in, including mathematics, English and science ${ }^{24}$; these subjects are usually assessed by taking full GCSEs. This section continues to focus on English and mathematics performance, this time at Key Stage 4. However, chapter 5 compares the take-up of all full GCSE subjects by high attaining pupils and the rest of the cohort at Key Stage 4. It also addresses the question of whether there are particular subjects that high attaining pupils do very well at or whether they are high achieving across the majority of GCSE subjects.

### 4.4.1 Grades Achieved by High Attaining Pupils in English and Mathematics in Key Stage 4 in 2006

Grades that pupils can be awarded at GCSE range from A*-G and, when a pupil's performance is categorised to be below a G grade, they are awarded an un-graded GCSE grade: U. Chart 4.9 is a stacked chart, which illustrates the rate of high attaining pupils and the rest of the cohort achieving each grade in their English and mathematics GCSEs.

[^15]Chart 4.9: Rate of Grades Achieved in GCSE English and Mathematics in 2006
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For high attaining pupils, the rate of achievement of $A^{*}$ grades in mathematics and English is 32\% and 27\%, respectively. A further $45 \%$ and $51 \%$ of high attainers are awarded A-grades. The combined rate of $A^{*}$ and $A$ grades awarded to high attaining pupils is 77\% for mathematics and 78\% for English, whereas the equivalent combined rates are only $5 \%$ and $6 \%$ for non-high attaining pupils.

### 4.4.2 Proportion of A* Grades Achieved by High Attaining Pupils at Key Stage 4

The proportion of $A^{*}$ grades that are achieved by high attaining pupils and non-high attaining pupils for GCSE mathematics and English is shown in Chart 4.10. This chart shows that $91 \%$ of mathematics A* grades and $89 \%$ of English $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ grades are achieved by high attainers.

Chart 4.10: Proportion of High-Attaining and Non-High Attaining Pupils gaining A* grades in 2006


## 5. What are the Subjects Chosen by High Attainers?

## Summary

- After English, English literature, mathematics and science, modern foreign languages are the next most popular subject choice for high attaining pupils at Key Stage 4. For the rest of the cohort, the next most popular choice is design and technology.
- $25 \%$ of high attainers take a combination of subjects that include a modern foreign language and two of: creative arts, humanities and technology. This compares with $16 \%$ of the rest of the cohort.
- High attainers take, on average, 2 more full GCSEs than the rest of the cohort, and 1 more A-level.

This chapter looks at the subjects and qualifications chosen by high attainers in their Key Stage 4 programme of study. In particular, the following are considered:

- Which are the most and least popular subjects chosen at Key Stage 4 by high attainers at the end of Key Stage 3? How does this compare with the rest of the cohort?
- What is the effect of subject selection on a pupil's probability of becoming a high attainer/ being classified as gifted and talented at the end of Key Stage 4?
- Which combinations of subjects characterise high attaining pupils? Do high attaining pupils choose a broader curriculum than the rest of the cohort?
- How many qualifications do high attainers attempt at the end of Key Stage 4 ?
- What pattern of grades is observed for high attainers at the end of Key Stage 4?

The later sections of this chapter consider similar questions for high attaining pupils at the end of Key Stage 4, and which subjects they study at A-level.

### 5.1 Subjects Chosen at Key Stage 4 by High Attainers at Key Stage 3

Table 5.1 shows, for a range of full GCSE subjects and vocational qualifications, the attempt rates of pupils with high attainment at Key Stage 3 compared with the rest of the cohort ${ }^{25}$. English, Mathematics, English Literature and two sciences are each chosen by over 98\% of high attainers. In combination, these subjects are taken by $96 \%$ of high attainers; this compares with $67 \%$ of the rest of the cohort. For English Literature, particularly, this high uptake may be less to do with the high attainers themselves than the compulsory subjects offered by the types of school they attend.

Modern foreign language (MFL) uptake has been falling steadily in recent years. In 2006, just over half (51\%) of all pupils at the end of Key Stage 4 attempted an MFL GCSE, a reduction of 17 percentage points from $68 \%$ in 2004 and 28 percentage points from $79 \%$ in 2000. Design and Technology has now overtaken MFL as the fifth most popular subject choice after English, Mathematics, Science and English Literature. However, 87\% of high attainers at Key Stage 3 still attempt at least one MFL GCSE and, for these pupils, MFL remains more popular than Design and Technology.

The most popular subject for high attainers beyond the central suite of English, Mathematics, English Literature, Science and MFL is History. Humanities are generally more popular with high attainers than with the rest of the cohort, for whom Design and Technology and Art and Design are the most popular non-core GCSE subjects.

The greatest absolute gaps in percentage uptake of subjects between the high attaining group and the rest of the cohort are observed for Physics, Chemistry and Biology, French and History. Few GCSE subjects are taken by a higher proportion of the rest of the cohort than the highest attainers: the most notable of these are Double and Single Award Science, and Design and Technology.

There is an alternative method of measuring this gap: using odds ratios rather than simple percentage point differences. For example, is the small difference in high levels of uptake in Mathematics more remarkable than the large difference in low levels of uptake in Statistics?

[^16]Table 5.1: Subjects Chosen at Key Stage 4 in 2006 by High Attainers at Key Stage 3

|  | Percentage of high attainers at Key Stage 3 attempting subject | Percentage of rest of cohort attempting subject | Absolute gap in percentage points | Observed odds ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FULL GCSEs |  |  |  |  |
| English | 100\% | 96\% | 4 | 18.3 |
| Mathematics | 100\% | 97\% | 3 | 11.0 |
| Any science | 99\% | 88\% | 11 | 15.0 |
| Any two sciences | 97\% | 76\% | 21 | 10.2 |
| Physics | 28\% | 4\% | 25 | 10.7 |
| Chemistry | 28\% | 4\% | 25 | 10.6 |
| Biology | 28\% | 4\% | 24 | 9.9 |
| Science: Double Award | 69\% | 72\% | -3 | 0.9 |
| Science: Single Award | 2\% | 12\% | -10 | 0.2 |
| English Literature | 99\% | 82\% | 17 | 17.3 |
| Any modern foreign language | 87\% | 45\% | 42 | 8.0 |
| More than one modern foreign language | 18\% | 4\% | 15 | 6.0 |
| French | 58\% | 28\% | 30 | 3.5 |
| German | 30\% | 12\% | 18 | 3.2 |
| Spanish | 15\% | 6\% | 8 | 2.5 |
| Other modern foreign language | 4\% | 3\% | 1 | 1.4 |
| English and mathematics | 100\% | 96\% | 4 | 15.8 |
| Mathematics and two sciences | 97\% | 75\% | 22 | 10.2 |
| English, mathematics and two sciences | 97\% | 75\% | 22 | 10.4 |
| English, mathematics, two sciences and English Literature | 96\% | 67\% | 29 | 11.8 |
| English, mathematics, two sciences, English Literature and an MFL | 84\% | 37\% | 47 | 9.0 |
| History | 55\% | 29\% | 26 | 2.9 |
| Geography | 41\% | 27\% | 14 | 1.8 |
| Information Technology | 19\% | 14\% | 5 | 1.5 |
| Design and Technology | 50\% | 53\% | -3 | 0.9 |
| Home Economics | 1\% | 5\% | -4 | 0.2 |
| Art and Design | 30\% | 29\% | 1 | 1.0 |
| Music | 17\% | 7\% | 10 | 2.6 |
| Religious Studies | 34\% | 22\% | 12 | 1.8 |
| Drama | 15\% | 15\% | 1 | 1.1 |
| Media/Film/TV | 6\% | 9\% | -3 | 0.6 |
| Physical Education | 21\% | 26\% | -5 | 0.8 |
| Business Studies | 15\% | 13\% | 3 | 1.3 |
| VOCATIONAL GCSEs and GNVQs |  |  |  |  |
| Art and Design | 1\% | 2\% | -1 | 0.3 |
| Business | 2\% | 5\% | -3 | 0.4 |
| Health and Social Sciences | 1\% | 6\% | -5 | 0.1 |
| Leisure and Tourism | 0\% | 4\% | -3 | 0.1 |
| Manufacturing and Construction | 0\% | 1\% | -1 | 0.4 |
| Science and Engineering | 1\% | 10\% | -8 | 0.1 |
| Information Technology | 14\% | 20\% | -6 | 0.7 |
| Performing Arts | 0\% | 1\% | 0 | 0.4 |
| VRQs, Basic Skills, Key Skills and BTECs | 16\% | 24\% | -8 | 0.6 |

In order to calculate the odds ratio, it is first necessary to calculate the odds of an event occurring (in this case, choosing a particular subject at GCSE). The odds are defined as the probability of the event happening divided by the probability of it not happening. Therefore, the odds ratio is defined by the odds of the event happening for one group, divided by the odds for the second group. Here, the odds ratio for the highest attaining pupils relative to the rest of the cohort is:

$$
\frac{p_{\text {HIGH }} /\left(1-p_{\text {HIGH }}\right)}{p_{\text {REST }} /\left(1-p_{\text {REST }}\right)}
$$

An odds ratio of greater than 1 suggests that the subject is associated with high attainment; similarly an odds ratio of less than 1 implies greater association with lower attainment.

Table 5.1, then, shows that the subjects most associated with high attainment are English, Mathematics, English Literature, the separate Sciences, and a modern foreign language. All vocational qualifications, and particularly Health and Social Sciences, Leisure and Tourism, and Science and Engineering, are not associated with high attainment.

### 5.2 Effect of Subject Selection on High Attainment and Gifted and Talented

Table 5.2 summarises the effects of GCSE subject selection on a pupil's probability of being a high attainer at the end of Key Stage 4 study $^{26}$. The model used to obtain odds ratios in this instance was a binary logistic regression, with all subjects alongside prior attainment point score at Key Stage 2, entered as explanatory variables. The odds ratios can be interpreted as showing the effect of a particular subject on the odds of high attainment after controlling for the effect of a pupils' prior attainment. For example, studying and sitting an examination in GCSE English increases the odds of high attainment for similar pupils 22 -fold, whereas GCSE mathematics has a much smaller, but still positive effect. However, results for core subjects with very high coverage such as these should probably be treated as spurious (and all results treated with a degree of caution) as there are undoubtedly many other confounding factors contributing to high attainment, other than subject selection and prior attainment. These factors were considered in Chapter 2.

[^17]Table 5.2: Effect of Subject Selection on High Attainment

| GCSE subject | Percentage <br> of students <br> becoming <br> high <br> attainers | Estimated <br> odds ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| English | $10.5 \%$ | 22.46 |
| Other modern foreign language | $18.1 \%$ | 3.47 |
| Mathematics | $10.4 \%$ | 3.17 |
| English Literature | $12.0 \%$ | 1.87 |
| Chemistry | $43.4 \%$ | 1.80 |
| French | $19.1 \%$ | 1.67 |
| Spanish | $21.0 \%$ | 1.62 |
| Geography | $15.3 \%$ | 1.54 |
| German | $21.7 \%$ | 1.49 |
| History | $17.7 \%$ | 1.48 |
| Religious Studies | $16.1 \%$ | 1.46 |
| Music | $20.0 \%$ | 1.26 |
| Media/Film/TV | $7.4 \%$ | 1.14 |
| Art and Design | $10.3 \%$ | 1.13 |
| Drama | $10.6 \%$ | 1.10 |
| Science: Double Award | $10.1 \%$ | 1.01 |
| Physical Education | $8.5 \%$ | 1.00 |
| Design and Technology | $9.6 \%$ | 1.00 |
| Biology | $41.6 \%$ | 0.99 |
| Home Economics | $3.4 \%$ | 0.97 |
| Business Studies | $11.3 \%$ | 0.91 |
| Information Technology | $12.5 \%$ | 0.91 |
| Science: Single Award | $2.0 \%$ | 0.82 |
| Physics | $43.5 \%$ | 0.75 |
| ALL SUBJECTS | $10.0 \%$ | - |
| Param |  |  |

Parameter estimates from logistic regression.
The observed odds show that the separate Sciences deliver by far the highest proportions of high attainers: over $40 \%$ of pupils in these subjects are in the top decile by the end of Key Stage 4. However, after controlling for prior attainment, the effect on high attainment of selecting these subjects is greatly reduced: for pupils with similar prior attainment, Biology and Physics both reduce the odds of becoming a high attainer at the end of Key Stage 4. Home Economics, Business Studies, Information Technology and Single Award Science also have an apparently negative effect.

Table 5.3 uses the same approach as Table 5.2 for the odds of becoming part of the gifted and talented cohort.

Table 5.3: Effect of Subject Selection on Gifted and Talented

| GCSE subject | Percentage <br> of students <br> in the G \& T <br> cohort | Estimated <br> odds ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Biology | $29.0 \%$ | 2.00 |
| Music | $20.3 \%$ | 1.72 |
| Science: Double Award | $11.6 \%$ | 1.59 |
| Physical Education | $12.7 \%$ | 1.45 |
| Media/Film/TV | $11.4 \%$ | 1.39 |
| English | $11.4 \%$ | 1.37 |
| History | $15.6 \%$ | 1.30 |
| French | $16.2 \%$ | 1.28 |
| Spanish | $17.0 \%$ | 1.27 |
| Other modern foreign language | $12.8 \%$ | 1.23 |
| Drama | $12.7 \%$ | 1.22 |
| Art and Design | $11.7 \%$ | 1.22 |
| Mathematics | $11.3 \%$ | 1.20 |
| Geography | $13.7 \%$ | 1.19 |
| Physics | $29.9 \%$ | 1.18 |
| Religious Studies | $14.1 \%$ | 1.13 |
| German | $16.1 \%$ | 1.11 |
| Information Technology | $13.2 \%$ | 1.11 |
| Chemistry | $29.8 \%$ | 1.01 |
| Business Studies | $11.3 \%$ | 0.97 |
| Science: Single Award | $4.7 \%$ | 0.96 |
| Design and Technology | $10.6 \%$ | 0.93 |

Parameter estimates from logistic regression.
Comparing Table 5.3 with Table 5.2, it can be seen that subject selection is a better predictor of high attainment than of gifted and talented: the odds ratios in general are greater. The greatest difference between the tables is in the order of subjects listed by odds ratio. Traditional academic subjects such as English, MFL and mathematics are the best predictors of high attainment, but gifted and talented is better predicted by creative and vocational subjects such as Music and Physical Education. It should be noted, however, that gifted and talented is not simply a proxy measure for high prior or current academic attainment: it should cover not just those pupils, but also those who excel, for example, in sports or the creative arts.

Chart 5.1 shows the differential effect of subjects on high attainment and gifted and talented. The separate Sciences and MFL are more likely to produce high attainers than gifted and talented pupils, while the opposite is true for Single Award Science, Media Studies and Physical Education.

Chart 5.1: Differential Effect of Subject Selection on High Attainment and Gifted and Talented


In general, the gifted and talented cohort is much less determined by subject (and, by extension, prior attainment) than the high attaining group is.

Chart 5.2 shows how subject choice at GCSE affects high attaining pupils at Key Stage 3 in terms of their ability to maintain high attainment; that is, which subjects have greater proportions of higher attainers at the end of Key Stage 4 than at the beginning, and vice versa. Again, there are many potential contributors to these results, so they should not be regarded as a measure of the 'effectiveness' of a particular subject.

The chart shows that two subject/subject groups greatly increase the probability of high attainment over the course of Key Stage 4: other MFL (over $35 \%$ more pupils become high attainers), and Home Economics (25\%). The separate Sciences reduce the probability by over $7 \%$.

Table 5.4 presents the same data but shows the proportions of pupils taking each subject who maintain high attainment between the Key Stages, and the proportions who drop out of and join the high attainment group. The overall maintenance of high attainment between the Key Stages is considered in Chapter 3: 6.4\% of pupils remain high attaining, while 3.2\% leave the group and $3.5 \%$ join. The spike for 'other MFL' in Chart 5.2 can be attributed, for example, to a rate of only $2.3 \%$ dropping out from the high attainment group, and $7.5 \%$ joining.

## Chart 5.2: Change in High Attainment Probability by Subject



Table 5.4: Effect of Subject Selection on Change in High Attainment

|  | Proportion of pupils |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High attaining at <br> Key Stage 3 and <br> Key Stage 4 | High attaining <br> at Key Stage 3 <br> only | High attaining <br> at Key Stage 4 <br> only |
| English | $6.7 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $6.6 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Physics | $33.0 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| Chemistry | $32.4 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| Biology | $6.0 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| Science: Double Award | $1.0 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Science: Single Award | $7.2 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Any science | $7.6 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| English Literature | $12.9 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| French | $15.3 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| German | $14.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ |
| Spanish | $9.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| Other modern foreign language | $6.0 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Design and Technology | $8.3 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Information Technology | $7.2 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Business Studies | $1.6 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Home Economics | $11.8 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| History | $9.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| Geography | $6.4 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Art and Design | $14.2 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| Music | $6.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Drama | $4.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Media/Film/TV | $4.9 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Physical Education | $10.2 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Religious Studies | $\mathbf{6 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 \%}$ |
| All subjects |  |  |  |

### 5.3 Combinations of Subjects Chosen by High Attainers

The above sections have considered the numbers and proportions of pupils taking subjects in isolation. The following will consider which combinations of subjects characterise high attaining pupils, and how this differs (if at all) from the rest of the cohort.

### 5.3.1 Do High Attainers Choose a Broader Curriculum of Study?

Table 5.1 showed that the great majority ( $96 \%$ ) of high attaining pupils at Key Stage 3 attempted GCSEs in English, Mathematics, English Literature and two Sciences. Similarly, $95 \%$ of high attainers attempted GCSEs in English, Mathematics, English Literature and any Science, and furthermore attempted a total of at least $8 \mathrm{GCSEs}{ }^{27}$. Using these pupils as a base, Chart 5.3 shows the distribution of other subjects making up the 8 GCSEs. Here, 'creative arts' is defined as one of Music, Drama or Art and Design; 'humanities' as History or Geography, and 'technology' as either Design and Technology or Information Technology.

The structure of the probability tree in Chart 5.3 is derived as follows:

- Step 1: Proportion taking English, mathematics and science (compulsory subjects) plus English Literature
- Step 2: Proportions following Single Award, Double Award or separate Sciences pathways
- Step 3: Proportions taking combinations of MFL, humanities, creative arts and technology

Chart 5.3 can of course be composed in any number of ways, but the diagram as it is covers all high attaining pupils and does not contain an unwieldy number of branches.

The chart shows that the most popular 'route' for high attainers includes Double Award Science, one MFL, and two of creative arts, humanities and technology, accounting for $25 \%$ of these pupils. $15 \%$ of high attainers take a comprehensive course of study that includes at least two sciences, and at least one each of creative arts, humanities and technology ${ }^{28}$.

[^18]Chart 5.3: Probability Tree: GCSE Subject Combinations (High Attainers)


Chart 5.4: Probability Tree: GCSE Subject Combinations (All Pupils)


Chart 5.4 shows that $61 \%$ of the whole cohort take the 'base' suite of English, mathematics, English Literature and any science. The most popular route is also Double Science, one MFL and two of creative arts, humanities and technology (16\%), while only $7 \%$ (compared with $15 \%$ of high attainers) take two Sciences and one each of creative arts, humanities and technology.

### 5.3.2 Cluster Analysis Approach

Another way of looking at how subject combinations differ between high attainers and the whole cohort is by performing respective cluster analyses. Rather than the 'route'/'pathway' approach of the previous section, a cluster analysis reduces a collection of variables (in this case, GCSE subjects) into smaller 'clusters' by examining which variables are most similar in terms of their observed data. In other words, it will identify which subjects are most often taken in combination. The results of this method are in Appendix C.

### 5.4 Number of Qualifications Taken by High Attainers

As might be expected, high attainers at Key Stage 3 proceed to attempt significantly more full GCSEs, on average, than the cohort as whole: a median value of 10 compared with 8 . As can be seen from Charts $5.5-5.7$, there is also considerably less spread associated with the number of GCSEs taken by high attainers. The cumulative frequencies in Chart 5.7 demonstrate that 90\% of high attainers attempt 8 or more full GCSEs, compared with $50 \%$ of all pupils.

Chart 5.5: Distribution of GCSEs Attempted by High Attainers at Key Stage 3


## Chart 5.6: Distribution of GCSEs Attempted by All Pupils



Chart 5.7: Cumulative distribution of GCSEs Attempted

## Distribution of GCSE entries



The distributions of other equivalent ${ }^{29}$ qualifications are not so disparate. The median number of non-full-GCSE qualifications attempted by high attainers is 0.8 , compared with 1 for the whole cohort. Charts 5.8 and 5.9 show that, while high attainers generally take fewer of these equivalents, the distributions are broadly similar. The spike at 4 equivalent qualifications corresponds to the effect of taking 1 GNVQ: the GNVQ is equivalent to four full GCSEs.

[^19]Chart 5.8: Distribution of GCSE Equivalent Attempts by High Attainers


## Chart 5.9: Distribution of GCSE Equivalent Attempts by Whole Cohort



### 5.5 Grades Achieved by High Attainers in Each Full GCSE Subject

The following two sections look at high attaining pupils by capped point score at the end of Key Stage 4, and their characteristic grade distributions.

Grades that pupils can be awarded at GCSE range from A*-G and, when a pupil's performance is categorised to be below a G grade, they are awarded an un-graded GCSE grade: U. Chart 5.10 is a stacked chart, which illustrates the rate of high attaining pupils achieving each grade in a GCSE subject; chart 5.11 is the equivalent chart for non-high attainers.

Chart 5.10: Rate of Grades Achieved in Each Full GCSE Subject
$\square$ Rate of A* Grades $\square$ Rate of A Grades $\square$ Rate of B Grades $\square$ Rate of C Grades $\square$ Rate of D Grades


Chart 5.11: Rate of Grades Achieved in Each Full GCSE Subject
$\square$ Rate of $A^{*}$ Grades $\square$ Rate of $A$ Grades
$\square$ Rate of $B$ Grades $\square$ Rate of $C$ Grades $\square$ Rate of D Grades
$\square$ Rate of $E$ Grades $\square$ Rate of $F$ Grades $\square$ Rate of G Grades $\square$ Rate of $U$ Grades


Focussing on chart 5.10, in Double Award Science and Religious Studies, the rate of achievement of $A^{*}$ grades by high attainers is higher than the rate of any other grades achieved, at over $40 \%$. There is also over $40 \%$ achievement of A* grades in Geography. The lowest rate of A*s are achieved in Design and Technology (Systems Control), at 20\%.

In a combined measure of A* and A rates, Geography, History, Design and Technology (Textiles), Double Award Science and Religious Studies are the subjects with the highest rates of achievement for high attaining pupils, at $85 \%$ or more.

Modern languages (German, French and Spanish) reveal the highest rate of C grades for high attainers, at between $9-11 \%$. High rates of $B$ grades are also awarded in these subjects, alongside Drama, Design and Technology (Graphics), Design and Technology (Systems Control) and Information Technology, at between $21 \%$ and $26 \%$ of the total. For every subject, less than one percent of high attaining pupils obtain a D grade and less than 0.1\% are awarded any grade below a D.

Chart 5.11 shows that the rate of $A^{*}$ grades is comparatively very low for nonhigh attainers: no more than $3 \%$ of the awarded marks in a subject are A*s. The rate of A grades is also very low, where no more than $15 \%$ of the awarded marks in a subject are A grades.

### 5.6 Proportion of A* Grades Achieved by High Attaining Pupils

This section considers the proportion of $A^{*}$ grades that are achieved by high attaining pupils compared with non-high attaining pupils at the end of Key Stage 4.

Chart 5.12 is a stacked bar chart showing the proportion of high-attaining and non-high attaining pupils gaining $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ grades. Since these proportions depend on subject take-up to a limited extent, subject take-up lines are superimposed on the graphs.

Chart 5.12: Proportion of High Attaining and Non-High Attaining Pupils Gaining A* Grades


For every subject, the majority of $A^{*}$ grades are always obtained by high attaining pupils. The lowest proportions of $A^{*}$ grades obtained by high attainers, at 60\%-64\% of the total, are in Physical Education, Art and Design and Drama; of these the take-up rate of high attainers and non-high attainers is similar for Art and Drama but 5\% lower for P.E.

The only subject, apart from Art, where there is a take-up rate above 20\% and of within one percentage point between the high attaining and non-high attaining groups is Double Award Science. Of the total number of A* grades awarded for this subject, $96 \%$ are gained by high attaining pupils.

### 5.7 Subjects Chosen at A-level by High Attainers at Key Stage 4

Table 5.5 shows, for a range of A-level subjects, the proportion of those who attempted them who were high attainers at Key Stage $4^{30} .18 \%$ of the whole cohort were high attainers, and this is a useful frame of reference for the proportions for individual subjects. Science, MFL and mathematics are the subjects most associated with high attainment. Art and Design and Drama have a high attainment composition similar to the cohort average, while Business and Accounting are among the subjects not associated with high academic attainment. The proportion of girls proceeding to Level 3 qualifications who were high attainers at Key Stage 4 is greater than the equivalent proportion of boys and, in fact, this is still the case even when broken down by subject: there is no A-level subject in which a higher proportion of boys than girls were Key Stage 4 high attainers.

[^20]Table 5.5: Proportions of High Attainers at Key Stage 4 by A-level Subject

|  | Percentage of <br> all students <br> with high <br> attainment at <br> Key Stage 4 | Percentage <br> of girls with <br> high <br> attainment at <br> Key Stage 4 | Percentage <br> of boys with <br> high <br> attainment at <br> Key Stage 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | $45 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| German | $44 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Mathematics (any) | $43 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| French | $42 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| Physics | $40 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Biology | $39 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Any modern foreign language | $35 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| General Studies | $34 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Music | $33 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Italian | $32 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Spanish | $31 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| History | $30 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Geography | $27 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Economics | $27 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Computing | $24 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Religious Studies | $23 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| English | $21 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Art and Design | $20 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Any Level 3 qualification | $18 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Drama | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Physical Education | $16 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Business Studies | $15 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Information Technology | $14 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Accounting | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Home Economics | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Media Studies | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $7 \%$ |

Table 5.6 shows the proportions of pupils attempting each subject who gained a high grade ( $A^{*}, A$ or $B$ ) in the same subject at GCSE. It can be seen that Science, MFL and mathematics each have the highest proportions of pupils with high GCSE grades (over 90\%), with Biology students having a slightly smaller proportion of high GCSE grades than Physics or Chemistry students. Over half of MFL students have an A* grade in the same subject at GCSE.

Table 5.6: Proportions of High GCSE Grades by A-level Subject

|  | Proportion of pupils $^{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Achieving <br> A* in <br> GCSE | Achieving <br> A* or A at <br> GCSE | Achieving <br> A*, A or B <br> at GCSE |
| French | $60 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| Mathematics (any) | $45 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| Spanish | $54 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| German | $52 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |
| Physics ${ }^{2}$ | $45 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Music | $43 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Biology ${ }^{2}$ | $37 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| History | $31 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Physical Education | $24 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Geography | $17 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Drama | $22 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Religious Studies | $14 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| English | $23 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Information Technology | $11 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Business Studies | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Expr | $37 \%$ | $69 \%$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ Expressed as a percentage of pupils with matched GCSE data available.
${ }^{2}$ GCSE grades in Double Award Science also considered.
High attainers at Key Stage 4 attempt, on average, 1 more A-level than the whole cohort of post-16 students: a median number of 3 compared with 2 . The distributions of $A$ level attempts are shown in Charts 5.13 and 5.14. Very few high attainers ( $7 \%$ ) take fewer than 3 A levels; the equivalent figure for all students is $51 \%$. The distribution for the whole cohort is somewhat bimodal, with notable spikes at zero and three.

Chart 5.13: Distribution of A-level Attempts by High Attainers


Chart 5.14: Distribution of A-level Attempts by Whole Cohort


## 6. What Kinds of Secondary Schools Do High Attaining Pupils Enter?

## Summary

- This chapter focuses on high attainers at the end of Key Stage 2 and describes the characteristics of the schools that these pupils join for their secondary education; specifically, the chapter profiles the type of school that high attainers attend for their Key Stage 4 exams. ${ }^{31}$
- The rate of high attainers varies by school type from around $7 \%$ of the intake to Academies to $51 \%$ of the intake to Grammar schools.
- The majority of schools ( $97 \%$ ) have at least 1 high attainer in their 2006 GCSE cohort although, for just under two thirds of schools, less than 10\% of their GCSE cohort were classified as high attaining.
- Schools with relatively large shares of high attainers have, on average, relatively high attainment at Key Stage 4 and relatively high Key Stage 2 4 contextualised value-added (CVA).
- Schools with fewer than $9 \%$ of pupils eligible for free school meals account for $45 \%$ of high attainers.
- Community Schools account for $71.3 \%$ of the intake of all FSM pupils but only $59.2 \%$ of high attaining FSM pupils. Nearly $22 \%$ of high attaining FSM pupils attend Voluntary Aided schools whereas they only account for 13.3\% of the intake of all FSM pupils.
- $92.8 \%$ of maintained mainstream high attainers remained in the same sector for their secondary education whilst $7.2 \%$ transferred to an independent school. For other pupils who took their Key Stage 2 tests in maintained mainstream schools, $98.1 \%$ stayed in this sector whilst only $1.9 \%$ moved to independent schools.


### 6.1 Distribution of High Attainers across Maintained Mainstream Secondary Schools

Chart 6.1 shows the distribution of high attainers across maintained mainstream secondary schools. The majority of schools (97.3\%) have at least one high attaining pupil attending the school although, for just under two thirds of schools (64.9\%), their Key Stage 4 cohorts include fewer than 10\% that are high attaining. There are a small number of schools (1.6\%) where over $60 \%$ of their Key Stage 4 cohort are classified as high attainers.

[^21]Chart 6.1: Distribution of High Attainers in Key Stage 4 in 2006


### 6.2 Characteristics of Schools Attended by High Attaining Pupils

### 6.2.1 School Types

This section looks at the characteristics of the secondary schools that high attaining pupils in the maintained mainstream secondary sector attend.

Table 6.1 presents two sets of statistics:
[i] The percentage of high attaining pupils and all pupils attending each type of school in their final year of Key Stage 4: defined as "the composition of the high attaining group" and the "composition of all pupils".
[ii] The percentage of Key Stage 4 pupils in each type of school that are classified as high attainers: the "rate of high attainers".

There are certain types of schools where the percentage composition of the high attaining group is lower than the percentage composition of all pupils: school types which are under-represented in terms of high attaining pupils. The broad school types include Academies, Community schools, Excellence in Cities Schools, non-Specialists, non-Faith schools and non-Grammar schools. The self governing Foundation and Voluntary Aided schools have slightly higher shares of high attaining pupils than may be expected given their shares of all pupils.

Table 6.1: Composition of Key Stage 4 High Attaining Group in 2006 by School Type and Rate of High Attaining Pupils

|  | High Attaining $\qquad$ | Number of All Pupils | Composition of High Attaining Group (\%) | Composition of All Pupils (\%) | Rate of High Attainers (\% of Cohort) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Maintained Mainstream Schools | 56,742 | 558,486 |  |  | 10.2 |
| School Type |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academies | 217 | 3,102 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 7.0 |
| City Technology College | 332 | 1,845 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 18.0 |
| Community | 29,482 | 356,920 | 52.0 | 63.9 | 8.3 |
| Foundation | 13,122 | 97,422 | 23.1 | 17.4 | 13.5 |
| Voluntary aided | 10,933 | 79,934 | 19.3 | 14.3 | 13.7 |
| Voluntary controlled | 2,656 | 19,263 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 13.8 |
| EiC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-EiC | 39,692 | 347,692 | 70.0 | 62.3 | 11.4 |
| Excellence in Cities Schools | 17,050 | 210,794 | 30.0 | 37.7 | 8.1 |
| Specialist Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Specialists | 5,795 | 84,772 | 10.2 | 15.2 | 6.8 |
| Specialist | 50,947 | 473,714 | 89.8 | 84.8 | 10.8 |
| Religious Character |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faith Schools | 10,427 | 84,770 | 18.4 | 15.2 | 12.3 |
| Non-Faith Schools | 46,315 | 473,710 | 81.6 | 84.8 | 9.8 |
| Grammar / Not Grammar |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Grammar | 45,895 | 537,217 | 80.9 | 96.2 | 8.5 |
| Grammar | 10,847 | 21,269 | 19.1 | 3.8 | 51.0 |

Focusing on the rates of high attainers in the Key Stage 4 cohort, the selective Grammar schools have a significant proportion of high attainers relative to their total share of the Key Stage 4 secondary school cohort. For nonGrammar schools, $8.5 \%$ of the cohort are high attainers compared with $51.0 \%$ of the intake to Grammar schools. High attainers in Grammar schools account for $19.1 \%$ of all high attaining pupils nationally, although their share of the total pupil population is merely $3.8 \%$.

### 6.2.2 School Attainment and Value Added

Table 6.2 shows that the school types that have relatively high proportions of high attainers (as defined by their prior attainment at Key Stage 2) also record relatively high attainment at Key Stage 4. For example, City Technology Colleges and Grammar schools have above average intake rates of high attainers and relatively strong outcomes in terms of the percentage of pupils achieving $5+\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ grades at GCSE and in terms of average point score at the end of Key Stage 4. This finding is not surprising given that a pupil's prior attainment at Key Stage 2 is the key predictor of their performance at Key Stage 4.

A school's contextualised value added (CVA) score represents the average progress that the pupils in that school make, whilst controlling for a host of background factors that influence attainment outcomes; the predecessor was Value Added, which only controlled for prior attainment. Table 6.2 presents the CVA scores for key school types in the maintained mainstream system and shows schools with above average proportions of high attainers not only perform better in terms of raw outcomes but also tend to have high CVA too. This means that the average rate of progress is relatively high in schools with above average intakes of high attainers, even after all the factors in the CVA model are controlled for, including prior attainment, average ability of intake, free school meals eligibility and other pupil characteristics. The correlation between the rate of high attainers and a school's CVA does not necessarily imply schools with relatively high numbers of high attainers are more effective but, it is a relationship that warrants further investigation. The table also shows that Academies and EiC schools buck the trend given their relatively high CVA and low proportions of high attainers.

Table 6.2: Attainment and Contextualised Value Added Key Stage 2-4 (2006) by School Type

| School Type | Rate of High Attainers (\% of Cohort) | $\begin{gathered} \% 5+\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{C} \\ \text { at end of } \\ \text { Key Stage } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | APS (capped) at end of Key Stage 4 | Key Stage 2 Key Stage 4 CVA measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community School | 8.3 | 54.4 | 285.6 | 998.9 |
| Voluntary Aided School | 13.7 | 66.5 | 315.8 | 1,004.7 |
| Voluntary Controlled School | 13.8 | 63.6 | 311.3 | 999.5 |
| Foundation School | 13.5 | 64.4 | 311.8 | 1,001.5 |
| City Technology College | 18.0 | 88.6 | 357.0 | 1,023.4 |
| Grammar Schools | 51.0 | 98.5 | 404.6 | 1,003.0 |
| Excellence in Cities (EiC) | 8.1 | 53.2 | 278.9 | 1,000.8 |
| Non EiC | 11.4 | 60.0 | 301.3 | 1,000.1 |
| Specialist schools | 10.8 | 61.0 | 302.5 | 1,001.6 |
| Non Specialist schools | 6.8 | 48.9 | 270.2 | 996.0 |
| Academies (new schools \& CTC conversions) | 7.0 | 49.8 | 260.3 | 1,018.6 |
| All Schools | 10.2 | 58.4 | 295.5 | 1000.4 |

Chart 6.2 plots the relationship between individual schools' Key Stage 4 average capped point scores and the proportion of high attainers in their Key Stage 4 cohort based on the top $10 \%$ nationally at Key Stage 2. As expected, schools admitting above average proportions of high attainers go on to achieve relatively high average point scores. The chart also shows the spread of Key Stage 4 results is widest for schools with relatively low intake rates of high attaining pupils.

Chart 6.2: Percentage of High Attainers and Average Point Score for all Secondary Schools


### 6.2.3 Free School Meal (FSM) Band

This section looks at the FSM bands of the secondary schools that high attaining pupils in the maintained mainstream secondary sector attend.

Chart 6.3 shows the distribution of high attainers by school FSM band and highlights that schools with fewer than $9 \%$ of pupils who are eligible for free school meals account for $45.3 \%$ of high attainers in the 2006 Key Stage 4 cohort.

Chart 6.3: Distribution of Key Stage 4 High Attainers in 2006 by School FSM band


### 6.3 Free School Meal (FSM) Eligibility of High Attainers by School Type

This section looks at the interaction between the average level of deprivation in a school, proxied by the free school meals (FSM) eligibility rate, and the proportion of the Key Stage 4 cohort who were classified as high attainers at Key Stage 2.

### 6.3.1 Rate of High Attainers amongst Pupils Eligible for FSM and Composition of FSM High Attainers by School Type

Table 6.3 shows the overall FSM rate and the FSM rate for pupils classified as high attainers by different school types. This highlights that FSM rate is lower for high attainers than for the rest of the cohort for all types of schools. This is as expected since high attainers are less likely to have come from deprived backgrounds. At a national level only 3.9 percent of high attainers are classified as eligible for free school meals, compared with 12.4 percent of all pupils.

In Academies, 33.8\% of all pupils are eligible for free school meals and 15.7\% of high attainers in Academies are eligible for free school meals. The table also shows that Academies have the highest FSM rate amongst high attainers of all school types. The proportion of high attainers joining community schools that are eligible for Free School Meals is $4.4 \%$, compared with $13.8 \%$ of the whole cohort joining Community Schools.

The columns which present composition statistics show that the composition of high attainers eligible for FSM is not proportionate to the composition of pupils who are eligible for FSM. Community Schools account for $71.3 \%$ of the intake of all FSM pupils but only $59.2 \%$ of high attaining FSM pupils. In Voluntary Aided and Foundation schools the proportion of high attainers that are eligible for FSM is greater than the proportion of all pupils who are eligible for FSM. Referring back to table 6.2, it appears that schools with a higher proportion of high attaining FSM pupils than expected are schools which have higher CVA scores.

Grammar schools account for almost 20\% of all high attainers (table 6.1) but when only high attainers who are also eligible for FSM are considered, this reduces to $7.7 \%$. Therefore, fewer high attaining, deprived pupils attend Grammar schools than would be expected. Further analysis of disadvantage for high attaining pupils can be found in a recent study by Centre for Markets and Public Organisation (CMPO): 'The Result of 11 Plus Selection: An Investigation into Opportunities and Outcomes for Pupils in selective LEAs' (Atkinson et al, 2006).

Table 6.3: FSM Rates by School Type (2006) and Composition of High Attainers Eligible for FSM by School Type (2006)

|  | Percentage FSM Rate | ```Percentage FSM rate (high attainers)``` | Composition of pupils eligible for FSM | Composition of high attainers eligible for FSM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Type |  |  |  |  |
| Academy | 33.8 | 15.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| City Technology College | 11.8 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| Community School | 13.8 | 4.4 | 71.3 | 59.2 |
| Foundation School | 8.3 | 2.4 | 11.7 | 14.3 |
| Voluntary Aided School | 11.5 | 4.4 | 13.3 | 21.8 |
| Voluntary Controlled School | 6.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Grammar/Not Grammar |  |  |  |  |
| Not Grammar | 12.8 | 4.4 | 99.4 | 92.3 |
| Grammar | 2.1 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 7.7 |
| All Maintained |  |  |  |  |
| Mainstream Schools | 12.4 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

### 6.3.2 Interaction between High Attaining Status and Pupils Eligible for Free School Meals for Individual Schools

Chart 6.4 shows the relationship between FSM eligibility rate and intake of high attainers for each school. For schools with an intake of less than 10\% high attainers, the FSM eligibility rates are spread across the entire range from $0 \%$ to above $50 \%$ FSM. As intakes of high attainers increase, in particular to above $20 \%$, school FSM rates drop dramatically with the majority of schools with over 20\% classified as high attainers having fewer than 10\% of their pupils eligible for free school meals.

Chart 6.4: Percentage of High Attainers and Percentage eligible for Free School Meals in all Secondary Schools (2006)


Note: There is one outlier on the chart which is known to be a school with a very high average level of prior attainment for its FSM rate.

For further discussion on the influence of deprivation at pupil, school and local level on the probability of achievement into the high attaining group, see section 2.4.

### 6.4 Pupils in the in the Independent Sector

The coverage in this section is expanded to include analysis of maintained mainstream schools and independent schools. Once again the definition of high attainment that is used is the top $10 \%$ of pupils nationally at Key Stage 2 who are in the Key Stage 42006 cohort.

Using this definition, part "A" of Table 6.4 identifies 5,011 high attaining pupils and 15,442 other pupils who took their Key Stage 2 exams in the independent sector. In the maintained mainstream sector, of those who took Key Stage 2 exams, 59,830 were high attaining pupils and 506,313 were not classified as high attainers ${ }^{32}$. Part "B" of Table 6.4 shows that $92.8 \%$ of maintained mainstream high attainers remained in the same sector for their secondary education whilst $7.2 \%$ transferred to an independent school. Comparing these pupils to all other pupils who took their Key Stage 2 tests in maintained mainstream schools, $98.1 \%$ stayed in this sector whilst only $1.9 \%$ moved to independent schools. Just over 78\% of high attainers in independent schools remained in this sector for their secondary education, whilst $22 \%$ moved to the maintained mainstream sector. Comparable figures for pupils not classified as high attainers are $75 \%$ and $25 \%$. Finally, the table highlights that within the 2006 GCSE cohort, just $5.1 \%$ of all pupils were in the independent sector for their Key Stage 4 exams, less than half the proportion of all high attainers who took their Key Stage 4 exams in independent schools (12.7\% of all high attainers)

Table 6.4: Composition of High Attaining Pupils and All Other Pupils in Maintained Mainstream Schools and Independent Schools (2006)

| PART A | High Attaining Pupils who took Key Stage 2 tests in:- |  |  | All other Pupils who took Key Stage 2 tests in:- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Stage 4 exams taken in:- |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { ®0 }} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{T}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Total Number of Pupils with Key Stage 2 Results |
| Maintained Mainstream Schools | 55,529 | 1,098 | 56,627 | 496,675 | 3,833 | 500,508 | 558,486 |
| Independent Schools | 4,301 | 3,913 | 8,214 | 9,638 | 11,609 | 21,247 | 29,738 |
| Total | 59,830 | 5,011 | 64,841 | 506,313 | 15,442 | 521,755 | 588,224 |

[^22]| PART B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Destinations of High Attaining Pupils who took their Key Stage 2 tests in:- |  |  | Destinations of All Other Pupils who took their Key Stage 2 tests in:- |  |  | Destinati |
| Key Stage 4 tests taken in:- |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{T}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | ons of all Pupils with Key Stage 2 Results |
| Maintained <br> Mainstream <br> Schools | 92.8 | 21.9 | 87.3 | 98.1 | 24.8 | 95.9 | 94.9 |
| Independent Schools | 7.2 | 78.1 | 12.7 | 1.9 | 75.2 | 4.1 | 5.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## 7. Which Pupils and Schools Tend to Take Higher Tier Papers?

## Summary

- The majority of the $18.6 \%$ of pupils with marks of $32-36$ in Key Stage 2 mathematics enter the highest tier in mathematics (tier 6-8) at Key Stage 3
- Fewer FSM pupils with the same high attainment at Key Stage 2 as non-FSM pupils take the highest tier paper. The largest difference in percentage is $17.5 \%$ for a prior attainment score of 33
- Fewer girls than boys with a point score of 35 or 36 in mathematics at Key Stage 2 take the highest tier paper
- For prior attainment scores in Key Stage mathematics above 31 it becomes more likely than not that a pupil will be entered for the 6-8 tier
- When entered into a logistic regression model with prior attainment, pupils who were EAL, pupils from most ethnic backgrounds other than White British and girls had high odds of being entered for the 6-8 tier.
- Conversely, when prior attainment was not included in a logistic model, girls, EAL pupils, other Black pupils, Black Caribbean and Summerborn pupils were now less likely to be entered for the 6-8 tier. Chinese, Indian and Mixed White and Asian pupils had even higher odds and pupils with SEN and from deprived backgrounds were even less likely to be entered.
- Roughly $18 \%$ of pupils were entered for the $6-8$ tier; $43 \%$ of the pupils sitting this paper achieved the top level.
- High Attainers in Academies (schools with above average FSM eligibility rates) have relatively high entry rates to the highest tier Key Stage 3 mathematics paper, bucking the trend for high FSM schools.

This chapter draws together analysis on the tiers of Key Stage 3 mathematics paper among pupils with different Key Stage 2 attainment.

The National Assessment Agency (NAA) produce four Key Stage 3 mathematics papers, which target pupils at levels 3-5, 4-6, 5-7 and 6-8 and where, for example, the level of difficulty of questions on the 6-8 paper for a level 6 must be equivalent to the level of difficulty for a level 6 on the 4-6 paper ${ }^{33}$. This chapter only focuses on Key Stage 3 mathematics papers since there is currently no choice of subject level tiered papers at Key Stage 2, nor for English at Key Stage 3.

[^23]
### 7.1 Tier of Key Stage 3 Mathematics Paper Taken in 2006 by Key Stage 2 Attainment in 2003

The percentage of pupils entered for each of the Key Stage 3 mathematics tiers was gathered for every Key Stage 2 mathematics mark, where marks were rounded to the nearest whole point score. The results for all pupils are displayed as stacked bars in chart 7.1.

Chart 7.1: Key Stage 3 Mathematics Paper Tier Entered in 2006 by Key Stage 2 Mark


■Tier 3-5 $\square$ Tier 4-6 $\square$ Tier 5-7 $\square$ Tier 6-8

The chart shows what we may generally expect: the lowest performers at Key Stage 2 being entered for the lowest mathematics tiers at Key Stage 3 and the highest attainers being entered for the highest tiers. Pupils featuring in the middle part of the Key Stage 2 point score range are generally entered for the 4-6 or 5-7 tier, dependant on their actual Key Stage 2 score.

The majority of the $18.6 \%$ of pupils with marks of $32-36$ at Key Stage 2 enter the highest tier at Key Stage 3 and merely $5-10 \%$ of pupils with a Key Stage 2 point score of 35 or 36 enter the 5-7 tier.

### 7.2 Tier of Key Stage 3 Mathematics Paper Taken in 2006 by Prior Attainment and Pupil Characteristics

The percentage of pupils entered for the Key Stage 3 mathematics tiers was broken down to produce analyses for non-FSM and FSM pupils. Chart 7.2 reveals the results for these two sets of pupils.

Chart 7.2: FSM \& Non-FSM Pupils: Key Stage 3 Mathematics Paper Tier Entered in 2006 by Key Stage 2 Mark


Fewer FSM pupils with the same high attainment at Key Stage 2 as non-FSM pupils take the highest tier paper. Although the focus in this chapter is high attaining pupils, it is evident that FSM pupils are less likely to be entered for a higher tier paper than non-FSM pupils across the whole range of Key Stage 2 marks. Table 7.1 provides the difference between the percentages of nonFSM and FSM pupils taking the highest tier for the higher range of Key Stage 2 marks.

Table 7.1: Percentage of Non-FSM and FSM Pupils Taking Each Tier of Key Stage 3 Mathematics Paper in 2006 for High Prior Attainment

| Key Stage 2 <br> Mathematics <br> Mark | Non-FSM Taking <br> $6-8$ Tier (\%) | FSM Taking <br> $6-8$ Tier (\%) | FSM Minus Non- <br> FSM \% Difference |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 32 | 52.8 | 38.1 | -14.7 |
| 33 | 67.6 | 50.2 | -17.5 |
| 34 | 80.4 | 65.6 | -14.9 |
| 35 | 90.0 | 77.1 | -12.9 |
| 36 | 95.4 | 84.7 | -10.8 |

The largest difference in the percentage of non-FSM and FSM pupils taking the $6-8$ tier is $17.5 \%$ : for a prior attainment score of 33 . From this prior attainment score, the difference between the percentage of FSM and nonFSM pupils taking the 6-8 tier decreases as Key Stage 2 point score increases, although there is still an $11 \%$ gap at the highest Key Stage 2 mark.

The percentage of pupils entered for the Key Stage 3 mathematics tiers was also broken down to produce analyses for females and males. Chart 7.3 reveals the results, split by gender.

Chart 7.3: Key Stage 3 Mathematics Paper Tier Entered by Key Stage 2 Mark Split by Gender


Fewer girls than boys with a point score of 35 or 36 in mathematics at Key Stage 2 take the highest tier of mathematics paper. However, a greater proportion of girls than boys with a prior attainment up to, and including, 34 points take the 6-8 tier paper. Table 7.2 provides the difference between the percentages of female and male pupils taking the highest tier for the higher range of Key Stage 2 marks.

Table 7.2: Percentage of Girls and Boys Taking Each Tier of Key Stage 3 Mathematics Paper in 2006 for High Prior Attainment

| Key Stage 2 <br> Mathematics <br> Mark | Girls Taking 6-8 <br> Tier (\%) | Boys Taking <br> $6-8$ Tier (\%) | Girls Minus Boys <br> \% Difference |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 32 | 55.3 | 48.7 | 6.6 |
| 33 | 69.1 | 64.4 | 4.7 |
| 34 | 81.3 | 78.5 | 2.8 |
| 35 | 88.7 | 89.8 | -1.1 |
| 36 | 91.5 | 96.5 | -5.0 |

The table shows that girls with a prior attainment of 32-34 are more likely to be entered into the 6-8 tier than boys. However, this likelihood decreases as Key Stage 2 point score increases and, as evident from the chart, girls have less chance of being entered for the 6-8 tier than boys for prior attainment point scores of 35 and 36.

### 7.2.1 Modelling the Chances of Being Entered for the 6-8 Tier in Key Stage 3 Mathematics in 2006.

Logistic regression ${ }^{34}$ allows one to calculate the effect a characteristic has when all other entered characteristics are taken account of. Therefore, this was used to consider the relationship between prior attainment in Key Stage 2 mathematics and pupil characteristics and the chances of being entered for the 6-8 tier paper.

18\% of all Key Stage 3 mathematics pupils are entered for the 6-8 tier paper. So, the overall odds of being entered for the $6-8$ tier are $18: 82$, or $1: 4.6$, which is written as an odds ratio of 0.22 . Table 7.3 shows how this odds ratio for being entered for the 6-8 tier paper varies for different levels of prior attainment in Key Stage 2 mathematics.

Table 7.3: Odds of Being Entered for the Key Stage 3 Tier 6-8 Mathematics Paper Given Prior Attainment in Key Stage 2 Mathematics in 2003

| Key Stage 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mathematics Mark | 15 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 33 | 35 | 36 |
| Odds Ratio | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.53 | 2.12 | 8.44 | 16.83 |

Pupils achieving a Key Stage 2 mathematics mark above 33 have very high odds of being entered for the 6-8 mathematics tier in Key Stage 3, whilst pupils with a Key Stage 2 mark below 29 have low odds of being entered. Pupils with a point score greater than 29 actually have above average odds of being entered: above 0.22. For prior attainment scores above 31, the odds reach 1 , at which point it becomes more likely than not that a pupil will be entered for the 6-8 tier.

It is also possible to fit a model including both Key Stage 2 mathematics attainment and a range of pupil characteristics. This gives a similar relationship with prior attainment but also describes the effect particular characteristics have on a pupil's odds of being entered for the 6-8 tier. Chart 7.4 shows the effect of each characteristic, where the effect sizes relate to the pupil characteristics after prior attainment has been taken into account ${ }^{35}$. Although the following variables are illustrated on the chart, they were not found to be significant at the $95 \%$ significance level: 'Irish', 'Traveller of Irish Heritage', 'any other White background', 'Mixed White and Black Asian' and 'Unclassified ethnic group ${ }^{36}$.

[^24]Chart 7.4: The Effect of Pupil Characteristics when Prior Attainment is Included on the Odds of Being Entered for the 6-8 Mathematics Tier at Key Stage 3 in 2006


Where a characteristic has a factor of more than one, a pupil with this characteristic is more likely to be entered for the 6-8 mathematics tier paper, all other things being equal. For example, Chinese has a factor of 2.64 , which means that the odds of being entered for the 6-8 tier are 164\% higher for Chinese pupils than for non-Chinese pupils with similar prior attainment and characteristics.

Where a characteristic is shown as having a factor of less than one, this characteristic is associated with a pupil being less likely to be entered for the 6-8 tier. For example, pupils who are eligible for FSM have a factor of 0.66 , which means that the odds of being entered for the 6-8 tier are $38 \%$ lower among FSM pupils than among non-FSM pupils with similar prior attainment and characteristics.

However, the absolute odds of any pupil being entered for the 6-8 tier also depends on their prior attainment. For instance, where a pupil has a Key Stage 2 point score of 27, their initial very small odds of being entered for the 6-8 tier are increased if they are EAL, although their overall odds remain low.

For a pupil with a combination of characteristics, the individual factors are multiplied together to find the overall effect. For example, the combined effect of being a Chinese girl is to increase the odds by $244 \%$, since
$2.64 * 1.30=3.44$, while the combined effect of being a Bangladeshi pupil with a SEN statement is to reduce the odds of being entered for the 6-8 tier by $48 \%$, since $1.19^{*} 0.52=0.62$.

Recent research by Strand (2007) has also considered the odds of entry into higher tier papers, using 2004 data for pupils in the Longitudinal Study of Young People in England and focusing, in particular, on results for different ethnic groups. Chart 7.4 shows that, for the 2006 national data, the odds of being entered for the highest tier in mathematics are higher than White British for all minority ethnic groups except Mixed White/Black Caribbean. However, if the IDACI variable is omitted from the model used here, the odds for the minority ethnic groups are reduced. There are actually significant interactions between IDACI and the ethnic groups, for example Black Caribbean pupils living in deprived areas have a higher odds ratio than Black Caribbean pupils more generally. Furthermore, Strand (2007) finds that when the additional direct pupil/ family measures of deprivation and socio-economic status information available in the LSYPE sample, but not in the National data, are used, the odds ratio for Black Caribbean pupils is reduced ${ }^{37}$.

It is also possible to fit a model with the full range of pupil characteristics but not including prior attainment. This model describes the effect particular characteristics have on a pupil's odds of being entered for the 6-8 tier when prior attainment is not taken into account. Chart 7.5 shows the effect of each characteristic, where all variables shown on the chart are significant at the $95 \%$ significance level. ${ }^{38}$

Chart 7.5: The Effect of Pupil Characteristics on the Odds of Being Entered for the 6-8 Mathematics Tier at Key Stage 3 in 2006-


[^25]Comparing this chart to chart 7.4 shows girls, EAL pupils, other Black pupils, Black Caribbean and Summer-born pupils are now less likely to be entered for the 6-8 tier, whereas they were more likely to be when prior attainment was included in the model. The odds of being entered for the 6-8 have also reduced for Black African pupils: they are now only $12 \%$ more likely to be entered for the higher tier than non-Black Africans with similar characteristics; this was $83 \%$ in the model which included prior attainment.

Under this model which does not include prior attainment, Chinese, Indian and Mixed White and Asian pupils now have higher odds of being entered for the 6-8 tier: the odds have increased to 417\% from 164\% for Chinese, 106\% from $70 \%$ for Indian and $69 \%$ from $37 \%$ for Mixed White and Asian pupils.

Pupils with special educational needs are much less likely to be entered for the 6-8 mathematics tier under this model: their odds have now reduced by a further $40 \%$, compared with the model with prior attainment. Odds for pupils from IDACI quartiles 2-4 and pupils who are eligible for FSM have reduced by a further 10-20\%.

### 7.3 Pupils' Attainment in Key Stage 3 Mathematics in 2006 by Paper Tier Taken

This section considers pupils' attainment in mathematics at Key Stage 3. The percentage of the cohort entered for each tier and their outcomes are addressed here and table 7.4 (a) is a cross-tabulation of the paper tier taken against the level achieved; table 7.4 (b) provides the actual numbers of pupils who entered each tier and achieved each level.

Table 7.4: Cross-tabulation of Key Stage 3 Mathematics Tier against Key Stage 3 Level Outcome in 2006
(a) Percentages

| Key Stage 3 <br> Mathematics Level | 3-5 Tier | 4-6 Tier | 5-7 Tier | 6-8 Tier |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | N | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 2 | 1.6 |  |  | 0.1 |
| 3 | 25.8 | 0.5 |  |  |
| 4 | 53.7 | 12.8 | 0.3 |  |
| 5 | 16.9 | 47.2 | 7.3 | 0.2 |
| 6 |  | 39.1 | 47.7 | 6 |
| 7 |  |  | 44.6 | 50.5 |
| All |  |  |  | 43.1 |
| Percentage of Cohort | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

## (b) Raw Figures

| Key Stage 3 <br> Mathematics Level | 3-5 Tier |  | 4-6 Tier | 5-7 Tier | 6-8 Tier |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | N | 1,978 | 656 | 290 | 157 |
| 2 | 1,738 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 27,590 | 958 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 57,281 | 23,687 | 493 |  |
| 5 | 18,060 | 87,233 | 13,164 | 254 |  |
| 6 |  | 72,257 | 86,137 | 6,481 |  |
| 7 |  |  | 80,425 | 54,900 |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 46,858 |  |

Roughly $30 \%$ of pupils were entered for tiers 4-6 and 5-7 in Key Stage 3 mathematics and approximately $18 \%$ were entered for tiers $3-5$ and 6-8. The other $4 \%$ of pupils were absent at the time of the test or working below test level.

From table 7.4(a) it is evident that of the pupils who take the highest tier, the majority achieve a level 7 , at just over $50 \%$. Level 8 s are also well-achieved in the 6-8 tier, where $43 \%$ of the pupils sitting this paper achieve the top level. Only $6 \%$ are awarded a level 6 , which is the lowest level that is tested in the 6-8 tier paper.

For those sitting the 5-7 tier, the majority of pupils achieve a level 6 , at $48 \%$. However, $45 \%$ of pupils also achieve the top level on this paper and, therefore, merely $7 \%$ are awarded the lowest level measured.

Similarly for those sitting tiers 3-5 and 4-6, the majority of pupils achieve the level in the middle of the range of levels tested. However, for the lowest tier, we see a greater percentage of pupils obtaining the lowest level tested than the highest level tested: $26 \%$ of pupils are awarded a level 3 compared with $17 \%$ who gain a level 5 .

The majority of pupils achieve the level in the middle of the range of levels tested in the tiered paper they are entered for. However, 39\% of those entered for the 4-6 tier and $45 \%$ of those entered for the $5-7$ tier achieved the highest level; the question of how many of these pupils could potentially have achieved a higher level on the next tier of paper remains unanswered. Of the pupils who sat the 3-5, 4-6, 5-7 and 6-8 tiers merely $1.6 \%, 0.5 \%, 0.3 \%$ and $0.2 \%$, respectively, were awarded a level below the level of the test paper.

Table 7.4 (b) shows that $60 \%$ of the level 7 s come from taking the $5-7$ tier and $40 \%$ are achieved in the 6-8 tier. For the level $6 \mathrm{~s}, 52 \%$ are achieved in the $5-$ 7 tier, $44 \%$ in the $4-6$ tier and $4 \%$ in the 6-8 tier.

### 7.4 School Characteristics of High Attaining Pupils at Key Stage 2 by High Tiered Key Stage 3 Mathematics Papers

This section looks at the relationship between school type and entry rates of high attaining pupils into the two highest tiers of mathematics paper at Key Stage 3.

Chart 7.6 is based on all pupils rather than just high attainers and shows that the rate at which pupils are entered for the highest tiers of mathematics papers varies by school type. Academies and Community Schools have lower proportions of pupils taking the top tier papers than the national average. Voluntary Aided and CTCs have higher proportions of pupils taking the 5-7 paper and slightly higher proportions taking the 6-8 paper than the national average, whereas Voluntary Controlled and Foundation schools have similar proportions taking the 5-7 tier paper but higher proportions taking the 6-8 tier paper.

Chart 7.6 Rate of Pupils by Type of School and High Tier (5-7 and 6-8) Mathematics Key Stage 3 Papers in 2006


Chart 7.7 is based on high attainers only and again highlights that the entry rates for the higher tier mathematics papers differ according to the type of school attended. Academies, Voluntary Aided and Voluntary Controlled Schools all have lower proportions of high attaining pupils taking the 5-7 tier and higher proportions of pupils taking the 6-8 tier, compared with the national averages for high attainers. Community schools and CTCs have the opposite relationship: they have a higher proportion of pupils taking the 5-7 tier and a lower proportion taking the 6-8 tier.

Chart 7.7 Rate of High Attaining Pupils by Type of School and High Tier (5-7 and 6-8) Mathematics Key Stage 3 Papers in 2006


Chart 7.8 shows how schools with different school-FSM rates differ in terms of the proportion of pupils taking tiers 5-7 and 6-8 when compared with the national picture. The chart shows that schools with less than 9\% of pupils eligible for FSM, including Grammar schools, enter a higher proportion of high attaining pupils for the 6-8 tier mathematics Key Stage 3 paper and a smaller proportion for the 5-7 tier than seen nationally.

Looking at Charts 7.7 and 7.8 together, it is interesting to note that high attainers in Academies (schools with above average FSM eligibility rates) have high entry rates for the highest tier paper, therefore, bucking the trend for high FSM schools.

Chart 7.9 Rate of High Attaining Pupils by Free School Meal Band and High Tiered (5-7 and 6-8) Mathematics Key Stage 3 Papers


Chart 7.9 shows that Non-EiC, Faith Schools, Specialist Schools and Grammar Schools have a higher rate of high attainers taking the 6-8 tier paper in mathematics at Key Stage 3 than the national average.

Chart 7.9 Rate of High Attaining Pupils by Different School Types and High Tier (5-7 and 6-8) Mathematics Key Stage 3 Papers


## 8. What are the Characteristics of Early Takers and AS Level Entrants in Key Stage 4?

## Summary

- Early takers in Key Stage 4 perform considerably better than the rest of the cohort in terms of point score and threshold indicators. These pupils are also characterised by high prior attainment.
- High attainers at Key Stage 3 who take specific subjects early in Key Stage 4 generally do not perform better than high attainers who take the subject at the end of the Key Stage. Mathematics and Statistics are the two subjects most commonly taken early.
- Pupils who take the AS qualification in Key Stage 4 perform considerably better than the rest of the cohort in terms of point score and threshold indicators. These pupils are also characterised by high prior attainment
- Modern foreign languages other than French, Spanish and German are the subjects most commonly taken as AS levels in Key Stage 4.

The following chapter will consider which factors, if any, influence pupils to complete Key Stage 4 early or take an AS level qualification in Key Stage 4.

### 8.1 Definition of Early Takers and Coverage

Early takers are defined as pupils aged younger than 15 on 31 August 2005 who reached the end of Key Stage 4 in 2006. As with the rest of this publication, only pupils in maintained mainstream schools in England are considered. Early takers account for a very small proportion of all pupils at the end of Key Stage 4: 588 pupils, or $0.1 \%$ of the cohort. These pupils, however, take significantly more GCSEs than other pupils, and achieve significantly better results, as Table 8.1 shows.

Table 8.1: Comparison of Early Takers With Other Pupils

|  | Early <br> takers | All other <br> pupils |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mean number of full GCSE entries | 8.7 | 7.5 |
| Mean capped point score | 354.9 | 293.9 |
| Percentage achieving Level 2 | $81.5 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ |
| Percentage achieving Level 2 including English and maths | $76.2 \%$ | $44.5 \%$ |

Girls are also significantly overrepresented in this group: 53.7\% of early takers are female, compared with $49.4 \%$ of all other pupils.
47.3\% of early takers are in the high attaining group at Key Stage 3, i.e. the top $10 \%$ by English and mathematics attainment. However, this performance is not maintained: only $36.7 \%$ of early takers remain in the top $10 \%$ by attainment at the end of Key Stage 4. This drop-out rate (22\%) still compares favourably, however, with the whole cohort, for whom the drop-out rate is $34 \%$, suggesting that completing Key Stage 4 early is not having a negative effect on these pupils' levels of attainment.

### 8.2 Schools Attended by Early Takers

The majority of early takers in this study attend non-selective schools (79.4\%), but this is a significantly smaller proportion than the rest of the cohort, 96.2\% of whom attend non-selective schools.

Early takers are not clustered in a small number of schools, suggesting that it is the characteristics of the pupils rather than the schools that determine whether they complete Key Stage 4 early. 414 schools ( $13.3 \%$ of all maintained mainstream secondary schools) have at least one early taker, with a mean of 1.4 early takers in these schools. The maximum number in any school is 6 , with the exception of one school with 45 early takers.

### 8.3 Characteristics of Early Takers

Prior attainment is expected to be a significant predictor of whether a pupil is an early taker. A logistic regression model, incorporating prior attainment at Key Stage 2 and three other characteristics derived from the pupil-level Annual Schools' Census (binary indicators for gender, FSM, English first language, and White British ethnicity ${ }^{39}$ ) shows that prior attainment is indeed the most significant predictor. First language and gender are excluded as nonsignificant when the model is reduced using a significance algorithm ${ }^{40}$. The odds ratios of the included variables demonstrate that early takers are associated more with non-FSM than with FSM, and more with black and minority ethnic pupils than with White British pupils (see Table 8.2). Only 60\% of early takers are White British compared with over $80 \%$ of the rest of the cohort.

[^26]Table 8.2: Odds Ratios Associated with Early Taker Predictors
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|c|c|}\hline & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Odds } \\
\text { ratio }\end{array}\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{l}Significance <br>
if removed <br>

(L.R. test)\end{array}\right] |\)| Key Stage 2 average point score | 1.34 | $<0.005$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White British | 0.57 | 0.005 |
| FSM | 1.23 | 0.08 |
| Gender (female) | 0.89 | 0.62 |
| English first language |  |  |

### 8.4 Early Takers in Specific Subjects

More common than pupils completing the entire Key Stage early is the practice of entering pupils in one or more subjects in the year prior to completing the Key Stage. Table 8.3 shows the prevalence of subjects taken early in this fashion. (This table is restricted to subjects with more than 100 pupils taking the examination early). The subjects most often taken early are Mathematics, Statistics, French, English and other modern foreign languages. Table 8.3 shows that Mathematics and Statistics have high proportions of high attainers within these early entrant groups, whereas English only has 10\% (i.e. the expected proportion). The last two columns show that, in general, high attainers at Key Stage 3 who take the subject early do not outperform high attainers who wait until the end of Key Stage 4. For Music, French and German (amongst others), however, the opposite is true.

### 8.5 AS Entrants in Key Stage 4 and Comparison With Other Pupils

AS level qualifications contribute towards schools' threshold percentages at Key Stage 4, counting as twice the size of a full GCSE. The following will consider whether the pattern of these qualifications is determined more by (a) the types of pupils or (b) the types of school. ${ }^{41}$

As with early takers, there are significant differences between these pupils and the rest of the cohort in terms of attainment. Table 8.4 shows that it is not the case that these pupils take AS levels at the expense of GCSEs; they take on average 1.6 more GCSEs than the rest of the cohort.

[^27]Table 8.3: $\quad$ Subjects Taken Early at Key Stage 4

| Subject | Number of pupils taking GCSE early | Number of high attainers at Key Stage 3 taking subject early | Proportion of entrants who were Key Stage 3 high attainers | Average points attained by entrants who were Key Stage 3 high attainers ${ }^{1}$ | Average points attained by all Key Stage 3 high attainers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science (other) | 398 | 124 | 31\% | 45.6 | 48.3 |
| Music | 1567 | 483 | 31\% | 52.2 | 50.3 |
| Psychology | 217 | 56 | 26\% | 47.0 | 47.4 |
| Statistics | 19631 | 4850 | 25\% | 49.2 | 49.8 |
| Latin | 1016 | 240 | 24\% | 47.0 | 49.6 |
| German | 3029 | 633 | 21\% | 50.6 | 49.0 |
| Mathematics | 19635 | 3683 | 19\% | 51.4 | 51.8 |
| Italian | 439 | 82 | 19\% | 52.1 | 51.0 |
| French | 10819 | 2011 | 19\% | 50.8 | 49.6 |
| General Studies | 389 | 72 | 19\% | 50.3 | 48.1 |
| Information Technology | 2999 | 549 | 18\% | 47.2 | 49.0 |
| Dance | 802 | 138 | 17\% | 47.4 | 48.1 |
| Classical Civilisation | 113 | 18 | 16\% | 44.7 | 49.2 |
| Design and Technology | 3874 | 573 | 15\% | 49.5 | 49.2 |
| Spanish | 2144 | 314 | 15\% | 49.1 | 49.6 |
| Science: Double Award | 1757 | 237 | 13\% | 45.7 | 51.6 |
| Media/Film/Television | 1470 | 193 | 13\% | 48.4 | 50.5 |
| Religious Studies | 6365 | 828 | 13\% | 51.5 | 51.9 |
| History | 1312 | 167 | 13\% | 50.9 | 51.6 |
| Physical Education | 1823 | 232 | 13\% | 48.8 | 50.5 |
| Chemistry | 609 | 74 | 12\% | 48.6 | 50.9 |
| Office Technology | 1808 | 219 | 12\% | 48.2 | 50.6 |
| Drama | 1268 | 150 | 12\% | 49.3 | 49.6 |
| Biology | 911 | 102 | 11\% | 48.8 | 51.1 |
| Sociology | 215 | 23 | 11\% | 48.9 | 50.9 |
| Geography | 1231 | 130 | 11\% | 48.0 | 52.0 |
| English Literature | 5592 | 572 | 10\% | 49.0 | 50.9 |
| Physics | 596 | 60 | 10\% | 49.3 | 51.0 |
| Greek | 142 | 14 | 10\% | 48.6 | 50.1 |
| Other classical languages | 498 | 49 | 10\% | 50.9 | 44.8 |
| Other MFL | 8035 | 777 | 10\% | 51.8 | 51.7 |
| Art and Design | 1859 | 179 | 10\% | 46.7 | 49.5 |
| English | 8495 | 815 | 10\% | 50.0 | 51.5 |

[^28]Table 8.4: Comparison of AS Entrants With Other Pupils

|  | AS <br> entrants | All other <br> pupils |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mean number of full GCSE entries | 9.4 | 7.8 |
| Mean capped point score | 402.3 | 292.6 |
| Percentage achieving Level 2 | $94.9 \%$ | $57.5 \%$ |
| Percentage achieving Level 2 including English and maths | $87.5 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ |

In total, 6,850 pupils in 949 schools (30.5\% of maintained mainstream schools) took at least one AS qualification in Key Stage 4. The majority (650) of these schools entered 5 or fewer pupils for AS levels, but the range is wide: the maximum is 83 pupils and the mean is 7.2 pupils per school.

Chart 8.1: Distribution of Number of AS Entrants in Schools

$57.0 \%$ of these pupils are female. This compares with $49.3 \%$ of the rest of the cohort.
43.1\% of AS entrants are in the high attainers group at Key Stage 3, i.e. the top $10 \%$ by English and mathematics attainment, and $36.2 \%$ are in the high attainers group at both Key Stage 3 and Key Stage 4. This drop-out rate between the Key Stages (16\%) is considerably better than for the whole cohort.

### 8.6 School-level and Pupil-level Predictors of AS Entry

The long tail of the distribution in Chart 8.1 suggests that there is some influence of school type on AS entry: a small number of schools are choosing to enter large numbers of pupils.

Modelling the geometric type of distribution shown in Chart 8.1 is not straightforward, so a binary logistic regression model on AS entries versus no AS entries was carried out, with the same pupil characteristics variables as before, but also including school-level variables for (1) selective schools, (2) specialist schools and (3) whether or not the school has a sixth form ${ }^{42}$.

As before, non-significant variables were removed ${ }^{43}$. In this method, after controlling for prior attainment, selective school was found not to be a significant predictor, even though $8.5 \%$ of AS entrants attend selective schools (against $3.8 \%$ of the rest of the cohort). All other variables were significant at a $95 \%$ level.

The odds ratios from the model (Table 8.5) show that Key Stage 2 prior attainment is the best predictor of AS entry. The variables are listed in order of significance. AS entry is associated more with non-FSM than with FSM, more with black or minority ethnic than with White British, more with girls than with boys, and more with a first language that is not English. Despite the AS being a Level 3 qualification, schools with a sixth form are less associated with entries in these qualifications than those without.

Table 8.5: Odds Ratios Associated With Predictors of AS Entry

|  | Odds ratio | Significance <br> if removed <br> (L.R. test) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Key Stage 2 prior <br> attainment | 1.40 | $<0.005$ |
| English first language | 0.37 | $<0.005$ |
| Specialist school | 2.06 | $<0.005$ |
| School with sixth form | 0.70 | $<0.005$ |
| Gender (female) | 1.27 | $<0.005$ |
| FSM | 0.6 | $<0.005$ |
| White British | 0.83 | $<0.005$ |
| Selective school | 0.93 | 0.14 |

### 8.7 AS Subjects Taken in Key Stage 4

Table 8.6 shows the subjects most commonly graded at AS level in Key Stage 4. The presence of other MFL subjects at the top of the list suggests the effect of pupils with a first language other than English. The OCR AS in Critical Thinking is the subject with the single greatest number of entries, followed by Mathematics and French.

[^29]Table 8.6: AS Subjects Taken in Key Stage 4

| Subject | Number of <br> AS entries |
| :--- | :---: |
| Other MFL | 1433 |
| Critical Thinking | 1361 |
| Mathematics | 859 |
| French | 796 |
| English Literature | 600 |
| General Studies | 431 |
| Religious Studies | 361 |
| History | 340 |
| Biology | 314 |
| Design and Technology | 311 |
| Information Technology | 305 |
| Chemistry | 301 |
| German | 232 |
| Music | 225 |
| Science for Public Understanding | 196 |
| Physics | 191 |
| Drama \& Theatre Studies | 184 |
| Business Studies | 155 |
| Spanish | 150 |
| Economics | 150 |
| English | 148 |
| Geography | 148 |
| Media/Film/Television Studies | 147 |
| Panjabi | 144 |
| Fine Art | 134 |
| Science: Single Award | 131 |
| Physical Education | 130 |
| Psychology |  |

## 9. To What Extent Are High Attaining Pupils Classified As Gifted and Talented?

## Summary

- 23,300 pupils were identified by teachers as gifted and talented (G\&T) and were in the top $10 \%$ of high attaining pupils; a further 40,700 were simply flagged as G\&T and 20,300 were only high attainers
- In January 2006, the average percentage of pupils identified as G\&T for all schools was $10.5 \%$; the average percentage of pupils identified as G\&T for all schools identifying G\&T pupils was $13.3 \%$. Relatively fewer pupils were identified in Year 7 (and in Years 11-13).
- Since January 2006, the number of secondary schools identifying G\&T pupils has increased 13 percentage points to $91 \%$ of schools in January 2007.
- There were regional differences in the percentage of pupils identified as G\&T in Year 8: ranging from $10.1 \%$ in the East of England to $14.1 \%$ in the South-West.
- At school level, the percentage of pupils identified as G\&T in Year 8 was higher in specialist schools than non-specialist schools, and higher in both of these than in academies
- Just over half of G\&T pupils in Year 8 had 33 Key Stage 2 points; high Key Stage 2 attainment was the single largest predictor of G\&T identification
- Using logistic regression to control for the effect of other factors, pupils were much more likely to be identified as G\&T if they were not eligible for FSM, low on the IDACI scale, from Bangladeshi, Black Caribbean, any other White, mixed White and Asian or mixed White and Black African backgrounds

In the January 2006 pupil level annual schools census (PLASC), maintained secondary schools ${ }^{44}$ were required to supply pupil level information ${ }^{45}$ including identifying which pupils were on their school registers of gifted and talented (G\&T) learners. Therefore, listed pupils were recognised as high attainers within their own schools, rather than by comparing them with the national cohort.

Each school is actively encouraged to select G\&T pupils. However, they are at liberty to define their own selection criteria and elect as many, or few, pupils as they deem appropriate. This chapter initially focuses on the degree of overlap between pupils selected as G\&T and pupils who achieve within the national top $10 \%$ at the relevant Key Stage. Since it is not necessary that these two populations equate, the chapter then turns to consider pupil and school characteristics and the prior attainment of those pupils flagged as G\&T.

[^30]
### 9.1 Quantifying the Overlap Between High Attainers at Key Stage 4 and Gifted and Talented Learners

Schools are actively encouraged to secure a gifted and talented population that is representative of their whole school population and maintain an up-todate register. However, some schools either provided a nil return to the January 2006 G\&T data collection or did not make any returns for pupils in their year 11 cohort. Therefore, for the purpose of this analysis, only schools who selected one or more of their year 11 students as G\&T were included in the comparative analysis.

780 schools, which are accountable for 15,227 of the Key Stage 4 high attainers, did not identify any year 11 students/ students from any year-group as $\mathrm{G} \& \mathrm{~T}^{46}$. Chart 9.1 illustrates the overlap between high attaining pupils at Key Stage 4 and those classified as G\&T using Venn diagram representation. Schools who did register G\&T pupils selected, on average, $14.5 \%$ of their pupils as G\&T and so percentages of G\&T pupils and high attainers (top 10\%) are not directly comparable.

Chart 9.1 Venn Diagram Illustrating the Relationship between High Attaining Pupils and Pupils Classified as Gifted and Talented in 2006


If a pupil is a high attainer at Key Stage 4, they are more likely than not to have been selected by their school to be G\&T: 53\% of the high attaining pupils are registered as G\&T.

### 9.2 National Data for Gifted and Talented Pupils in 2006

In the January 2006 School Census ${ }^{47}$, 353,000 pupils were identified by secondary schools as G\&T. The number in each year group, which ranged from 50,000 to 71,000 , is provided in Table 9.1. At the time of this census, $78.2 \%$ of schools selected one or more G\&T pupils.

[^31]The G\&T indicator was also collected in the termly summer and autumn census returns of 2006. The number of pupils flagged as G\&T increased for all year groups in the summer return, with a rise of up to 4,000 in G\&T pupils in each of years $8-11$ and 6,000 in year 7 pupils. The percentage of schools selecting one or more G\&T pupils also rose to $82.4 \%$.

Overall levels of G\&T pupils fell in the autumn return, predominately due to the very low number of pupils flagged as G\&T in the autumn of year 7, which is foreseeable given these pupils' recent entry to secondary schools. Following through individual cohorts shows that there were continued increases in the numbers of G\&T pupils in most cohorts, the largest rise being among pupils moving from year 7 in the summer into year 8 in the autumn term where G\&T numbers increased from 56,000 to 61,000 . The autumn census also showed a rise in the percentage of schools selecting one or more G\&T pupils: 83.6\%.

Since January 2006, the number of secondary schools identifying G\&T pupils has increased 12 percentage points to $90 \%$ of schools in January 2007.

Table 9.1 Pupils Classified as Gifted and Talented by Year-Group in 2006

|  | Spring |  | Incidence of <br> G\&T (\% of <br> cohort) | Summer <br> Return | Autumn <br> Return |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| National Curriculum Year-Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| All secondaries |  | $\mathbf{3 5 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 5 , 7 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 1 , 0 4 0}$ |
|  | Below Year 7 | 3,800 |  | 4,558 | 2,260 |
|  | 7 | 50,100 | 8.8 | 56,318 | 8,800 |
|  | 8 | 67,800 | 11.7 | 71,713 | 61,400 |
|  | 9 | 71,100 | 11.9 | 75,183 | 70,440 |
|  | 10 | 69,900 | 11.6 | 73,410 | 76,610 |
|  | 11 | 64,100 | 11 | 66,868 | 75,960 |
|  | Above Year 11 | 26,300 |  | 27,689 | 45,570 |

The second column of table 9.1 shows the incidence of G\&T within each year group according to the January School Census. The national pupil rate of G\&T for all schools was $10.5 \%$. The rate was considerably lower for year 7 at $8.8 \%$ of the cohort, compared with $11.6 \%-11.9 \%$ across years $8-10$, and year 11 also had a lower rate, at $11.0 \%$. Due to the lower rate of selection in year 11, further detailed analysis on G\&T pupils has been carried out on year 8. This single cohort has been used for analysis purposes in the rest of this chapter in order that consistent comparisons may be made against other factors such as prior attainment.

### 9.3 Regional and Local Authority Figures for Gifted and Talented Pupils

### 9.3.1 Regional Figures

The rate of year 8 G\&T pupils by region, and the percentage of schools with no G\&T pupils, is provided in Table 9.2. Within this table, 'composition of G\&T group' provides the percentage of G\&T pupils in each region out of the total number of G\&T pupils so, for example, $5.4 \%$ of all G\&T pupils were in the North-East region. 'Incidence of G\&T (\% of cohort)' takes into account the size of the region since it compares the number of G\&T pupils in a region to the number of non-G\&T pupils in that region; in this case the total populations used are the number of pupils in each region so, for example, $11.8 \%$ of all pupils in the North-East are classified as G\&T.

Table 9.2 Pupils Classified as Gifted and Talented by Region in January 2006

|  | Gifted and <br> Talented Pupils | Composition of <br> G\&T group (\%) | Incidence of <br> G\&T <br> (\% of <br> cohort) | \% of schools <br> with no G\&T <br> pupils |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year 8 |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 3,574 | 5.4 | 11.8 | 28.4 |
| North West | 9,014 | 13.5 | 11.0 | 25.2 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 6,825 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 20.6 |
| East Midlands | 6,647 | 10.0 | 13.2 | 25.8 |
| West Midlands | 8,078 | 12.1 | 12.7 | 14.9 |
| East of England | 6,413 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 35.8 |
| London | 8,787 | 13.2 | 12.3 | 19.9 |
| South East | 9,593 | 14.4 | 11.0 | 30.7 |
| South West | 7,792 | 11.7 | 14.1 | 19.8 |

The rate of G\&T, as a percentage of the cohort, was greatest in the South West (14\%) and East Midlands (13\%). The East of England contained the greatest percentage of schools with no G\&T pupils: $36 \%$, which corresponded to the lowest rate of G\&T of any of the regions, at $10 \%$.

### 9.3.2 Local Authority Figures

Chart 9.2 shows how the incidence of pupils identified as G\&T varies by local authority and Table 9.3 reveals the ten local authorities (LAs) with the highest and lowest rates of selected G\&T pupils in year 8, according to the January 2006 PLASC ${ }^{48}$.

[^32]Chart 9.2 Distribution of \% G\&T in Year 8 in January 2006 by Local Authority


The modal value of percentage of G\&T pupils identified within a LA was 11 and 22 local authorities selected a total of $11 \%$. The range of percentage G\&T varied from 5\%-25\% between local authorities and table 9.3 reveals that the $5 \%$ selection rate corresponds to Northumberland and Leeds and the $25 \%$ rate to Reading.

Table 9.3 The 10 Local Authorities with the Highest and Lowest Rates of Gifted and Talented Pupils in January 2006

Gifted and Talented
Incidence of G\&T
(\% of cohort)

| Year 8 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Reading * | 214 | 24.9 |
| Torbay ** | 305 | 21.5 |
| York | 349 | 20.5 |
| Poole | 281 | 20.0 |
| Somerset | 1,108 | 20.0 |
| Waltham Forest | 493 | 19.4 |
| Stoke-on-Trent * | 482 | 18.1 |
| North East Lincolnshire | 343 | 17.9 |
| Darlington | 208 | 17.7 |
| Bedfordshire | 790 | 17.4 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Windsor and Maidenhead | 103 | 7.0 |
| Tameside | 203 | 6.9 |
| Gateshead | 149 | 6.9 |
| Blackpool | 109 | 6.7 |
| Milton Keynes | 165 | 6.5 |
| Solihull | 204 | 6.5 |
| Knowsley | 107 | 6.5 |
| St. Helens | 132 | 6.2 |
| Leeds | 420 | 5.2 |
| Northumberland | 181 | 4.9 |

Those local authorities which are partially selective are marked with an asterisk and those which are selective are marked with two asterisks.

### 9.4 School Level Analysis

The distribution of the percentage of G\&T pupils (banded) in schools is shown in chart 9.3. There is a high bar at $0 \%$ G\&T as over 800 schools (i.e. $24.7 \%$ ) did not currently classify any of their year 8 pupils as G\&T; similarly large numbers of schools classified $5-10 \%$ and $10-15 \%$ of their pupils as G\&T. There is some evidence to suggest that some local authorities agreed that a specified percentage of pupils should be identified as G\&T with their schools.

Chart 9.3 Percentage of Year 8 G\&T Pupils (Banded) in Schools in January 2006


### 9.4.1 Type of School

The number of academies, specialist and EiC schools, alongside the number of specialist schools with each subject specialism, are shown in Table 9.4. Furthermore, for each of the categories described, the table provides the total number of pupils, the number and incidence of G\&T pupils and the percentage of schools with no G\&T pupils.

Table 9.4 Gifted and Talented Pupils by Type of Secondary School in 2006

|  |  | Number of schools | Total number of pupils | Number of G\&T pupils | Incidence of G\&T (\% of cohort) | No G\&T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintained Mainstream, CTCs and Academies |  | 3,289 | 568,777 | 66,073 | 11.6 | 24.7 |
| Academies |  | 23 | 3,857 | 346 | 9.0 | 34.8 |
| EiC |  | 1,237 | 218,439 | 25,577 | 11.7 | 14.9 |
| Non-Specialists |  | 1,046 | 149,253 | 16,724 | 11.2 | 27.7 |
| Specialists |  | 2,243 | 419,524 | 49,349 | 11.8 | 23.3 |
| Specialisms | Arts | 367 | 71,442 | 8,630 | 12.1 | 22.3 |
|  | Business and Enterprise | 189 | $33,367$ | $4,201$ | 12.6 | $20.6$ |
|  | Engineering | 39 | 7,056 | 807 | 11.4 | 20.5 |
|  | Humanities | 57 | 9,603 | 1,121 | 11.7 | 22.8 |
|  | Language Mathematics \& | 204 | 39,448 | 4,744 | 12.0 | 23.5 |
|  | Computing | 200 | 36,148 | 3,990 | 11.0 | 24.0 |
|  | Music | 14 | 2,443 | 323 | 13.2 | 35.7 |
|  | Science | 255 | 46,408 | 5,762 | 12.4 | 23.5 |
|  | Sports | 314 | 58,042 | 6,805 | 11.7 | 23.9 |
|  | Technology | 535 | 103,111 | 11,374 | 11.0 | 23.7 |
|  | Combined Spec | 69 | 11,506 | 1,560 | 13.6 |  |

The incidence of G\&T pupils in academies was lower than in specialist and EiC schools: $9 \%$ compared with $11.8 \%$ and $11.7 \%$ respectively. However, the information on academies is based on a very small number of schools.

The rate of G\&T pupils was slightly higher in specialist schools (11.8\%) than in non-specialists ( $11.2 \%$ ). Within specialist schools, the highest incidence was in combined specialism (13.6\%) and music specialism (13.2\%) schools. However, the latter percentage is only based on 14 schools. Mathematics \& computing and technology specialist schools had the lowest incidence of G\&T pupils, at $11.0 \%$. Most specialisms showed similar proportions of schools having no pupils classified as G\&T: 20-24\%.

Schools in Excellence in Cities areas ((EiC), had the highest proportions of schools supplying G\&T information, with only $14.9 \%$ of these schools having no pupils classified as G\&T. This may relate to the fact that G\&T policy has been a higher priority in EiC areas. However, the overall incidence of G\&T pupils in EiC areas was not noticeably higher than for other schools: $11.7 \%$ in EiC compared with $11.6 \%$ overall.

### 9.4.2 School FSM-Band

The distribution of school G\&T rates by school FSM band (including a separate band for grammar schools) is shown in chart 9.4. The mid-figure for each band is approximately $10 \%$, which means that the typical school has identified about 10\% of pupils as G\&T.

Chart 9.4 Percentage of G\&T Pupils in 2006 by School FSM Band


For schools in the higher FSM bands, there was a fairly consistent rate of G\&T pupils, with many schools clustered around rates of $8-15 \%$ and only small numbers of schools having much lower or higher rates. ${ }^{49}$ This is likely to be an EiC effect since many of the higher-FSM schools are in these areas. EiC areas were originally advised to identify $5-10 \%$ of their pupils as G\&T and, in many areas, this practice is likely to have persisted.

For schools with lower levels of FSM, the pattern of G\&T distribution is different: there is a much wider spread of school rates, which is influenced by the large proportions of these schools recording no G\&T pupils ( $25 \%$ or more). The most extreme pattern is shown by grammar schools: $36 \%$ of grammar schools did not classify any of their pupils as G\&T, while 11\% classified over half of their pupils as $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{50}$.

[^33]
### 9.4.3 Schools Identifying High Percentages of G\&T Pupils

To consider the characteristics of schools identifying very high proportions of their Y8 population as G\&T, the top $10 \%$ of schools (331) were picked out for further analysis. This covers all schools with more than $28.5 \%$ of their year 8 pupils classed G\&T.

This group of "high-G\&T" schools were additionally analysed by school FSMband, region, LA type, school type and local authority. Results for FSM-band and region are provided in tables 9.5 and 9.6 below. ${ }^{51}$

Table 9.5 Schools with a High Rate of Selection of Gifted and Talented Pupils by School FSM-band in January 2006

| All schools | Total | High G\&T <br> schools |  | Percent of All High- <br> G\&T schools |  |  | Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<=5 \%$ | 484 | 59 | 17.8 | 12.2 |  |  |  |
| $>5 \&<=9 \%$ | 720 | 70 | 21.1 | 9.7 |  |  |  |
| $>9 \&<=13 \%$ | 531 | 50 | 15.1 | 9.4 |  |  |  |
| $>13 \&<=21 \%$ | 562 | 48 | 14.5 | 8.5 |  |  |  |
| $>21 \&<=35 \%$ | 509 | 34 | 10.3 | 6.7 |  |  |  |
| $>35 \&<=50 \%$ | 226 | 17 | 5.1 | 7.5 |  |  |  |
| $>50 \%$ | 94 | 5 | 1.5 | 5.3 |  |  |  |
| Grammars | 163 | 48 | 14.5 | 29.4 |  |  |  |
| All schools | 3289 | 331 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.6 Schools with a High Rate of Selection of Gifted and Talented Pupils by Region in January 2006

| Total | High G\&T <br> schools |  | Percent of <br> all high-G\&T <br> schools | Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All schools | 391 | 35 | 10.6 | 9.0 |
| East of England | 287 | 37 | 11.2 | 12.9 |
| East Midlands | 412 | 36 | 10.9 | 8.7 |
| London | 194 | 16 | 4.8 | 8.2 |
| North East | 477 | 35 | 10.6 | 7.3 |
| North West | 498 | 51 | 15.4 | 10.2 |
| South East | 313 | 48 | 14.5 | 15.3 |
| South West | 397 | 47 | 14.2 | 11.8 |
| West Midlands | 320 | 26 | 7.9 | 8.1 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 3289 | 331 | 100 |  |
| All schools |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.5 shows that as the FSM-band of the school increases, the incidence of high-G\&T schools generally decreases. 29.4\% of grammar schools were in the high-G\&T schools group and these accounted for $14.5 \%$ of all high-G\&T schools.

[^34]The South-West, East Midlands and West Midlands had the highest rate of High-G\&T schools: $15 \%, 13 \%$ and $12 \%$ respectively. The North-West had the least, with $7 \%$. As provided in the annex, York, Torbay, Bath and Swindon were among the LAs with the greatest percentage of High-G\&T schools, with over half of schools in York falling into this group.

### 9.5 Pupil Level Analysis

### 9.5.1 Prior Attainment

The relationship between being identified as G\&T and prior attainment is shown in Table 9.7. Just over half of G\&T pupils had a Key Stage 2 attainment of 33 points, which equates to straight level 5 s , and three-quarters had at least 31 points. However, the table also shows that there were some G\&T pupils from all parts of the Key Stage 2 range, including about 5\% working below the expected level 4 . Variations in attainment are partly attributable to the fact that schools are expected to identify by ability rather than attainment and to focus on ability relative to other pupils in the school, rather than using a standard national measure. The inclusion of pupils talented in the creative arts and sports may also account for some of this variation.

Table 9.7 Gifted and Talented Population in 2006 by Prior Attainment in 2004

Gifted and Talented Pupils Composition of G\&T Incidence of G\&T
group (\%) (\% of cohort)

| Year 8 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Key Stage 2 Average Point |  |  |  |
| Score | 34,072 | 36.8 |  |
| 33 | 15,287 | 17.9 |  |
| 31 | 7,697 | 23.2 | 8.5 |
| 29 | 5,697 | 11.6 | 4.7 |
| 27 | 1,752 | 8.6 | 2.7 |
| 25 | 769 | 2.7 | 1.9 |
| 23 | 424 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| 21 | 133 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| 19 | 73 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| 17 | 58 | 0.1 | 0.6 |

The table confirms that high Key Stage 2 attainment was the single largest predictor of being identified as G\&T: about 37\% of pupils with straight level 5's were flagged as G\&T: the highest rate of any grouping in the pupil characteristic tables, which follow.

### 9.5.2 Pupil Characteristics

School census characteristics of year 8 pupils flagged as G\&T are provided in table 9.8. This table shows lower rates of G\&T for: boys, pupils eligible for FSM, pupils living in more deprived areas, pupils with SEN, looked-after children and pupils whose first language is other than English. It also shows that summer-born pupils were less likely to be G\&T than autumn-born pupils.

Table 9.8 Pupil Characteristics of Gifted and Talented Population in 2006

|  | Gifted and Talented Pupils | Composition of G\&T group (\%) | Incidence of G\&T (\% of cohort) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 8 |  |  |  |
| All Gifted and Talented | 66,073 |  | 11.1 |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| Boys | 30,935 | 46.8 | 10.9 |
| Girls | 35,138 | 53.2 | 12.8 |
| Free School Meals |  |  |  |
| FSM | 5,893 | 8.9 | 6.8 |
| Non-FSM | 60,180 | 91.1 | 12.8 |
| Special Educational Needs |  |  |  |
| SEN with a statement | 259 | 0.4 | 1.9 |
| SEN without a statement-Action Plus | 927 | 1.4 | 3.0 |
| SEN without a statement-Action | 2,537 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| No identified SEN | 62,350 | 94.4 | 14.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| In Care |  |  |  |
| In care | 110 | 0.2 | 4.2 |
| Not in care | 65,963 | 99.8 | 11.9 |
|  |  |  |  |
| First Language |  |  |  |
| English | 60,775 | 92.0 | 12.0 |
| Not known but believed to be English | 381 | 0.6 | 9.6 |
| Other than English | 4,624 | 7.0 | 10.5 |
| Not known but believed to be other than English | 201 | 0.3 | 6.8 |
| Information not obtained | 87 | 0.1 | 7.4 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Month of Birth |  |  |  |
| Autumn | 25,293 | 38.3 | 12.7 |
| Spring | 21,086 | 31.9 | 11.7 |
| Summer | 19,694 | 29.8 | 11.0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Deprivation (IDACI quartiles) |  |  |  |
| Most deprived | 12,720 | 19.1 | 9.2 |
| Q2 | 14,861 | 22.3 | 10.6 |
| Q3 | 17,817 | 26.8 | 12.7 |
| Least deprived | 21,150 | 31.8 | 14.9 |

Table 9.9 provides the breakdown by ethnicity. Although it shows that $83 \%$ of G\&T pupils were White British, it also shows a higher incidence of G\&T in Chinese and Mixed White and Asian pupils: $21 \%$ and $16 \%$, respectively. The incidence of G\&T was low amongst Pakistani pupils (8\%) and also under 10\% for each of the Black groups.

Table 9.9 Gifted and Talented Population by Ethnic Group in 2006

|  | Gifted and Talented Pupils | Composition of G\&T group (\%) | Incidence of G\&T (\% of cohort) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 8 |  |  |  |
| White |  |  |  |
| White British | 54,903 | 83.1 | 12.0 |
| Irish | 220 | 0.3 | 11.7 |
| Traveller Of Irish Heritage | 6 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Gypsy / Roma | 21 | 0.0 | 4.4 |
| Any Other White Background | 1,304 | 2.0 | 12.6 |
| Mixed |  |  |  |
| White and Black Caribbean | 758 | 1.1 | 12.5 |
| White and Black African | 199 | 0.3 | 13.7 |
| White and Asian | 477 | 0.7 | 15.9 |
| Any Other Mixed Background | 716 | 1.1 | 14.1 |
| Asian |  |  |  |
| Indian | 1,487 | 2.3 | 12.7 |
| Pakistani | 1,166 | 1.8 | 8.0 |
| Bangladeshi | 583 | 0.9 | 10.6 |
| Any Other Asian Background | 478 | 0.7 | 12.2 |
| Black |  |  |  |
| Black Caribbean | 764 | 1.2 | 9.8 |
| Black African | 880 | 1.3 | 9.5 |
| Any Other Black Background | 223 | 0.3 | 9.7 |
| Chinese | 365 | 0.6 | 21.4 |
| Any Other Ethnic Group | 512 | 0.8 | 12.1 |
| Information Not Obtained | 487 | 0.7 | 7.9 |
| Refused | 523 | 0.8 | 10.2 |

When the G\&T population is broken down by EiC status for each ethnic group, it is evident that there was always a greater rate of G\&T pupils in EiC than non-EiC schools for all ethnicities apart from mixed White and Asian. However, Mixed White and Asian is a relatively small group and the rates are high anyway. In non-EiC areas, some ethnic minorities had very low rates: Black Caribbean, Pakistani and any other White background. These findings are displayed in chart 9.5.

Chart 9.5 Ethnic Comparison of Gifted and Talented Pupils by EiC Status in 2006


It is interesting to consider the impact a pupil characteristic has after accounting for their prior attainment. Key Stage 2 results are the single greatest predictor of whether a child will be classified as G\&T and when all possible Key Stage 2 average point scores were separated and the incidence of G\&T was looked at among FSM and non-FSM pupils at each average point score, it was found the rates were very similar, as shown in Table 9.10. In fact, at point scores of 33,31 and 29, a slightly higher rate of FSM pupils than non-FSM were classified as G\&T. At lower point scores, the rates were very similar between FSM and non-FSM pupils and so the overall difference in the rate of G\&T between FSM and non-FSM pupils is accounted for by the much poorer Key Stage 2 attainment of FSM pupils.

Table 9.10 Gifted and Talented Population by FSM Split by Prior Attainment in 2006

|  | Incidence of G\&T non-FSM <br> pupils (\%) | Incidence of G\&T FSM <br> pupils (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year 8 Key Stage 2 Average Point <br> Score |  |  |
| 33 | 36.7 | 40.1 |
| 31 | 17.7 | 19.4 |
| 29 | 20.5 | 22.5 |
| 27 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| 25 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| 23 | 4.3 | 2.9 |
| 21 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| 19 | 0.8 | 1 |
| 17 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| 15 | 0.7 | 0.6 |

Once prior attainment was taken account of, the case that several groups of pupils with particular characteristics were under-represented in the G\&T population was not as strong. For example, more deprived pupils were slightly more likely to be classified as G\&T than less deprived pupils when Key Stage 2 average point score was accounted for. To a certain extent, G\&T is less biased to pupils from less deprived backgrounds than the high attainment measure and the G\&T identification programme is helping to support work on social mobility and narrowing attainment gaps.

However, since schools should not rely entirely on attainment in identifying G\&T pupils, it is also important to look at patterns of pupil characteristics without taking account of prior attainment. As each pupil can be affected simultaneously by a number of different factors, it is interesting to see how these different factors compare in terms of affecting a pupil's probability of being identified as G\&T.

### 9.5.3 Logistic Regression

Logistic regression is a statistical modelling technique that provides the facility to estimate the likelihood of an event after accounting for a number of characteristics (or factors). In doing so, it becomes possible to simultaneously estimate the effects of each of the characteristics selected for inclusion in the model. Table 9.11 below shows the relative importance of the different factors, in terms of their effect on a pupil's probability of being identified as G\&T. ${ }^{52}$

Table 9.11 Logistic Regression Results for the Gifted and Talented Population in 2006

| Effect on a <br> pupil's <br> probability of <br> being identified <br> as G\&T | Much more <br> likely | More <br> likely | Average | Less likely | Much less <br> likely |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Deprivation <br> factors: | Non- FSM; <br> Low IDACI |  |  |  | FSM; <br> High IDACI |
| Gender: |  | Bangladeshi; <br> Black Caribbean; <br> Any other White <br> background; <br> Mixed White and <br> Asian; <br> Mixed White and <br> Black <br> African | Chinese; <br> Indian; <br> Any Other <br> Ethnic <br> Group | Pakistani; <br> Black <br> African | Gypsy/Roma; <br> Mixed White and <br> Black Caribbean; <br> Any other Mixed <br> Background |
| Ethnic groups: | Any Other Asian <br> Background; <br> Any Other Black <br> Background |  |  |  |  |

[^35]
### 9.5.4 Contextualised Value-Added Scores

Contextual Value Added ( (CVA) provides a measure of the progress pupils make by comparing their exam results to the results achieved nationally by pupils with similar prior attainment and other contextual factors, such as having special educational needs or living in areas of high deprivation.

It is important to remember that CVA is a measure of progress over a period of time from a given starting point, and not a measure of absolute attainment. CVA scores were published for the first time for each secondary school in the Key Stage 4 Achievement and Attainment Tables on 11 January 2007. ${ }^{53}$

The progress made by Year 11 pupils between Key Stages 2 and 4 was considered by comparing the Key Stage 2-4 CVA scores for G\&T and nonG\&T pupils. The mean difference in progress was 22.6 points. This means that, after allowing for Key Stage 2 prior attainment and a range of contextual factors, G\&T pupils achieved higher GCSE results than non-G\&T pupils by nearly half a grade per subject, on average.

Similar analysis was carried out on the year 9 cohort, in terms of their Key Stage 2-3 progress (CVA score). G\&T pupils performed $3 / 4$ point better than non-G\&T pupils; this equates to G\&T pupils making extra progress of about a term.

[^36]
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## Appendix A: Additional Tables and Charts

Rather than provide a complete set of tables and charts, this appendix provides those for which there was no space in the main chapters

## Chapter 1

Table A1.1: Percentage of Pupils in Defined High Attaining Groups and Point Score Cut-Offs

| Key Stage | Point Score Cut-Off | \% of Pupils in Defined <br> High Attaining Group |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| KS4 2006 | 410.00 | 10.02 |
| KS3 2004-English | 42.00 | 10.23 |
| KS3 2004-Mathematics | 44.88 | 10.07 |
| KS3 2004-Average | 42.63 | 10.04 |
| KS2 2001-English | 31.38 | 10.42 |
| KS2 2001-Mathematics | 32.70 | 10.02 |
| KS2 2001-Average | 31.59 | 10.00 |
| KS3 2006-English | 42.00 | 10.11 |
| KS3 2006-Mathematics | 46.92 | 10.22 |
| KS3 2006-Average | 43.47 | 10.08 |
| KS2 2006-English | 31.92 | 10.50 |
| KS2 2006-Mathematics | 33.42 | 11.34 |
| KS2 2006-Average | 32.31 | 10.18 |

## Chapter 2

Table A2.1: Numbers of Evenings Spent Doing Homework for Pupils in Year 9 in 2004 Taken from LSYPE

| Number of school nights |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| doing homework | Non High-Attainers (\%) | High Attainers (\%) |
| Don't Know | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| 0 | 3.1 | 0.6 |
| 1 | 15.2 | 3.9 |
| 2 | 23.4 | 12.1 |
| 3 | 29.2 | 26.9 |
| 4 | 13.6 | 25.2 |
| 5 | 14.8 | 31.1 |

## Table A2.2: School Satisfaction Scores for Pupils in Year 9 in 2004 Taken from LSYPE

| School Satisfaction Variable (Scale 0-4) | Mean Score <br> Non High-Attainers | Mean Score <br> High Attainers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I am happy when I am at school. | 2.94 | 3.23 |
| School is a waste of time for me. | 3.32 | 3.53 |
| Work is worth doing. | 2.57 | 3.06 |
| Most of the time I don't want to go to school. | 2.89 | 3.22 |
| People think my school is a good school. | 2.90 | 3.24 |
| On the whole I like being at school. | 2.68 | 2.96 |
| I work as hard as I can in school. | 2.97 | 3.44 |
| In a lesson, I often count the minutes till it ends. | 3.37 | 3.72 |
| I am bored in lessons. | 2.44 | 2.90 |
| The work I do in lessons is a waste of time. | 1.88 | 2.21 |
| The work I do in lessons is interesting to me. | 2.06 | 2.47 |
| I get good marks for my work. | 3.14 | 3.39 |

## Chapter 3

## Table A3.1: The Effect of Pupil Characteristics and Prior Attainment on the Odds of Being a High Attainer in Key Stage 4 in 2006

| Step | -2 Log likelihood | Cox \& Snell R Square | Nagelkerke R Square |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $213424.580(\mathrm{a})$ | .238 | .492 |

a Estimation terminated at iteration number 8 because parameter estimates changed by less than . 001.

| Variables in the Equation | B | S.E. |  | Wald | df | Significance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 0.53 | 0.01 | 1997.76 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.70 |
| Autumn Born | -0.16 | 0.01 | 143.69 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.85 |
| Summer Born | 0.13 | 0.01 | 92.36 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.14 |
| IDACI Q2 | -0.25 | 0.01 | 348.79 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.78 |
| IDACI Q3 | -0.62 | 0.02 | 1582.26 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.54 |
| IDACI Q4 | -1.02 | 0.02 | 2547.60 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.36 |
| EAL | 0.47 | 0.04 | 181.29 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.61 |
| SEN-School Action | -0.50 | 0.05 | 120.85 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.61 |
| SEN-Statement/ School Action | -0.71 | 0.06 | 137.35 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.49 |
| Irish | 0.41 | 0.08 | 26.81 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.51 |
| Traveller of Irish heritage | -0.02 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Gypsy Roma | -0.53 | 0.76 | 0.48 | 1 | 0.49 | 0.59 |
| Other white | 0.69 | 0.04 | 307.39 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| White/Black Caribbean | -0.34 | 0.08 | 17.55 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.71 |
| White/Black African | 0.32 | 0.12 | 6.75 | 1 | 0.01 | 1.38 |
| White Asian | 0.56 | 0.07 | 60.94 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.74 |
| Other mixed | 0.42 | 0.06 | 49.34 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.53 |
| Indian | 0.92 | 0.04 | 478.41 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.51 |
| Pakistani | 0.78 | 0.05 | 205.44 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.19 |
| Bangladeshi | 0.97 | 0.07 | 180.75 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.64 |
| Other Asian | 1.24 | 0.07 | 335.12 | 1 | 0.00 | 3.47 |
| Black Caribbean | -0.18 | 0.08 | 5.85 | 1 | 0.02 | 0.83 |
| Black African | 0.77 | 0.06 | 150.20 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.15 |
| Other Black | -0.02 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 1 | 0.84 | 0.98 |
| Chinese | 1.37 | 0.08 | 296.84 | 1 | 0.00 | 3.94 |
| Other ethnic group | 1.09 | 0.07 | 250.41 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.97 |
| Eligible for FSM | -0.59 | 0.03 | 372.61 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.55 |
| Key Stage 2 Prior Attainment | 0.60 | 0.01 | 3319.72 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.83 |
| Key Stage 2 English Deviation | 0.18 | 0.01 | 992.33 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.20 |
| Key Stage 2 Mathematics Deviation | 0.06 | 0.01 | 142.62 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.06 |
| Constant | -27.19 | 0.12 | 53170.22 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 7

Table A7.1: The Effect of Pupil Characteristics when Prior Attainment is Included on the Odds of Being Entered for the 6-8 Mathematics Tier at Key Stage 3 in 2006

| Categorical Variables Codings | Frequency |  | Parameter coding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irish | 0 | 571,613 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 2,007 | 1 |
| Traveller of Irish heritage | 0 | 573,498 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 122 | 1 |
| Gypsy Roma | 0 | 573,268 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 352 | 1 |
| Other white | 0 | 563,750 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 9,870 | 1 |
| White/Black Caribbean | 0 | 567,803 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 5,817 | 1 |
| Black African | 0 | 572,239 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 1,381 | 1 |
| White Asian | 0 | 570,734 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 2,886 | 1 |
| Other mixed | 0 | 568,783 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 4,837 | 1 |
| Indian | 0 | 561,539 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 12,081 | 1 |
| Pakistani | 0 | 559,940 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 13,680 | 1 |
| Bangladeshi | 0 | 568,423 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 5,197 | 1 |
| Other Asian | 0 | 570,095 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 3,525 | 1 |
| Black Caribbean | 0 | 566,051 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 7,569 | 1 |
| Black African | 0 | 572,239 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 1,381 | 1 |
| Other Black | 0 | 571,316 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 2,304 | 1 |
| Chinese | 0 | 571,944 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 1,676 | 1 |
| Other ethnic group | 0 | 569,760 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 3,860 | 1 |
| Unclassified ethnic group | 0 | 563,178 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 10,442 | 1 |
| IDACI Q2 | 0 | 430,303 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 143,317 | 1 |
| IDACI Q3 | 0 | 431,891 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 141,729 | 1 |
| IDACI Q4 | 0 | 433,956 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 139,664 | 1 |
| Autumn born | 0 | 384,528 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 189,092 | 1 |


| Summer born | 0 | 378,866 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 194,754 | 1 |
| School Action | 0 | 507,918 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 65,702 | 1 |
| SEN statement/action plus | 0 | 532,638 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 40,982 | 1 |
| EAL | 0 | 528,337 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 45,283 | 1 |
| Gender | F | 282,777 | 1 |
|  | $M$ | 290,843 | 0 |
| FSM | 0 | 491,731 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 81,889 | 1 |


| Model Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Step | -2 Log likelihood | Cox \& Snell R Square | Nagelkerke R Square |
|  | 1 | 284543.56 |  |

Estimation terminated at iteration number 8 because parameter estimates changed by less than 001.

|  | B | S.E. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Irish | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 1 | 0.79 | 1.02 |
| Traveller of Irish heritage | -1.77 | 1.13 | 2.44 | 1 | 0.12 | 0.17 |
| Gypsy Roma | -0.63 | 0.37 | 2.82 | 1 | 0.09 | 0.53 |
| Other white | 0.36 | 0.04 | 95.07 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.44 |
| White/Black Caribbean | -0.16 | 0.05 | 8.97 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.85 |
| White/Black African | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.58 | 1 | 0.45 | 1.08 |
| White Asian | 0.32 | 0.06 | 27.46 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.37 |
| Other mixed | 0.16 | 0.05 | 9.63 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.17 |
| Indian | 0.53 | 0.04 | 192.58 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.70 |
| Pakistani | 0.23 | 0.05 | 24.45 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.25 |
| Bangladeshi | 0.18 | 0.06 | 7.41 | 1 | 0.01 | 1.19 |
| Other Asian | 0.87 | 0.06 | 200.61 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.39 |
| Black Caribbean | 0.13 | 0.05 | 6.35 | 1 | 0.01 | 1.14 |
| Black African | 0.61 | 0.05 | 153.64 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.83 |
| Other Black | 0.21 | 0.09 | 5.39 | 1 | 0.02 | 1.23 |
| Chinese | 0.97 | 0.08 | 156.68 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.64 |
| Other ethnic group | 0.65 | 0.06 | 111.06 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.92 |
| Unclassified ethnic group | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 1 | 0.50 | 1.03 |
| EAL | 0.27 | 0.03 | 74.86 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.31 |
| SEN statement/action plus | -0.64 | 0.04 | 257.18 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.52 |
| FSM | -0.42 | 0.02 | 451.04 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.66 |
| IDACI Q2 | -0.16 | 0.01 | 176.86 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.85 |
| IDACI Q3 | -0.34 | 0.01 | 680.03 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.71 |
| IDACI Q4 | -0.65 | 0.02 | 1724.35 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.52 |
| Summer born | 0.05 | 0.01 | 20.61 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.06 |
| Autumn born | -0.03 | 0.01 | 5.35 | 1 | 0.02 | 0.97 |
| School Action | -0.55 | 0.03 | 367.39 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.58 |
| Gender (Girl) | 0.26 | 0.01 | 741.66 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.30 |
| Cvap2mat | 0.69 | 0.00 | 94666.76 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.99 |
| Constant | -21.88 | 0.07 | 94278.52 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table A7.2: The Effect of Pupil Characteristics on the Odds of Being Entered for the 6-8 Mathematics Tier at Key Stage 3 in 2006

| Categorical Variables Codings |  | Frequency | Parameter coding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irish | N | 597,736 | 0 |
|  | Y | 2,105 | 1 |
| Traveller of Irish heritage | N | 599,681 | 0 |
|  | Y | 160 | 1 |
| Gypsy Roma | N | 599,400 | 0 |
|  | Y | 441 | 1 |
| Other white | N | 587,505 | 0 |
|  | Y | 12,336 | 1 |
| White/Black Caribbean | N | 593,837 | 0 |
|  | Y | 6,004 | 1 |
| Black African | N | 589,084 | 0 |
|  | Y | 10,757 | 1 |
| White Asian | N | 596,794 | 0 |
|  | Y | 3,047 | 1 |
| Other mixed | N | 594,612 | 0 |
|  | Y | 5,229 | 1 |
| Indian | N | 586,776 | 0 |
|  | Y | 13,065 | 1 |
| Pakistani | N | 585,068 | 0 |
|  | Y | 14,773 | 1 |
| Bangladeshi | N | 594,193 | 0 |
|  | Y | 5,648 | 1 |
| Other Asian | N | 595,234 | 0 |
|  | Y | 4,607 | 1 |
| Black Caribbean | N | 591,855 | 0 |
|  | Y | 7,986 | 1 |
| Black African | N | 589,084 | 0 |
|  | Y | 10,757 | 1 |
| Other Black | N | 597,242 | 0 |
|  | Y | 2,599 | 1 |
| Chinese | N | 597,798 | 0 |
|  | Y | 2,043 | 1 |
| Other ethnic group | N | 594,816 | 0 |
|  | Y | 5,025 | 1 |
| Unclassified ethnic group | N | 588,702 | 0 |
|  | Y | 11,139 | 1 |
| IDACI Q2 | N | 451,625 | 0 |
|  | Y | 148,216 | 1 |
| IDACI Q3 | N | 451,440 | 0 |
|  | Y | 148,401 | 1 |
| IDACI Q4 | N | 451,378 | 0 |
|  | Y | 148,463 | 1 |
| Autumn born | N | 402,464 | 0 |
|  | Y | 197,377 | 1 |
| Summer born | N | 395,921 | 0 |
|  | Y | 203,920 | 1 |
| School Action | N | 531,025 | 0 |
|  | Y | 68,816 | 1 |
| SEN statement/action plus | N | 555,715 | 0 |


|  | Y | 44,126 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EAL | N | 545,299 | 0 |
|  | Y | 54,542 | 1 |
| Gender | F | 295,032 | 0 |
|  | M | 304,809 | 1 |
| FSM | N | 512,227 | 0 |
|  | Y | 87,614 | 1 |


| Model Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Step | -2 Log likelihood | Cox \& Snell R Square | Nagelkerke R Square |
|  | 1 | 515743.69 | 0.08 |

Estimation terminated at iteration number 8 because parameter estimates changed by less than . 001 .

|  | B | S.E. | Wald | df | Sig. | $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{B})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irish | 0.32 | 0.06 | 32.46 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.37 |
| Traveller of Irish heritage | -2.61 | 1.01 | 6.70 | 1 | 0.01 | 0.07 |
| Gypsy Roma | -1.34 | 0.30 | 20.35 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
| Other white | 0.28 | 0.03 | 120.36 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.32 |
| White/Black Caribbean | -0.16 | 0.04 | 14.42 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.85 |
| White/Black African | 0.20 | 0.07 | 7.28 | 1 | 0.01 | 1.22 |
| White Asian | 0.53 | 0.04 | 145.73 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.69 |
| Other mixed | 0.33 | 0.04 | 81.75 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.39 |
| Indian | 0.72 | 0.03 | 772.88 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.06 |
| Pakistani | 0.13 | 0.03 | 16.01 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.14 |
| Bangladeshi | 0.31 | 0.05 | 44.35 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.37 |
| Other Asian | 0.83 | 0.04 | 463.47 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.29 |
| Black Caribbean | -0.31 | 0.04 | 58.89 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.73 |
| Black African | 0.12 | 0.03 | 11.76 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.12 |
| Other Black | -0.15 | 0.07 | 5.15 | 1 | 0.02 | 0.86 |
| Chinese | 1.64 | 0.05 | 1077.70 | 1 | 0.00 | 5.17 |
| Other ethnic group | 0.55 | 0.04 | 175.16 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.73 |
| Unclassified ethnic group | -0.08 | 0.03 | 7.81 | 1 | 0.01 | 0.93 |
| IDACI Q2 | -0.30 | 0.01 | 1130.19 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.74 |
| IDACI Q3 | -0.63 | 0.01 | 4233.70 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.53 |
| IDACI Q4 | -0.98 | 0.01 | 7256.68 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.37 |
| Summer born | -0.16 | 0.01 | 346.25 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.85 |
| Autumn born | 0.19 | 0.01 | 502.52 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.21 |
| School Action | -1.98 | 0.02 | 7951.16 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.14 |
| Gender (Girl) | 0.26 | 0.01 | 1386.29 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.30 |
| EAL | -0.14 | 0.02 | 46.25 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.87 |
| FSM | -0.77 | 0.02 | 2640.77 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.46 |
| SEN statement/action plus | -2.22 | 0.03 | 5094.35 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.11 |
| Constant | -1.00 | 0.01 | 13756.50 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.37 |

## Chapter 9

Table A9.1: Rate of G\&T Pupils by Local Authority

|  | Gifted and Talented Pupils | Incidence of G\&T (\% of cohort) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 8 L |  |  |
| Reading | 214 | 24.9 |
| Torbay | 305 | 21.5 |
| York | 349 | 20.5 |
| Poole | 281 | 20.0 |
| Somerset | 1,108 | 20.0 |
| Waltham Forest | 493 | 19.4 |
| Stoke-on-Trent | 482 | 18.1 |
| North East Lincolnshire | 343 | 17.9 |
| Darlington | 208 | 17.7 |
| Bedfordshire | 790 | 17.4 |
| Kensington and Chelsea | 103 | 17.2 |
| Wiltshire | 799 | 16.6 |
| Rutland | 77 | 16.5 |
| North Somerset | 364 | 16.2 |
| Northamptonshire | 1,265 | 16.2 |
| Swindon | 349 | 16.1 |
| Havering | 482 | 16.0 |
| Buckinghamshire | 811 | 15.9 |
| West Berkshire | 311 | 15.9 |
| Enfield | 557 | 15.9 |
| Wolverhampton | 435 | 15.9 |
| Islington | 229 | 15.7 |
| Sandwell | 551 | 15.7 |
| Bath and North East Somerset | 340 | 15.7 |
| Redcar and Cleveland | 295 | 15.6 |
| Bromley | 536 | 15.5 |
| Derby | 441 | 15.4 |
| Hartlepool | 184 | 15.3 |
| Isle of Wight | 226 | 15.2 |
| Bexley | 485 | 15.2 |
| Bury | 336 | 15.1 |
| Plymouth | 444 | 15.1 |
| Leicestershire | 1,097 | 15.0 |
| Stockton-on-Tees | 356 | 14.9 |
| Newcastle upon Tyne | 399 | 14.7 |
| Lambeth | 216 | 14.6 |
| Wakefield | 592 | 14.6 |
| Worcestershire | 880 | 14.6 |
| Rochdale | 370 | 14.5 |
| Nottinghamshire | 1,311 | 14.4 |
| Southampton | 317 | 14.4 |
| Warwickshire | 823 | 14.4 |
| Salford | 337 | 14.3 |
| Sheffield | 799 | 14.2 |
| Durham | 793 | 14.1 |
| Birmingham | 1,684 | 14.1 |
| Halton | 210 | 14.1 |
| Cheshire | 1,095 | 14.1 |
| Calderdale | 366 | 14.1 |


| Warrington | 354 | 14.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Luton | 317 | 13.9 |
| Redbridge | 410 | 13.8 |
| Haringey | 275 | 13.8 |
| Wandsworth | 250 | 13.6 |
| Gloucestershire | 891 | 13.5 |
| Greenwich | 299 | 13.3 |
| Devon | 985 | 13.2 |
| Hammersmith and Fulham | 128 | 13.1 |
| Sunderland | 450 | 13.0 |
| Dudley | 510 | 13.0 |
| North Yorkshire | 876 | 13.0 |
| Wigan | 499 | 12.8 |
| West Sussex | 1,016 | 12.8 |
| Coventry | 429 | 12.5 |
| Wokingham | 209 | 12.5 |
| Oxfordshire | 759 | 12.4 |
| Trafford | 325 | 12.3 |
| East Sussex | 612 | 12.2 |
| Newham | 395 | 12.2 |
| Derbyshire | 1,061 | 12.0 |
| Brent | 299 | 12.0 |
| Kingston upon Hull, City of | 356 | 12.0 |
| Hillingdon | 336 | 11.9 |
| Shropshire | 365 | 11.8 |
| South Gloucestershire | 360 | 11.7 |
| Manchester | 498 | 11.7 |
| Camden | 160 | 11.5 |
| Wirral | 436 | 11.5 |
| Hounslow | 283 | 11.5 |
| Barnsley | 301 | 11.4 |
| Tower Hamlets | 260 | 11.3 |
| North Lincolnshire | 225 | 11.3 |
| Lewisham | 239 | 11.3 |
| Hackney | 143 | 11.3 |
| Hertfordshire | 1,409 | 11.2 |
| Dorset | 494 | 11.2 |
| Ealing | 287 | 11.1 |
| Brighton and Hove | 240 | 11.0 |
| Richmond upon Thames | 152 | 10.9 |
| South Tyneside | 202 | 10.8 |
| Bracknell Forest | 115 | 10.7 |
| Oldham | 320 | 10.7 |
| Bolton | 362 | 10.6 |
| Bradford | 607 | 10.6 |
| Kirklees | 493 | 10.6 |
| Barnet | 315 | 10.5 |
| Bournemouth | 168 | 10.5 |
| Westminster | 134 | 10.5 |
| Lancashire | 1,422 | 10.5 |
| Southend-on-Sea | 209 | 10.5 |
| Peterborough | 230 | 10.5 |
| Blackburn with Darwen | 182 | 10.4 |
| Walsall | 375 | 10.3 |
| Nottingham | 287 | 10.3 |
| Cumbria | 604 | 10.1 |
| Telford and Wrekin | 212 | 10.1 |
| Essex | 1,572 | 10.1 |


| East Riding of Yorkshire | 400 | 10.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Lincolnshire | 804 | 10.1 |
| Kent | 1,585 | 10.0 |
| Cornwall | 577 | 10.0 |
| Kingston upon Thames | 134 | 9.9 |
| Middlesbrough | 167 | 9.8 |
| Doncaster | 357 | 9.8 |
| Slough | 129 | 9.7 |
| Hampshire | 1,305 | 9.6 |
| Stockport | 288 | 9.6 |
| Sefton | 329 | 9.4 |
| Staffordshire | 905 | 9.3 |
| Herefordshire | 167 | 9.2 |
| Sutton | 221 | 9.2 |
| Portsmouth | 174 | 9.1 |
| Barking and Dagenham | 189 | 9.1 |
| Leicester | 275 | 8.8 |
| Rotherham | 309 | 8.5 |
| Medway ** | 280 | 8.4 |
| Merton | 121 | 8.4 |
| Liverpool * | 442 | 8.4 |
| North Tyneside | 187 | 8.3 |
| Surrey | 830 | 8.2 |
| Norfolk | 689 | 7.9 |
| Harrow | 151 | 7.7 |
| Southwark | 174 | 7.7 |
| Bristol, City of | 221 | 7.5 |
| Suffolk | 558 | 7.3 |
| Thurrock | 134 | 7.2 |
| Cambridgeshire | 410 | 7.2 |
| Croydon | 248 | 7.2 |
| Windsor and Maidenhead | 103 | 7.0 |
| Tameside | 203 | 6.9 |
| Gateshead | 149 | 6.9 |
| Blackpool | 109 | 6.7 |
| Milton Keynes | 165 | 6.5 |
| Solihull | 204 | 6.5 |
| Knowsley | 107 | 6.5 |
| St. Helens | 132 | 6.2 |
| Leeds | 420 | 5.2 |
| Northumberland | 181 | 4.9 |
|  |  |  |

Table A9.2: Rate of G\&T Pupils by Local Authority Type

|  | Gifted and <br> Talented Pupils | Composition of <br> G\&T group (\%) | Incidence of G\&T <br> (\% of cohort) | \% of schools with <br> no G\&T pupils |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year 8 |  |  |  |  |
| London | 8,787 | 13.2 | 12.3 | 19.9 |
| Metropolitan | 14,883 | 22.3 | 11.2 | 18.8 |
| Shires | 31,028 | 46.5 | 11.7 | 30.3 |
| Unitary Authorities | 12,025 | 18.0 | 12.6 | 19.4 |

Table A9.3: School Level Information for G\&T Pupils

|  |  | Nuber ofschods | Tata number of pupils | Nuber of G\&Tpuils | Incidanœeof GET\%of odhat) | NbG\& | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10 \% \\ & \text { G\&T } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.20 \% \\ & \text { G\&T } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.50 \% \\ & \text { G\&T } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \%+1 \\ G \& t \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MaintainedMainstream |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CTCsandAcadamies |  | 3889 | 56877 | 60073 | 11.6 | 24.7 | 27.2 | 29.9 | 17.2 | 1.0 |
| Acadamies |  | 23 | 388 | 346 | 9.0 | 34.8 | 17.4 | 39.1 | 87 | 0.0 |
| Specidists |  | 2243 | 419524 | 49349 | 11.8 | 233 | 27.5 | 30.9 | 17.0 | 1.3 |
| Spocialisms | Ats | 367 | 7142 | 83 | 121 | 223 | 267 | 322 | 169 | 1.9 |
|  | Buinessand Eteprise | 189 | 3336 | 4201 | 126 | 20.6 | 233 | 33.3 | 222 | 0.5 |
|  | Enginering | 39 | 7056 | 807 | 11.4 | 20.5 | 30.8 | 256 | 231 | 0.0 |
|  | Hrarities | 5 | 900 | 1121 | 11.7 | 228 | 31.6 | 281 | 14.0 | 35 |
|  | Languge | 204 | 3048 | 474 | 120 | 235 | 31.4 | 25.5 | 181 | 1.5 |
|  | Maths\&Comating | 200 | 3648 | 3900 | 11.0 | 24.0 | 31.5 | 2.5 | 150 | 20 |
|  | Msic | 14 | 2443 | 323 | 132 | 367 | 14.3 | 286 | 21.4 | 0.0 |
|  | Saieme | 250 | 46408 | 5762 | 124 | 235 | 235 | 329 | 17.6 | 24 |
|  | Spats | 314 | 58042 | 605 | 11.7 | 239 | 27.7 | 29.6 | 185 | 0.3 |
|  | Tedmology | 535 | 103111 | 11374 | 11.0 | 237 | 27.5 | 34.2 | 14.0 | 0.6 |
|  | ContinedSpec | 69 | 11506 | 1500 | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| EC |  | 123 | 218439 | 25017 | 11.7 | 14.9 | 31.5 | 39.6 | 133 | 0.6 |
| FGMBands | 5\%orlessFSM | 484 | 80061 | 1082 | 123 | 29.1 | 236 | 258 | 20.5 | 1.0 |
|  | 5+to9\% | 720 | 134673 | 15173 | 11.3 | 251 | 299 | 265 | 182 | 0.3 |
|  | 9+to 13\% | 531 | 95831 | 10206 | 10.7 | 269 | 292 | 2.3 | 160 | 0.6 |
|  | 13+to21\% | 562 | 98376 | 10873 | 11.1 | 24.4 | 260 | 324 | 169 | 0.4 |
|  | 21+to35\% | 509 | 82905 | 9429 | 11.4 | 20.8 | 27.9 | 350 | 157 | 0.6 |
|  | $35+$ to $50 \%$ | 26 | 35206 | 4066 | 11.4 | 14.6 | 301 | 44.7 | 10.2 | 0.4 |
|  | Above50\% | 94 | 14293 | 1671 | 11.7 | 128 | 30.9 | 41.5 | 14.9 | 0.0 |
|  | Gamma Schods | 16 | 19353 | 372 | 19.5 | 360 | 161 | 13.0 | 236 | 11.2 |

Chart A9.1: School \% G\&T by Ethnic Minority Band of School


Table A9.4: Local Authority and School Type Information for Schools Identifying High Percentages of G\&T Pupils

Local Authority Type for top $10 \%$ of schools selecting the most G\&T pupils

|  | Number of schools in the <br> top 10\% |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All schools | Total | 412 | 36 | Rate |
| London | 724 | 52 | 7.2 |  |
| Metropolitan | 1611 | 182 | 11.3 |  |
| Shires | 542 | 61 | 11.3 |  |
| Unitary Authorities |  |  |  |  |

School Type for top 10\% of schools selecting the most G\&T pupils

|  | Number of schools in the <br> top 10\% |  |  | Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All schools | Total | 27 | 2 | 7.4 |
| Academies | 10 | 1 | 10.0 |  |
| City Technology College | 2077 | 201 | 9.7 |  |
| Community | 523 | 72 | 13.8 |  |
| Foundation | 545 | 40 | 7.3 |  |
| Voluntary aided | 107 | 15 | 14.0 |  |
| Voluntary controlled |  |  |  |  |

Local Authority for top 10\% of schools selecting the most G\&T pupils

| LA | Number of schools in the <br> top 10\% |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| York | 11 | 6 | Rate |
| Torbay ** | 8 | 3 | 37.5 |
| Rutland | 3 | 1 | 33.3 |
| Bath and North East | 13 | 4 | 30.8 |
| Somerset | 10 | 3 | 30.0 |
| Swindon | 7 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Darlington | 7 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Reading * | 35 | 10 | 28.6 |
| Somerset | 34 | 9 | 26.5 |
| Buckinghamshire ** | 4 | 1 | 25.0 |
| Kensington and Chelsea | 8 | 2 | 25.0 |
| Poole * | 12 | 3 | 25.0 |
| Southend-on-Sea ** | 29 | 7 | 24.1 |
| Wiltshire * | 17 | 4 | 23.5 |
| Waltham Forest | 17 | 4 | 23.5 |
| Stoke-on-Trent * | 13 | 3 | 23.1 |
| Newcastle upon Tyne | 22 | 5 | 22.7 |
| Dudley | 40 | 9 | 22.5 |
| Northamptonshire | 18 | 4 | 22.2 |
| Bromley * | 14 | 3 | 21.4 |
| Bury | 14 | 3 | 21.4 |
| Herefordshire | 15 | 3 | 20.0 |


| North Somerset | 10 | 2 | 20.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedfordshire | 42 | 8 | 19.0 |
| Warwickshire * | 37 | 7 | 18.9 |
| Worcestershire | 44 | 8 | 18.2 |
| Enfield * | 17 | 3 | 17.6 |
| Sandwell | 18 | 3 | 16.7 |
| Trafford ** | 18 | 3 | 16.7 |
| Wakefield | 18 | 3 | 16.7 |
| Hartlepool | 6 | 1 | 16.7 |
| North East Lincolnshire | 12 | 2 | 16.7 |
| Warrington | 12 | 2 | 16.7 |
| Medway ** | 19 | 3 | 15.8 |
| Barnet * | 20 | 3 | 15.0 |
| Sheffield | 27 | 4 | 14.8 |
| Rochdale | 14 | 2 | 14.3 |
| Southampton | 14 | 2 | 14.3 |
| Peterborough | 14 | 2 | 14.3 |
| Gloucestershire * | 42 | 6 | 14.3 |
| Lincolnshire * | 63 | 9 | 14.3 |
| Tower Hamlets | 15 | 2 | 13.3 |
| Calderdale * | 15 | 2 | 13.3 |
| Birmingham * | 76 | 10 | 13.2 |
| Leicestershire | 39 | 5 | 12.8 |
| West Sussex | 39 | 5 | 12.8 |
| Derbyshire | 47 | 6 | 12.8 |
| Nottinghamshire | 47 | 6 | 12.8 |
| Hammersmith and Fulham | 8 | 1 | 12.5 |
| Westminster | 8 | 1 | 12.5 |
| Merton | 8 | 1 | 12.5 |
| Richmond upon Thames | 8 | 1 | 12.5 |
| Redbridge * | 17 | 2 | 11.8 |
| Plymouth | 17 | 2 | 11.8 |
| Kent ** | 104 | 12 | 11.5 |
| Cheshire | 44 | 5 | 11.4 |
| Lancashire * | 88 | 10 | 11.4 |
| Islington | 9 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Havering | 18 | 2 | 11.1 |
| Brighton and Hove | 9 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Wokingham | 9 | 1 | 11.1 |
| Isle of Wight | 18 | 2 | 11.1 |
| Coventry | 19 | 2 | 10.5 |
| Hertfordshire | 79 | 8 | 10.1 |
| Kingston upon Thames * | 10 | 1 | 10.0 |
| South Tyneside | 10 | 1 | 10.0 |
| Bournemouth * | 10 | 1 | 10.0 |
| Suffolk | 60 | 6 | 10.0 |
| Wigan | 21 | 2 | 9.5 |
| Cumbria * | 42 | 4 | 9.5 |
| Lambeth | 11 | 1 | 9.1 |
| Wandsworth | 11 | 1 | 9.1 |
| Haringey | 11 | 1 | 9.1 |
| Redcar and Cleveland | 11 | 1 | 9.1 |
| North Yorkshire * | 44 | 4 | 9.1 |
| Shropshire | 22 | 2 | 9.1 |


| Oxfordshire | 34 | 3 | 8.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hampshire | 71 | 6 | 8.5 |
| Luton | 12 | 1 | 8.3 |
| Durham | 36 | 3 | 8.3 |
| Devon | 37 | 3 | 8.1 |
| Lewisham | 13 | 1 | 7.7 |
| East Sussex | 27 | 2 | 7.4 |
| Sutton * | 14 | 1 | 7.1 |
| Stockton-on-Tees | 14 | 7.1 |  |
| North Lincolnshire | 14 | 1 | 7.1 |
| Derby | 14 | 1 | 7.1 |
| Salford | 15 | 1 | 6.7 |
| South Gloucestershire | 15 | 1 | 6.7 |
| Kingston upon Hull, City of | 15 | 1 | 6.7 |
| Northumberland | 46 | 3 | 6.5 |
| Dorset | 31 | 2 | 6.5 |
| Cornwall | 31 | 2 | 6.5 |
| Bolton | 16 | 1 | 6.3 |
| Essex * | 80 | 5 | 6.3 |
| Hillingdon | 17 | 1 | 5.9 |
| Doncaster | 17 | 1 | 5.9 |
| Surrey | 53 | 3 | 5.7 |
| Wolverhampton * | 18 | 1 | 5.6 |
| Sunderland | 18 | 1 | 5.6 |
| Wirral * | 22 | 1 | 4.5 |
| Manchester | 23 | 1 | 4.3 |
| Kirklees * | 30 | 3.3 |  |
| Cambridgeshire | 30 | 1 | 3.3 |
| Staffordshire | 62 | 2 | 3.2 |
| Leeds | 40 | 2.5 |  |
| Norfolk | 52 | 1.9 |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |

Those local authorities which are partially selective are marked with an asterisk and those which are selective are marked with two asterisks.

Table A9.5: Rate of G\&T Pupils by School Type

|  | Gifted and Talented Pupils | Composition of <br> G\&T group (\%) | Incidence of G\&T <br> (\% of cohort) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year 8 |  |  |  |
| Community | 44,616 | 67.5 | 11.7 |
| Voluntary aided | 12,067 | 18.3 | 11.7 |
| Voluntary controlled | 7,326 | 11.1 | 12.9 |
| Foundation | 2,064 | 3.1 | 11.9 |

Table A9.6: The Effect of Pupil Characteristics on the Odds of Being Entered Identified as Gifted and Talented

| Model Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Step | -2 Log likelihood | Cox \& Snell R Square | Nagelkerke R Square |
|  | 1 | 403750.98 | 0.01 |

Estimation terminated at iteration number 6 because parameter estimates changed by less than 001.

|  | B | S.E. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| White Asian | 0.73 | 0.06 | 153.39 | 1 | 0.00 | 2.07 |
| Bangladeshi | 0.38 | 0.05 | 58.55 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.47 |
| Refused | 0.35 | 1.06 | 0.11 | 1 | 0.74 | 1.42 |
| Any Other White Background | 0.30 | 0.08 | 15.18 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.35 |
| Caribbean | 0.29 | 0.04 | 51.21 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.34 |
| White Black African | 0.27 | 0.05 | 31.87 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.31 |
| Indian | 0.24 | 0.04 | 28.68 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.27 |
| gender (girl) | 0.19 | 0.01 | 503.72 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.20 |
| Information Not Obtained | 0.14 | 0.05 | 7.91 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.15 |
| Any Other Ethnic Group | 0.13 | 0.03 | 19.07 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.14 |
| Chinese | 0.10 | 0.03 | 12.50 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.10 |
| Pakistani | 0.04 | 0.04 | 1.23 | 1 | 0.27 | 1.04 |
| African | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 1 | 0.64 | 1.03 |
| Traveller Of Irish Heritage | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 1 | 0.70 | 1.03 |
| Irish | -0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 1 | 0.82 | 0.99 |
| Any Other Mixed Background | -0.13 | 1.07 | 0.02 | 1 | 0.90 | 0.88 |
| Gypsy Roma | -0.22 | 0.03 | 47.72 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.81 |
| White Black Caribbean | -0.28 | 0.03 | 74.26 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| FSM eligible | -0.56 | 0.02 | 1404.46 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.57 |
| Any Other Black Background | -0.82 | 0.22 | 13.36 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.44 |
| IDACI | -0.84 | 0.03 | 928.40 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.43 |
| Any Other Asian Background | -1.25 | 0.41 | 9.10 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.29 |
| Constant | -1.87 | 0.01 | 57945.60 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.15 |

## Appendix B: Calculation of Fine Grade Point Scores

This note details the algorithm for how Key Stage 2 finely graded scores are calculated.

## Compensatory level 2 additional notes

## Note (1)

Assign these pupils the middle mark of the compensatory 2 range and then apply the algorithm in note (3).

## Note (2)

(i) If the mark is lower than the minimum mark for the compensatory level 2 range then assign the minimum mark of the compensatory level 2 range then apply the algorithm in note (3).
(ii) If the mark is higher than the maximum mark of the compensatory level 2 range then assign the maximum mark of the compensatory level 2 range then apply the algorithm in note (3).

## Note (3)

The difference in fine grade of one mark is extended from level 3 range.
Fine grade $=3.0-\left\{\frac{\min \text { lev } 3 \text { mark }- \text { mark }}{\max \operatorname{lev} 3 \text { mark }-\min \operatorname{lev} 3 \text { mark }+1}\right\}$

## Variable outputs from this process

fgpte: $\quad$ Fine grade point score for KS2 English
fgptm : Fine grade point score for KS2 mathematics
fgpts: $\quad$ Fine grade point score for KS2 science
fgnum: $\quad$ Number of fine grade point score results $(0-3)$.

## Calculating Average Point Score

The fine grade APS is the mean of a pupil's fine grade point scores.

$$
\text { fgaps }=\frac{\text { fgpte }+ \text { fgptm }+ \text { fgpts }}{\text { fgnum }}
$$

which should be rounded to two decimal places.

## Treatment of Disapplieds

Pupils who achieved all disapplied results (or a mixture of disapplied and disregarded results) at Key Stage 2 should be given a fgaps of 15.00 regardless of attainment at Key Stage 4.

## Calculating Fine Grades at Key Stage 2



## Appendix C: Cluster Analysis of Subjects at Key Stage 4

A cluster analysis reduces a collection of variables (in this case, GCSE subjects) into smaller 'clusters' by examining which variables are most similar in terms of their observed data. In other words, it will identify which subjects are most often taken in combination.

The best visual summary of a cluster analysis is in the form of a dendrogram. A cluster analysis will first agglomerate, or join together, data that are most alike, and having done so, will agglomerate this new cluster with the remaining data, and so on. The dendrogram shows how clusters agglomerate, and in which order, and a measure of their distance, or 'alike'-ness.

Chart C1.1: Cluster Analysis: Subjects Dendrogram for High Attainers


Chart C1.1 can be interpreted as follows:

- For high attainers, English, Mathematics and English Literature, and Physics, Chemistry and Biology occur most often in combination;
- Single Award Science, Home Economics and other MFL often occur in combination, but are not as tightly clustered as the above combinations;
- Media, Film and TV also occurs with Single Award Science, Home Economics and other MFL, but not quite as frequently;
- The broadest cluster analysis reduces the data to two sets of variables: English, Mathematics, English Literature, Double Award Science, French, History and Design and Technology, and all other subjects.
- The less alike two clusters, the longer the branch of the dendrogram: for example, the English, Mathematics and English Literature cluster has a long branch, so is less associated with Double Award Science than, say, the Single Award Science/Home Economics/other MFL cluster is with Media, Film and TV.

At a distance of 7, there are only three clusters, with all other subjects unagglomerated. At a distance of 16, the Single Award Science/Home Economics/other MFL cluster has grown to 10 subjects, but there are still only three clusters, and 7 subjects are unagglomerated.

Chart C1.2: Cluster Analysis: Subjects Dendrogram for All Pupils


Chart C1.2 shows that agglomeration occurs more rapidly for the whole cohort, but that the variables in the clusters are largely the same. At a distance of 16 , only four subjects remain unagglomerated.

In conclusion:

- Clustering occurs more rapidly for all pupils than for high attainers, so more subjects remain unagglomerated for high attaining pupils. This poorer association between subjects suggests that subject choice for high attainers is not as dependent on existing choices, and as a result, they are more likely to take a broader course of study.
- There is, however, a wider core of subjects for high attainers. The dendrogram shows that there are seven subjects (English, Mathematics, English Literature, Double Award Science, French, History and Design and Technology) which are both clustered and set apart from other subjects. For all pupils, this core contains only five subjects and excludes French and History.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ An example flow diagram is provided in appendix $B$ to show how finely graded point scores relate to marks.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cut-off values of finely graded/ capped point scores for all high attaining groups, alongside the percentage of pupils within each group, are provided in the annex.
    ${ }^{3}$ Schools are required to indicate which of their pupils are gifted and talented in their school census return. For detailed information on Government policy and identification of gifted and talented learners visit http://www.standards.dfes.gov.uk/giftedandtalented/

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ Special educational needs are broken down into two categories: those with a statement or identified as School Action Plus and those classified as School Action.
    ${ }^{5}$ Month of birth has been grouped into three periods, corresponding to pupils who are born in the autumn (September-December), those born in the spring (January-April) and those born in the summer (May-August).
    ${ }^{6}$ Further information on measures of deprivation is cited in DfES (2006b). The 2006 national median for the Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index was 0.15 (2 d.p.)

[^2]:    ${ }^{7}$ For the purposes of this analysis, ethnicity has been broken down into 18 ethnic groups; further breakdowns are available, but these result in low numbers of pupils per category.

[^3]:    ${ }^{8}$ The numbers of 'Travellers of Irish heritage' or 'Gypsy/ Roma' pupils are too small to base judgements on.

[^4]:    $\square K S 2$
    ■KS4

[^5]:    9 The ethnic groups 'Travellers of Irish Heritage' and 'Gypsy/Roma' are also underrepresented but they have very low numbers of pupils and so judgements based on this are unreliable.

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ High school FSM rate analysis is continued in chapter 6 of this bulletin.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ LSYPE involves a 2-stage sample: at stage 1 schools are sampled using a modified probability proportional to size design and, at stage 2, year 9 pupils within selected schools are sampled.
    ${ }^{12}$ This excludes pupils in very small schools, boarders and foreign nationals residing in England for the sole purpose of attending school
    ${ }^{13}$ Approximately 1,000 each of Indian, Black African, Black Caribbean, Bangladeshi, Pakistani and Mixed origin.
    14 There is further discussion of subject choice in chapter 4.

[^8]:    ${ }^{15}$ Average scores for each of the 12 measures separately are available in the annex.
    ${ }^{16}$ The parent who is most involved in their child's education; this is obtained through the following question: "One of the aims of the study is to find out about (name of sample young person)'s time at school... Can I check then, which one of you would you say is most involved in (name of young person)'s education?"

[^9]:    ${ }^{17}$ The March 2007 latest 'Further Education, Work-based Learning for Young People, Train to Gain and Adult and Community Learning - Learner Numbers in England - October 2006' SFR updates data on learners by age (under 19, 19 and over), gender, sector subject area (SSA), ethnicity and level. For FE learners, figures show more detailed age breakdowns of learners by institution type and mode of attendance. For WBLYP, breakdowns by programme of study of learners are provided, alongside Train to Gain figures.

[^10]:    ${ }^{18}$ The model used here is based on the ordinary least squares method although a multi-level approach could also be taken.
    ${ }^{19}$ Key Stage 3 prior attainment could also have been used

[^11]:    ${ }^{20}$ Coefficients and the R-square statistic are provided in the annex.

[^12]:    ${ }^{21}$ The 'Overall' measure for Key Stage 2 is an average of Key Stage 2 mathematics result and Key Stage 2 English result

[^13]:    ${ }^{22}$ It is not possible to get the same number in each group due to pupils achieving the same mark at subject cut-offs.

[^14]:    ${ }^{23}$ Section 7.1 addresses how tier of paper taken at Key Stage 3 allows for higher marks in mathematics.

[^15]:    ${ }^{24}$ Pupils must also follow National Curriculum programmes of study in physical education, citizenship and information and communications technology and study religious education. A range of full and short course GCSEs are available in these subjects.

[^16]:    ${ }^{25}$ As defined in the Introduction, high attainment at Key Stage 3 is top $10 \%$ by average point score in the English and mathematics National Curriculum tests. The cohort is all pupils in maintained mainstream schools in England who reached the end of Key Stage 4 in 2006.

[^17]:    ${ }^{26}$ Defined as in the Introduction: top $10 \%$ by capped point score.

[^18]:    ${ }^{27}$ The study of English, mathematics and science is compulsory at Key Stage 4 (http://www.qca.org.uk/14-19/11-16-schools/110 133.htm, Qualifications and Curriculum Authority, 2004). The study of English Literature, however, is not a statutory requirement. ${ }^{28}$ 'Comprehensive' as defined purely within the scope of this bulletin: this is not a recognised DfES or QCA definition.

[^19]:    ${ }^{29}$ Qualifications approved for pre-16 study by QCA which contribute towards national PSA targets and schools' measures in the Achievement and Attainment Tables. In addition to full GCSEs, they include short course GCSEs, GNVQs, vocational qualifications, Basic and Key Skills, and entry level qualifications.

[^20]:    ${ }^{30}$ The cohort are those pupils included in the Post-16 Achievement and Attainment Tables in 2006. High attainment at Key Stage 4 defined by the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile of capped point score in maintained mainstream schools in 2004 (the year in which the majority of the cohort completed Key Stage 4).

[^21]:    ${ }^{31}$ The analysis is based on the Key Stage 42006 cohort and identifies those pupils in the cohort that achieved high scores in their Key Stage 2 exams (mostly taken in 2001). Those with Key Stage 2 scores in the top $10 \%$ of all scores were classified as high attainers.

[^22]:    ${ }^{32}$ This 59,830 total differs slightly from that used in earlier parts of this chapter because it refers to all maintained mainstream pupils with Key Stage 2 results, not just those that were part of the 2006 Key Stage 4 maintained mainstream cohort.

[^23]:    ${ }^{33}$ Further explanation on tiers of paper can be found on the Qualifications and Curriculum, Authority (QCA) website: http://www.gca.org.uk/

[^24]:    ${ }^{34}$ The model used here is based on the ordinary least squares method although a multi-level approach could also be taken.
    ${ }^{35}$ The characteristics used in the model were: 2 defined types of special educational need: (i) whether the pupil has a SEN statement/ is school action plus and (ii) whether the pupil is school action; whether the pupil lives in (i) the $25 \%$ most deprived areas (IDACI Q4) (ii) the next $25 \%$ of most deprived areas (IDACI Q3) (iii) the next $25 \%$ of areas (IDACI Q2) (iv) the $25 \%$ least deprived areas (IDACI Q1); all ethnic groups; pupils eligible for free school meals (FSM); whether the pupil is autumn-born (September-December); whether the pupil is summer-born (May-August); whether the pupil is female.
    ${ }^{36}$ Coefficients, frequencies and the R-squared statistic are provided in the annex.

[^25]:    ${ }^{37}$ There is scope for further research on this issue.
    ${ }^{38}$ Coefficients, frequencies and the R-squared statistic are provided in the annex.

[^26]:    ${ }^{39}$ A logistic regression model accounts for the effects of a number of explanatory variables (in this case, gender, FSM, etc.) on one binary outcome variable (in this case, whether the pupil is an early taker). The odds ratios derived from such a model give an indication of how much effect each explanatory variable has on the odds of being an early taker. The model above is fairly minimal in comparison to the number of potential effects: larger and more comprehensive logistic regression models have been carried out elsewhere in the bulletin. ${ }^{40}$ A model for all main effects (Key Stage 2 point score, gender, White British, FSM and English as a first language) was reduced using the likelihood-ratio test for nested OLS models.

[^27]:    ${ }^{41}$ The above analysis considers only AS qualifications that have been "cashed in"; modules sat by pupils en route to the A2 qualification and not "cashed in" as an AS have not been included. For more information on this process, see http://www.qca.org.uk/7184 7237.html.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1} 58$ points is equivalent to an $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ grade, 52 to a $\mathrm{A}, 46$ to a B , and so on.

[^29]:    ${ }^{42}$ Dependent or outcome variable: whether or not the pupil took an AS in Key Stage 4.
    ${ }^{43}$ A model for all main effects (Key Stage 2 point score, gender, White British, FSM and English as a first language, specialist school, selective school, sixth form) was reduced using the likelihood-ratio test for nested OLS models.

[^30]:    ${ }^{44}$ Maintained secondary schools, city technology colleges and academies
    ${ }^{45}$ Includes pupils with sole and dual main registration

[^31]:    ${ }^{46} 43,674$ of the 58,901 high attaining pupils were used in the comparative analysis.
    ${ }^{47}$ See DfES (2007c) for January 2007 data.

[^32]:    48 A full set of rates of G\&T pupils by local authority and local authority type is provided in the appendix

[^33]:    ${ }^{49}$ Chart 6.2 revealed the percentage of high attainers by school FSM band. Here, greater proportions of high attainers were found in schools with lower numbers of pupils eligible for FSM and grammar schools were shown to account for nearly 20 percent of high attaining pupils.
    ${ }^{50}$ A table of the figures by FSM-band/grammars is provided in the annex.

[^34]:    51 Further results can be found in the annex

[^35]:    ${ }^{52}$ A full table of logistic regression coefficients, odds ratios, frequencies of groups and the Rsquare statistic are available in the annex. These results are similar to analysis in the Excellence in Cities Evaluation (NfER, LSE \& IFS, 2005, p. 92)

[^36]:    ${ }^{53}$ Further information on CVA can be found on the Department's 'Guide to CVA Methodology': Guide to CVA

